# INCLUSIVEPRACTICESUSEDBYPRINCIPALSANDTHEIR STAFFSTOFACILITATETHEINTEGRATIONOFSTUDENTS WITHDISABILITIESINTOGENERALEDUCATIONCLASSROOMS

By

BarbaraJ.Twohig

DissertationsubmittedtotheFacultyofthe VirginiaPolytechnicInstituteandStateUniversity inpartialfulfillmentoftherequirements forthedegreeof

# DOCTOROFEDUCATION .

in

Educational Leadership and Policy Studies

<u>-</u> S	tephenR.Parson,Chairman
PatrickW.Carlton	MarvinG.Cline
HaroldJ. McGrady	OctaviaMadison Colmore

March31,2000 Blacksburg,Virginia

KeyWords:InclusivePractices,Leadership

#### **ABSTRACT**

InclusivePracticesUsedByPrincipalsandTheir StaffstoFacilitatetheIntegrationofStudents WithDisabilitiesintoGeneralEducationClassrooms

by

BarbaraJ.Twohig CommitteeChairman:StephenR.Parson EducationalLeadershipandPolicyStudies

Thepurpose of this study was to examine how and why elementary school principals collaborate with their teaching staff to facilitate a commitment to educating students with disabilities in the general education class room. The researcher used a qualitative multiple case study of three elementary school stogather the data to answer the research questions posed for the study. The primary methodology for collecting data was from coding semi-structured audiotaped interviews with principals and selected general and special education teachers who we recollaborating for the purpose of integrating students with disabilities into general education class rooms for part of their academic instruction. Informal interviews or purpose ful conversations were also conducted with other selected general and special education teachers and paraprofession als at the elementary school sites. Coding procedures followed those used in grounded theory.

Thestudydemonstratedthatprincipalsplayamajorrole inhowstudentswithdisabilities are successfully integrated into general education class rooms. Six themese merged that are consistent with the related literature on the generally effective practices of school administrators. First, principals must work with their staff to cultivate as chool climate that nurtures all students inanenvironmentwheretheyallbelong, wherehigh expectations are set for all students, and whereteacherscanteachallchildren. Second, principals must establish an open system of communicationthatallowsallteachersandparentstosharetheirfeelingsabouttheinclusion processattheirschoolandtomakerecommendationsforchangeifneeded. Third, school administratorsmustworkwiththeirfacultytodevelopmutualgoalsandtoresolveproblems. Fourth, principals must assume responsibility for ensuring the necessary support for school policies, including integrating students with disabilities into the general education class rooms. Responsibilitywasdefinedasprovidinginstructional, staffing, and emotional support. Fifth, principalsmustgivehighprioritytoprovidingcoordinatedplanningtimeforteacherswhoare workingtogethertofacilitateeffectivecommunication, teamproblemsolving, and monitoring of studentprogress.Sixth,principalsmustsupportongoingstaffdevelopmentopportunitiesthat provideinformationandstrategiesforworkinginaschoolenvironmentthatisacceptingofall membersoftheschoolcommunity.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to express my since reappreciation to all of individuals who assisted me in the completion of this dissertation.

AveryspecialthankyouisextendedtoDr.SteveParson,CommitteeChairperson,who sowillinglyassumedresponsibilityforprovidingmewiththeexpertise,guidance, encouragement,andsupportthroughoutthelasttwochaptersofthisdissertation;andDr. MarvinCline,whospentmanyhoursinhelpingmedeterminetheappropriatemethodologyfor mystudy,reviewingtheresearch,andinterpretingtheresults.Acknowledgmentand appreciationarealsoextendedtomyothercommitteemembers,Dr.Madison-Colmore,Dr. PatrickCarlton,andDr.Hal McGrady.

Sincereappreciationalsogoestotheprincipalsandteachersatthethreeschoolswho participatedinthestudy. Without their generous time and support, I would not have been able to dothis study.

IwouldalsoliketothankLorraine Maslowforherexcellenteditingandwordprocessing skills.

Myheartfeltgratitudealsogoestomyfamily.Fi rst,tomyparents,BernardandJean, whoinstilledinmeabeliefthatIcouldaccomplishanythingIsetouttodoandtheperseverance toseeitthrough.Thankyouforalwaysbeingtheretosupportandguidemethroughoutmylife.

Finally, I would like to extend my biggest debt of gratitude to my wonderful husband, Stephen, for providing me with the supportandencouragement to accomplish my goal. You were always the rethroughout this important endeavor to keep me focused on what I needed to accomplish. Your confidence, patience, and love ultimately helped me to complete this project.

This dissertation is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Joan Curcio, myinitial Committee Chairperson, who provided mew ithen couragement and standards of excellence. She has been an inspiration and role model to me. Dr. Curcio exhibited a level of expertise and professional is mthat only few individuals accomplish.

# **TABLEOFCONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
CHAPTERI-INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
PrincipalLeadership	1
StatementoftheProblem	2
PurposeoftheStudy	3
RationalefortheStudy	4
DefinitionsofTerms	4
DelimitationsandLimitations	5
OutlineoftheStudy	5
CHAPTER2-REVIEWOFRELATEDLITERATURE	6
TheRegular EducationInitiative	6
TheJeffersonCompactandtheInclusiveSchool  Movement	8
EssentialComponentsofaSuccessfulInclusion  Model	11
RegularEducationTeachers'AttitudesToward IntegrationofDisabledStudents	14
PersonalAttitu desofAdministrators	16

SpecialEducationCompetenciesRequiredof Principals	18
AdministratorRoleinSupportofInclusiveSchools	19
ThePrincipalandCollaboration	21
ChapterSummary	23
CHAPTER3-METHODOLOGY	24
Introduction	24
ResearchDesign	24
Entry2	26
DescriptionoftheDistrict	26
SiteSelection	27
ConceptualFramework	27
DataCollection	28
InterviewingandPilotingtheInstrument	28
SelectingtheSample	29
TheInterviewProcedures	29
Observations	30
DocumentsandOtherSourcesofData	30
DataAnalysis	30
ReliabilityandValidity	32
EthicalConsiderations	33
CHAPTER4-RESULTS	35
Introduction	35

RobertE.LeeElementary	35
BakersvilleElementary	52
CollinwoodElementary	68
CHAPTER5-DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, ANDRECOMMENDATIONS	90
Introduction	90
Discussion	90
SchoolClimate	90
Communication	91
Decision-MakingProcess	92
PrincipalSupport	92
MasterScheduleandPlanning Time	93
ProfessionalDevelopment	94
ConclusionsoftheStudy	95
RecommendationsforPractice	96
RecommendationsforFutureResearch	97
Researcher's Reflections	98
REFERENCES	100
APPENDIXES	109
A.InterviewQuestions-Principals	109
B.InterviewQuestions-Teachers	113
C.ResearchQuestions	117
D.PlanningSessions	118
Vito	120

# Chapter1

#### Introduction

# **Background**

Inthepubliceducationsystem, special and generaleducation structures began as conceptually and administratively separate entities (Whittier & Hewitt, 1993). Educational programs for the disabled were administered by central office or district directors. Building principals were not directly involved in the sest udents educational life (Whittier & Hewitt). Over the past 25 years, however, state and federal legislation and public attitudes have wrought radical changes related to the perceived and actual role of disabled individuals within society, and particularly ineducational provisions (Elliott & Riddle, 1992; Trump & Hange, 1996). There has been a much stronger commitment to educating all children with special needs in regular schools including those with severelearning difficulties (Trump & Hange). The instruction of students with disabilities is no longer associated with separate, "pullout" programs; instead, most students with disabilities are presently being taught in regular class rooms with their nondisable deers (Choate, 1993).

Themajorsupportforplacementofindividualswithdisabi litiesintointegratedsettings derivesfromtheEducationforAllHandicappedChildrenAct(1975),nowknownasthe IndividualsWithDisabilitiesAct(IDEA)(Yell,1995).ThisActsetthestagefortheregular classroomplacementofstudentswithdisabilitiesandpromptedsignificantchangesinour systemofpubliceducation. Aspublics chools move toward the integration of disabled students intogeneraleducation classrooms, the professional roles and responsibilities of general educationteachersandprincipalsarebeingredefined(Fritz&Miller,1995).Generaleducation teachersareanimportantcomponentofthesuccessfulimplementationoftheintegrationprocess (Coates, 1989; Lombardi, 1994). The leadership of the principalisal socritical to successful educationalprogrammingforchildrenwithdisabilities( Beninghof&Singer,1995). administratorshouldbeextensivelyinvolvedindecisionsabouttheplacementofstudentswith disabilitiessincesheorheisinakeypositiontoensurethatallpupilswithdisabilities participateinacademicandextra-curricular programs so that the sest udents have the opportunitytointeractwithnon-disabledpeers( Goor, Schwenn, & Boyer, 1997).

# PrincipalLeadership

Educationalleadershipisvieweda sacriticalvariableassociatedwitheffectiveschools (Algozzine, Ysseldyke,&Campbell,1994; Beninghof&Singer,1995). Thebeliefthat principalshaveasignificantinfluenceonschoolsiswelldocumentedintheresearch (Algozzine, Yssseldyke,&Campbell,1994; Kirner, Vautour,& Vautour,1993; VanHorn, Burello,& DeClue,1992). Theprincipalistheinstructionalleaderforalleducationalservices withintheschool,includingspecialeducationprograms(VanHorn, Burello,& DeClue,1992).

Theattributes of effective leaders are essential to accomplishing inclusive educational environments and practices which will help lead to success for all students. Bening hof and Singer (1995) indicated that leaders need to be:

- (a) Drivenbysoun dprinciplesandbeliefs
- (b) Guidedbyavisionforthefuture;
  - (c) Committed to empowering others to achieve greatness in students and schools through the management of human, material, and fiscal resources; and
  - (d) Dedicatedtoleadershipbyexampleor"walkingthetalk."(p.12)

Theeffectiveschooladministratordemonstratesanunderstandingoftheneedsofall studentsandtherelationoftheseneedstotheoverallsuccessoftheschoolprogram(Sage&Burello,1994). The principal should be able to implement required programs and to help with strategies and resources that will address the widerange of student abilities in the class room. It is essential that the principal identify and articulate aphilosophy that reflects the following assumptions, according to Villa& Thousand (1992):

(a) allchildrencanlearn,(b)allchildrenhavetherighttobeeducatedwith theirpeersinappropriateheterogeneousclassroomsintheirlocalschools,and (c)itistheresponsibilityoftheschoolsystemtomeetthediverseeducational andpsychologicalneedsofallstudents.(p. 7)

Halland Hord(1984) found that, regardless of the principal's management style (initiator, manager, responder), the most effective behavioral indicators of success in facilitating change include vision and goal setting, structuring these chool as a work place, managing change, collaborating and delegating, decision making, and guiding and supporting. Several other studies have found that the role of the principal as a leader is critical increating school conditions such as setting high standards and goals, planning and coordinating with staff, having an orientation toward innovation, frequent monitoring of staff and student performance, and involving parents and the community. (Blank, 1987)

Effectiveprincipalsrealizethatanactiveinvolvementwithstudentsandstaffwill resultinrelatedchangesinclassroominstruction(Campbell&Shaw,1993). Teachersand principalsthereforemustworkcloselytogetherifschoolsaretobesuccessful. It is important that teachers be actively involved, have the ability to influence, and be given the responsibility in many instances to make decisions related to learning (Ambrosie, 1989).

<u>Statementofthe Problem</u>TherecentrestructuringeffortsinAmericanpublicschools, combinedwithtrendsinspecialeducation,hascreatednewchallengesforbuildingprincipals (Sage& Burello,1994). Thetypeofleadershipdemonstratedbytheprincipalisvitaltothe successofintegratingchildrenwithdisabilitiesintogeneraleducationclassrooms (Beninghof& Singer,1995).

Severalresearchstudiesindicatethatadministratorsupportinfluencesthefeelingsthat teachershaveaboutthemselvesandtheirwork( Fimian, 1987; Halpin& Croft, 1963), while lack ofprincipalsupportcanleadteacherstofeelfrustratedandunimportant( Rosenholtz.1989). According to Littrell, Billingsley, & Cross (1994), however, defining what constitutes the most effectivetypeofsupportforgeneralandspecialeducatorsappearstobeasignificantproblem. Principalsneedtobesurethattheyassesstheirbehaviorsonaregularbasistoensurethatthey are providing the necessary support that teachers feel is important. Building administrators are expected to assume a variety of roles including instructional leader, business manager, disciplinarian, and school community liais on (Sage & Burello,1994).Inaddition,an increasinglysignificantresponsibilityofprincipalsconcernstheprovisionofappropriate programsforstudentswithdisabilities( Burello, Schrup,&Barnett, 1988). Researchappearsto support the conclusion that it is the attitude of the building principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion and other actions of the principal toward in clusion actions of the principal towaraspectsofspecialeducationthatiscriticaltothesuccessofspecialeducationprograms( Goor, Schwenn, & Boyer, 1997). There are many indications that school administrators' attitudes and behaviorstowarddisabledstudentsmayhaveaneffectonthequalityoftheeducational programsthesepupilsareprovided with, as well as the attitudes of school-level staff toward thesestudents (Lombardi, 1994). Inaddition, because teacher responses to disabled children reflecttheirattitudes, building principals must be aware of the attitudes generaled ucation teacherspossessconcerningtheintegrationofspecialneedsstudents( Lombardi).

Inorderfortheconceptofinclusiontobesuccessfullyputintopractice, it is essential that general education administrators make careful decisions regarding the types of policies to establish, determine informative guidelines, provide a dequatere sources, and plan with class room teachers to maximize the educational experiences of disabled students.

# <u>PurposeoftheStudy</u>

The purpose of this study therefore is to examine how and why elementary school principals in a selected school district collaborate with their teaching staff to facilitate a commitment to educating students with disabilities in the general education class room. The research questions addressed in the study are as follows:

- 1. Whateducational training or experience have these principals had with models or practices that support the integration of disabled students into general education class rooms?
- 2. Whatare the educational pract ices used by these principals to help ensure that students with disabilities are successfully integrated with non-disable dpeers?
- 3. What leaders hipstyle or behaviors of each of these principals influence these teachers' efforts to integrate students with disabilities into general education class rooms?
- 4. How does each principal collaborate with teachers to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into general education class rooms?

5. What experience or training has the principal provided for general education teachers in regard to making a cademic and behavior a laccommodations for special education students?

### Rationale ForStudy

Theintegration of disabled students is expected to increase significantly in the future as greater numbers of children with special learning needs attend public schools (Sage & Burello, 1994). As a result, principal swill have more responsibility regarding the inclusion of special education students into the regular class room. As educational services to students with disabilities change the reisaness ential need to describe and analyze the role behavior of school administrators that are relevant to the performance of students.

Littleisknowntodateaboutwhatleadershipbehaviorsthatimpactteacherbehaviorswill bemostdesirableorhowprincipalsshouldhandleanintegratedsituation. This study can be helpfultoschool boards, principals, and teachers in providing a better understanding of the type of behaviors that encourage inclusive practices.

# DefinitionsofTerms

Forthepurpose of the study, the following definitions were used:

<u>Collaboration:</u> Aneducational approach where by regular education and special education teachers work to gether toward the goal of educating all students in regular class rooms by sharing instructional resources and responsibilities (Campbell-Whatley & Drakeford 1994; Cook & Friend, 1995).

<u>CollaborativeConsultation:</u> Aninteractiveprocessthatenablespeoplewithdiverse expertisetogeneratecreativesolutionstomutuallydefinedproblems(West&Idol,1990).

Inclusion: The commitment to educate children with disabilities, to the maximum extent appropriate in the schools, and class rooms they would otherwise attend. It involves bringing the supports ervices to the children than moving the child to the services (Tomey, 1995).

<u>Integration:</u>Placingstudentswithdisabilitiesingeneraleducationschoolsandclasses wheretheyreceivespecialeducationservicesandsupportstomeettheirlearninggoalsand facilitatetheirsocialinteractionwithpeers(VirginiaDepartmentofEducation, 1997).

<u>LeastRestrictiveEnvironment(LRE)</u>: Themostappropriateeducationalplacementthat isclosesttothemainstream(Lewis& Doorlag,1991).

<u>Mainstreaming:</u> The process of teaching students with disabilities, using supplementary aids and services to maximize their educational potential (Wood, 1993).

<u>RelatedServices:</u> Auxiliaryservicessuchaspsychologicalservicesforassessment, trainingorphysicaltherapythatareavailabletohelpdisabledstudentsderivemaximumbenefit fromspecialeducation(Lewis& Doorlag,1993).

<u>StudentsWithDisabilities</u>: <u>Studentswhosespeciallearningneedsareduetomental</u>, physical,sensory,languageoremotionaldisabilities,mentalretardation,behaviordisorders, speechandlanguagedisorders,visionandhearingdisabilities,andphysicalandhealth handicaps(Lewis& Doorlag,1991).

# DelimitationsandLimitations

# Delimitations of the study include:

- 1. The informants in this study were confined to a large suburban school district located in the mid-Atlantic region of the United States.
- 2 ...Thedesignofthecasestudywasqualitativeinnature,limitingthetransferabilityor generalizabilitytoothersettings,butprovidingrich,usefuldatarelatedtothestudy'spurpose.

The following were limitations of the study:

- 1. The accuracy of the information that was obtained du ring the study was subject to the actual responses and behavior of the participants.
- 2. The results and conclusions were subject to the technical research skills of the researcher.
- 3. Theresearcher's perceptions of what the informants said may have be en influenced by her position as an LD teacher in the district.

# <u>OutlineoftheStudy</u>

This study is divided into five chapters. The first chapter includes the introduction, problems tatement, purpose of the study, research questions, significance and limitations of the study. The second chapter presents are view of the literature relevant to the study. The third chapter describes the procedures that we refollowed in data collection, a description of the population and sample, and the method sused to organize and analyze the data. The fourth chapter presents the data and the findings of the survey. The fifth chapter includes the summary, conclusions, and recommendations for further research in this area.

# Chapter2

#### ReviewofRelatedLiterature

The reviewoftheliteratureisorganizedintosixsections. The first three sections will describe the regular education initiative (REI) and the inclusive school model. The two sections following will review the current literature on the attitudes of general education teachers and principal stoward the integration of disabled students into regular class rooms. The next sections will address the competencies and role of the principal required in inclusive schools. The final section reviews literature on the principal and collaboration.

# <u>TheRegularEducationInitiative</u>

Themostrecentdebateinspecialeducationisfocusedonthedegreetowhichfull integrationofalldisabledstudentscanbeachievedthroughsupportinggeneraleducation classroomenvironmentsratherthanthroughacontinuumofseparateeducationenvironments.In 1986,MadelineWilloftheU.S.DepartmentofEducationproposedtheregulareducation initiative(REI),aplanforunifyinggeneralandspecialeducation(OSERS,1986).This initiative advocates that the generaleducation system of public schools assumere sponsibility for all students including those with disabilities. The federal Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) defines the integration of disabled students as:

- 1. Educatingalldisabledchildreninregularschoolsregardlessofthedegree orseverityoftheirdisablingcondition(s).
- 2. Providingspecialserviceswithintheregularschools.
- 3. Supporting regular teachers and administrators.
- 4. Having students with disabilities follow the same schedules as nondisabled students.
- 5. Involving disabled students in as many academic classes and extra curricular activities as possible, including music, art, gym, field trips, assemblies, and graduation exercises.
- 6. Arranging for disabled students to use the school cafeteria, library, play ground, and other facilities at the same time as students.
- 7. Encouraginghelperandbuddyrelationshipsbetween nondisabledand disabledstudents.
- 8. Arrangingfordisabledstudentstoreceivetheireducationing ular communityenvironmentswhenappropriate.

- 9. Teaching all children to understand and accept human differences.
- 10. Placing disabled children in the same schools they would attend if they did not have disabilities.
- 11. Taking parents' concerns seriously.
- 12.Providingan appropriate,individualizededucationprogram.( pp.6-7)

ProponentsoftheREIquestionthequalityandvalueofspecialclassesandpull-out programsforstudentswithmildacademichandicapsincludingthosechildrenwhoarelabeled learningdisabled(LD), educablymentallyretarded(EMR),oremotionallydisturbed(ED). Theseadvocatesindicatethatserviceprovisionoutsidetheregularclassroomhasledto discontinuityininstruction,reductionofcurricularoptionsforstudentswithexceptionalities, andeducationwithalimitedscope(Myles&Simpson,1990). Allegedbyproductsofpull-out andothersegregatedprogramsincludelowerself-esteemforstudentswithdisabilitiesthanfor nondisabledpeers(Rogers& Saklofske,1985);lessthanadequatesocialskills(Madden&Slavin,1983);andlackofpreparationforadulthood,manifestedbyahighrateof unemploymentamongpeoplewithexceptionalities. REIsupporterswantafundamentally restructuredmainstreamadjustedtotheneedsofeachchild. Suchasolutiontheybelievewould leadtoabettereducationforallchildrenatalowercost( Byrnes,1990). Providingsupportfor the REIistheheighteningconcernthattoomanystudentsarebeinglabeledasdisabled.

Kauffman,Gerber,and Semmel(1988),citingreasonsforadvocacyoftotalintegration of students with disabilities, believe that (a) feweducators can argue against such reasons underlying the regular education initiative as the need towork toward better integration and coordination of services; (b) the desire to see keffective and economical methods of servicing students with learning and behavior problems ; (c) the need to place students with their nonhandicapped peers; (d) the need to implement research that has suggested guidelines for effective schools and instruction; (e) the idea that special education should be for those students who need the most specialized and extensive services; (f) the belief that most good teaching practices are appropriate formany students regardless of their handicapping condition; (g) the fact that some students fail because of the inadequacy of teachers of regular classes; (h) the importance of a continuum of services ranging from full-time placement in the regular class room to institutionalization; and (i) the difficulty of a curately identifying and assessing persons with disabilities (pp.6-11).

Aswithmostcallsforreform,theREImetwithopposition(VirginiaDepartmentof Education,1993). Althoughcriticsoftheregulareducationinitiativealsoseeproblems with the currenteducation system, they do not be lieve that the evidence is sufficient towarrant amajor restructuring (Byrnes,1990). REI opponents are fearful that the rights of students with disabilities would be jeopardized if existing categorical placements were modified or eliminated. A major concernist hat students who needs pecial educations ervices may not receive the min the regular education class room (Kauffman, 1989). Another problem is that many general education teachers are not willing to accept the shared responsibility for educating disabled

studentssincetheylacktheessentialtraining. These teachers are already attempting to meet the needs of a diverse group of learners who make up the general education class room.

# <u>TheJeffersonCompactandtheInclusiveSchoolModel</u>

The Jeffersonian Compact, a statement resulting from former President Bush's Summit on Education, strongly encouraged the need for flexibility in using federal funds and encouraged the commitment to restructuring the school stoin clude decentralization of authority or school-based management (Department of Education, 1991). One recommendation that was made for the use of federal funds is the use of waivers to permit students qualifying for special education to return to regular classes with extrasupport. The Compact indicated that decentralization would mean greater choice for parents and students, greater authority for and account ability by teachers and principals, and an instructional program designed for all students to accomplish workskills (Department of Education, 1991). The results of the Summit provides upport for the belief that all students regardless of their disability belong in their neighborhoods chool and the principal should organize the program to meet the diverse and individualized needs of all children.

Themostrecentmodeltoemergeisthatofinclusiveeducation. Sincetheterm inclusionappears to have multiple meanings, it is important to distinguish between inclusion and full inclusion. Proponents of full inclusion believe that the reshould not be any special education services and that all students with disabilities should be taughting eneral education class rooms (Fuchs & Fuchs, 1994). Rogers (1994) defines full inclusion as a term "primarily used to refer to the belief that instructional practices and technological supports are presently available to accommodate all students in the schools and class rooms they would otherwise attendif not disabled "(p.8). The philosophical basis for full inclusion, in part, can be traced to the REI (Reganick, 1993).

Althoughtherearestillnotmanytotallyinclusiveschools, Stainback and Stainback (1992)identifiedsomecommoncharacteristics:

- 1. Inclusives chools are grounded in a philosophy that all children belong in the main stream of school community life.
- 2. The rules of an inclusive class room reflect the philosophy of fair and equal treatment among all students as well as others chool and community members.
- 3. Teachers in inclusive class rooms adjust and/or expand the general education curriculum as required to meet each student's needs.
- 4. These schools accept all students within the given neighborhoods chool. In this way an atural proportion of handic apped students attends chool in their age-appropriate, neighborhoods chool.

- 5. In clusives chools focus on providing assistance, specialized support, and services to all students within the regular class room.
- 6. These schools adapt, modify, and expand the curriculum by differentiating objectives within the regular class room.
- 7.Inclusiveschoolsfosterinterdependenceandnaturalsupportnetworks amongstaffandstudentsthroughcooperationandcollaborationandbydeemphasizingcompetition.
- 8. Whenastudentrequires expert assistance from outside the class room, the class room support system and curriculum areadapted to assist not only the needs of one student, but also other students in the class who could be nefit from similar supports.
- 9. Teachers and other staffpersonnel are empowered to make decisions on how the combined special education and regular education resources, in terms of money, personnel, curriculum, and instructional procedures, will be utilized to meet the needs of the students within the school.
- 10. Educators in inclusive class rooms helps tudents to understand and utilize their individual differences.
- 11. Those involved in inclusives chools and class rooms are flexible and receptive to change when deemed necessary. (pp. 7-11)

Whilethefirstgoalofmanyadvocatesoftheinclusiveschoolmovementistoabolish specialeducation, asecondistoenhancestudents's ocial competence and to change the attitudes ofteachers and students who will eventually become tax payers, parents and service providers (Gartner & Lipsky, 1987). Gartner and Lipsky believe that "the rational eforeducating students with severe disabilities in integrated settings is to ensure their normalized community participation by providing them with systematic instruction in the skills that are essential to their successint he social and environmental contexts in which they ultimately use the seskills "(p. 386).

According to Snell (1991), the three most important and reciprocal benefits from inclusionare (a) "the development of social skills across all age groups, (b) the improvements in the attitudes that nondisabled peers have for their peers with disabilities, and (c) the development of positive relationships and friendships between peers as a result of integration "(Appalachia Educational Lab, 1996, p.9).

Criticsofinclusiveschoolsquestionhowgeneraleducationcanrespondappropriately totheneedsofallspecialeducationstudentswhenithassuchobviousdifficultyaccommodating the divergent student population that already exists (Fuchs & Fuchs, 1994). Many opponents believe that specialeducation students will not get enough attention in the regular class room,

whileothersfeelthattheregulareducationstudentwillsufferbecausepupilswithspecialneeds willrequirethemajorityoftheclassroomteacher'stime(Stoler,1992). The inclusionistvision of restructuredschoolingwhich deemphasizesthestandardcurriculumandadvocatesaprocess-approachtoeducationisindirectcontrasttowhatiscurrentlybeingwrittenandsupportedby manyreformers, policymakers and educators(Fuchs&Fuchs,1994).

Incontrasttofullinclusion,theinclusionmovementisbroaderinscopeandprovidesa continuumofservices(AppalachiaEducationalLab,1996). Schragand Burnette(1994)define inclusionasaneducationalcontextandprocessthatamounttomorethanregularclass placementforstudentswithdisabilities;inclusiveschoolsimplementaphilosophyof coordinationthatcelebratesdiversityandmaintainsacontinuumofeducationaloptionsto providechoiceandmeettheneedsofindividualchildren(p.1).

Stainback, Stainback, East, and Sapon-Shevin (1994) believe that the overall goal of inclusion is "nottoerase differences, but to enable all students to be long within an educational community that validates and values their individuality" (p. 489).

In 1993, the Virginia State Special Education Advisory Committee in its annual report (Virginia Department of Education, 1993) adopted the following definition for its use of the termine lusion:

Opportunities for all students with disabilities to have access to and participate in all activities of the total school environment, both academic and social, curricular and extracurricular. Students would be educated with support and adaptations with peers without disabilities who are age appropriate in general education settings, and in their homes chool. (p.7)

The Council of Administrators of Special Education (CASE) also is suedaposition paper that supports inclusion, but not full inclusion.

CASEsupportsindividualchildrenbeingservedinaregularclassroom setting 100% of the time with appropriate supports a sone option within the full continuum of service. CASE <u>does not</u> support full integration as a policy/practice in which <u>all</u> students with disabilities, <u>regardless</u> of the severity of their disabilities and needs for related services, receive their total education within the regular classroom setting in the school they would attend if not disabled. (CASE, 1991, p. 11)

Anothermajorsupporteroftheconceptofinclusion, butnotfullinclusion, is the Learning Disabilities Association of America (1993). In their position statement they indicated that the appropriate placement is the least restrictive environment (LRE) within a continuum of services based on each student 's individual education plan.

MercerandLane(1994)definedtheprinciplesofresponsibleinclusionas:

- 1. Obtain consensus of the staff and administration to implement inclusion.
- 2. Operationally define responsibilities and roles of teachers and administrators.
- 3. Planongoing staffdevelopment.
- 4. Identifyteachers who desire to collaborate and provide them with training.
- 5. Ensure that placements are IEP (Individualized Education Program) driven and sensitive to the wishes of the student.
- 6. Maintain a continuum of alternative placements. (p. 1)

# Essential Components of a Successful Inclusion Model

In April of 1994, the Council for Exceptional Children organized a Working Forum on Inclusive Schools with nine other education organizations (Appalachia Educational Lab, 1996). The task forcer commended 12 principles for successful inclusive schools:

- Vision-Theschool'sphilosophyshouldincludetheconceptthatall childrenbelongandcanlearninthemainstream. Diversity should be valued.
- 2. Leadership-Theschoolprincipalmustbealeaderandproponentof inclusion. He/shemustinvolvethewholestaffintheentireplanning and implementation of inclusion.
- 3. Highstandards-Allstudentsmustworktoward the sameeducational outcomes based on high standards although the strategies used to pursue and achieve those outcomes may differ.
- 4. Senseofcommunity-Theschoolmustdemonstratetheconceptthat everyonebelongsandensurethatallareacceptedandsupportedbypeers andothermembersoftheschoolcommunity.
- 5. Arrayofservices-Theschool, with districts assistance, should provide services for students with any handic apping condition.
- 6. Flexiblelearningenvironmentstomeetstudentneeds-Whilefull inclusionisagoal,acontinuumofeducationaloptionsispresentto accommodatechangeinstudentprogress.

- 7. Researchbasedstrategies-Facultyshouldusestrategiessuchas cooperativelearning,curriculumadaptation,peermediation,mastery learning,etc.
- 8. Collaborationand cooperation-Theuse of team teaching, co-teaching, and other collaborative arrangements is evident.
- 9. Changingrolesandresponsibilities-School-basedproblem-solvingteams shouldbeestablishedtosolveindividualstudentproblems.
- 10. Newformsofaccountability-Studentandeffectivenessmeasuresmay differfromscaleapproaches.
- 11. Access-Equalaccess should be assured through technical and physical plant modifications.
- 12. Partnershipswithparents-Parentsareviewedequalpartnersinthe planningandimplementationofinclusiveschoolstrategies.

Irmsher(1995)synthesizedtheresearchofFriendandCook(1993), Schragand Burnette(1994),and Eichingerand Woltman(1993)regardingsuccessfulinclusionprograms. Shefoundthatmostoftheprogramsincludedthefollowingcomponents:

- 1. Early involvement of all concerned (school board, administrators, regular-class room teachers, special education teachers, supports taff, parents, and students) in discussions about proposed changes.
- 2. Amission statement, ideally written by representatives of this broad-based group.
- 3. Acontinuing process of communication regarding the changes taking place.
- 4. Education of all concerned to make sure they understand the value of inclusion.
- 5.Involvementofallconcernedinplanning.
- 6. Strongsupportfromadministrators, including a commitment to reallocating special-education resources for support in the regular class room.
- 7. Teachers, principals, and supportst aff willing to embrace change.

- 8. Inservice training for staffont opics such as collaborative teaching, multilevel class rooms, cooperative learning, adapting the curriculum, and working with special-needs students.
- 9. Sharedplanning/consultingtimeforregularandspecialeducation teachers, or other teaching configurations.
- 10. Needsofallstudents, with and without disabilities, addressed.
- 11. Apilotprogrambe for emoving to full implementation.
- 12. Acquisition of necessary specialized equipment and adaptations needed to serve each student, coupled with physical changes in the class room and school environment.
- 13. Adequate class room support for teachers; instructional assistants and special ists such as special education teachers, and so forth.
- 14. Students placed in a ge-appropriate mainstream class rooms at their neighborhoods chools.
- 15. Clear-cutsteps and support available to teachers in solving the inevitable problems that cropup.
- 16.Instructional assistants free to help with the entire class, not just specific students with disabilities.
- 17. Encouragementandappreciation of teachers willing to experimentand be innovative even if efforts are un successful.
- 18. Education of all staffinthe importance of inclusion as a school-wide belief.
- 19. Opportunities for non-disabled students to learn about all types of diversity, including individuals with disabilities.
- 20. Astructured system to promote peer friendships between disable dand non-disable dstudents.
- 21.Benchmarkstoshootforinyear1,year2,andsoforth.
- 22. As ense of community that values the abilities of all students, understands their limitations, and provides nurturing opportunities for them to develop a strong sense of self-worth, concern, and respect for others.

- 23. Flexiblecurriculaandinstructionthatareaccessibletoall.
- 24. Strongties among the school, parents, and the community.
- 25. Aphilosophythatcelebratesdiversity. (pp.12 -13)

# RegularEducationTeachers'Attitudes TowardIntegrationofDisabledStudents

Whendisabledstudentsareintegratedintogeneraleduc ationclassrooms,amajor concernthatemergesisthepotentialimpactoftheattitudesofregularclassroomteachers towardthesestudents( Garvar-Pinhas& Schmelkin,1989). Theattitudesandbehaviorsof educatorstowardanyindividualstudentcaneitherenablethepupiltoprogressintellectually, socially,andemotionally,orcaninhibitthechild'sopportunitiesforlearningandgrowth.

Sinceateacher'spositiveattitudetowardadisabledchildmayfacilitatethechild's functioningandanegativeattitudecanmagnifydifficulties,theidentificationofteacherattitude isparticularlycrucialtotheintegrationprocess( Choate,1993).Becauseteacherresponsesto disabledstudentsreflecttheirattitudes,buildingprincipalsmustbeawareoftheattitudes regulareducationteacherspossessconcerningtheintegrationofdisabledstudents.Without consideringtheseattitudesandexpectations,administrativedecisionswillresultininappropriate placementandpoorlyimplementedprograms.

Asignific antportion of the literature on inclusion indicates that general education teachers generally feelill prepared to handle the various special needs of the students in their class rooms (Lewis, 1994). Many teachers believe that they have not been given a dequate time to learn how to work with students with disabilities before implementation occurred (D'Alonzo & Giordano, 1996). My lesand Simpson (1989) reported that 85% of the general educators they surveyed were willing to accept a student with disabilities in their class rooms on a full time basis, given appropriate training. Without support and training, less than 33% of the respondents were willing to accept the sest udents in their general education classes.

Lamb-Zodrow (1987) indicated that teachers may experience negative feelings about inclusion, such as:

(a) resentmentforextraresponsibility;(b)incompetentduetolackoftraining and preparation;(c)overburdened by additional demands on already heavy workloads; and (d) stressful and upsetting to their regular schedule. (p.61)

Vaughnetal.(1996)conductedaseriesoffocusgroupinterviewswithspecial education,generaleducation,andChapterIteacherstogetabetterunderstandingofthe teachers'perceptiontowardinclusioninalargemetropolitanschooldistrictinthesoutheastern partoftheUnitedStates.Theresultsoftheinterviewsrevealedthatthemajorityoftheteachers hadverynegativeopinionsaboutinclusionandbelievedthatitispromotedbyindividualswho donotworkinclassroomsandwhoareunawareoftheproceduresandconsequencesof

implementingpractices they establish. The teachers indicated that such factors as class size, in adequateres ources, the extent to which all students would be nefit from inclusion and lack of teacher preparations affecting the success of inclusion (Vaughnetal.).

Asurveyandfollow-upinterviewofteachers'opinionsconcerninginclusionof studentsinafull-daygeneraleducationprogramdonebyRossandWax(1993)wasalsonot positive. Teachersbelievedtheyhadbeengivenadditionalresponsibilitieswithouttheessential technicaltrainingandadministrativesupport. Theyweremostupsetabouttheirunmetneedfor modelingofsuccessfulteachingstrategies, collaborationwithspecialeducationteachers, their lackofknowledgeaboutspecificlanguage/learningdisabilities, and their lackofplanning time and paraprofessional help.

Rieck (1991) found in reviewing the existing research that the primary factors which influence teacher attitude include the level of the classified student, physical attractiveness of the student, nature of the disability, class composition, and supports ervice provided by the special educator.

Thenegative factors seem to increase with the grade placement of the students. Teachers from the middle grades appeared to be more opposed to main streaming than those teachers who taught the primary grades (Berryman & Berryman, 1981). High school teachers were also more likely to have negative views than elementary teachers (Rieck, 1991).

Pearman, Barnhart, Huang, and Mellblom (1992) surveyed the beliefs and attitudes of school district personnel in Colorado toward in clusive practices. Their findings further supportprevious studies in that the results indicated a significant difference between the perceptions of elementaryandsecondaryteachingstaff. In addition they found that male teachers had significantlymorenegativeopinionsaboutinclusionthanfemaleteachers .Thetypeof disabilityalsoseemstobeacriticalfactorininfluencingteacherattitudeanduseof inclusionary practices. Jobb,Rust,& Brissie(1995)conductedastudyof162randomlyselectedclassrooms teachersusingtheOpinionsRelativetotheIntegrationofStudentswithDisabilitiesto investigatetheirattitudetowardfullinclusionofstudentswithdisabilitiesinregulareducation classrooms. The results demonstrated that the teachersattitudestowardinclusiondependedon the student's disability. The teachers appeared more willing to make accommodations for childrenwithphysicaldisabilitiescomparedtocognitive, emotional, orbehavioral problems (Jobbetal, 1995). There searchers also found a positive but modest correlation between the amountofinclusionin-servicetrainingandspecialeducationteachingexperienceandteacher attitudetowardinclusion( Jobbetal.,1995).Generaleducationteacherswhowereinterviewed by York, Doyle, and Kronberg (1992) in an earlier study also indicated that it was very difficult determininghowtoincludechildrenwithseveredisabilitiesinclassroomactivities.

Jones (1991) investigated 10 teachers to determine their attitudes toward the mainstreaming of disabled children using the Attitude Toward Mainstreaming Scale. She found that (a) gender did not produce a significant effect on the attitudes of teachers toward sthe mainstreaming of disabled students; (b) the effects of the regular education teachers 'ethnicity on their attitudes toward mainstreaming disabled students were significant in that Asian teachers

weremorepositivetowardmainstreamingthedisabledstudentthanBlack, White, or Hispanic teachers; (c) the level of teaching did not produce a significant effect on the attitudes of teachers towards the mainstreaming of disabled students; (d) the attitudes of regular education teachers regarding the mainstreaming of disabled students were not significantly affected by their fields of teaching; (e) the regular class room teachers 'age did not significantly affect their attitudes toward mainstreaming of disabled student; (f) the attitudes of regular education teachers were not significantly affected by their years of experience; and (g) the level of experience did not produce a significant effect on the attitudes of teachers regarding the mainstreaming of disabled students (Jones).

#### PersonalAttitudesofAdministrators

Theattitudesofadministratorswithregardtointegratingspecialeducationstudents intoregulareducationclassroomshavebeenwellstudied. Theresultsofasurveyof 25 elementaryschoolprincipalsby Wienerand Norton (1993) found that most principals agreed within clusion philosophically but were somewhat dubious about the amount of services that could be provided. They felt that the students with special needs would be nefit socially but would be atadisad vantage academically. The administrators also reported that, although inclusion would help to promote understanding of individual differences among students, it would compromise the amount of time the teacher had towork with the majority of the students due to the amount of attention that would be required for students with disabilities.

Evans,Bird,Ford,Green,and Bischoff(1992)conductedacasestudyofoneschool districtinNebraskatoinvestigatetheattitudesofadministrators,teachersandparentsduring theirinitialmovetowardinclusion. Theresearchersfoundthattheprimaryconcernexpressed by administrators (especially building principals) was their feelings of inadequacy due to their lack of training and unfamiliarity with students with disabilities. The administrators were also concerned about the accessibility of their buildings, and that the significant amount of time that would be required of teachers to work with the sest udents would reduce their overall effectiveness with the majority of students (Evans et al.).

Thepersonalcharacteristicsofadministratorsappeartoinfluenceattitudeand acceptanceofmainstreaming.Center,Ward, Paramenter,andNash(1985)foundthatage, personalphilosophy,leadershipability,principalwillingnesstointegrate,andinterpersonal relationshipsaffecttheirattitude.Task-orientedadministratorswithextensivetraininginspecial educationorwhohavehadpreviousexperiencewithspecialeducationexhibitedonlyaslightly betterattitudethannonparticipatingprincipals.Someresearchindicatesthatrace,sexandpast experiencecanalsoinfluencetheattitudeofprincipals(Reehill,1987).

Knight(1986) examined the attitudes of elementary educa tors, special educators, and special education administrators in Louisiana. The results indicated that the attitude of the respondents was influenced by their individual philosophies. They ears of professional experience and class room managements ty leals oappeared to affect the response of the principals surveyed. Age, race, and course preparation in special education only moderately affected the attitude of the respondent.

Reehill(1987)surveyed71elementaryschoolprincipalsand19middleschool principalsinNewYorktocomparetheknowledgeandattitudesofprincipalstowardthe placementofdisabledstudentsintheleastrestrictiveenvironment. Thestudycomparedthe knowledgeandattitudesoftherespondentstotheirpersonalbackgroundandprevious experience. Reehillfoundthatboththeelementaryandmiddleschooladministratorslackedthe knowledgeneededforeducationalprogrammingofstudentswithdisabilities. Bothgroupsof principalsfavoredtheplacementofstudentswithmilddisabilitiesinenvironmentsthatwere morerestrictivethanwhatshouldhavebeenrecommended. Theattitudeoftheelementaryand middleschoolprincipalswasnotinfluencedbythenumberofyearsofexperienceortheirlevel ofknowledgeregardingprogramsforstudentswithdisabilities(Reehill, 1987).

Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal susing the Mainstreaming Planning Inventory to determine their attitude toward main streamed disabled students. The results suggest that \$\$ Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal susing the Mainstreaming Planning Inventory to determine their attitude toward main stream editable students. The results suggest that \$\$ Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal susing the Mainstreaming Planning Inventory to determine their attitude toward main stream editable students. The results suggest that \$\$ Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal susing the Mainstreaming Planning Inventory to determine their attitude toward main stream editable students. The results suggest that \$\$ Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal susing the Mainstream editable students. The results suggest that \$\$ Prillaman (1983) conducted a survey of 42 principal suspense of 42 principal suspense

(a)Onethirdoftheprincipalssupportthespecialclassmodelasthebest placementfordisabledchildren, yetapproximately three-fourths believe that normalchildren profit from contact with the disabled. (b) Three fourths of the respondents believe teachers will need new techniques and materials in order to effectively teach mainstreamed students. (c) Only four principals believed that mainstreaming would have an egative effect on the self-concept of the disabled. (d) 50% of the respondents believed is abledchildren will be motivated when placed in a regular class room. (e) 75% of the respondents believe that the placement of disabled students in regular classes will help teachers grow professionally and personally. (f) Approximately 90% of the principals stated that mainstreaming will not result in an increase in behavior problems (p. 87)

Centeretal.(1985)notedthatprincipalseitherstronglyacceptedoropposedthe integrationofstudentswithdisabilitiesdependingonthedegreeofseverity. Characteristics consideredacceptableforinclusioninageneraleducationclassroomweredescribedasstudents whowouldnotcreateworkfortheclassroomteacher. Studentswithmildtomoderate disabilities, moderatevisual and auditory disabilities, and with drawn students were more favorablyaccepted by principal stobe in generaleducation classrooms (Centeretal.).

Principalswerelesscertainofintegratingstudentswhoweredisruptive,requiredextra teachercompetencies,orextracare(Center,etal.,1985).Studentswhowerehyperactive, dangerousorexhibitedashortattentionspanwerealsoconsiderednotasacceptableinthe regularclassroom.Studentswithmoderatetoseverememorydisabilitiesorthosechildrenwith moderatetoseverephysicaldisabilitieswithmobilitywerealsolessfavorablyacceptedby generalclassroomteachers.Studentswithmildtomoderateintellectualoremotionaldisabilities werealsofoundinthiscategory.

Principalswereunwillingtoacceptindividualstudentswhoseeducationaland behavioralcharacteristicswerenottolerableingeneralclassrooms(Center etal.,1985).Students withmultipleorseveredisabilities were also unacceptable in the regular class rooms. Principals marginallyacceptedstudentswhorequiredextratimebyateachertodononacademicduties (e.g., changing catheters). Farley (1991) investigated the relationship between the attitudes of middleschoolprincipalsandteachersinVirginiaat65largeandsmallmiddleschoolstoward mainstreaming and the level-of-comfort participants felt towards pecial education and mainstreamingusingtheAttitudeTowardsMainstreamingScale( ATMS), and the Comfortability Scale for Special Education (CSSE). She found that (a) the attitudes of middle and the state of the stateschoolpersonnelaresimilartotheattitudesofpersonnelwhoworkinothergradelevels;(b)that principalshadmorefavorableattitudestowardmainstreamingthanteachers;and(c)that principals'levelofcomfortdonotinfluenceteachers'levelofcomfort( Farley). Specific factors whichwerefoundtobeindirectlyrelatedtopositiveattitudesincludedpriorexperienceworking withpersons with disabilities, educational background, and course work in special education. Thesizeoftheschooldivisionwasrelatedtothelevelofcomfortofschoolpersonnelwhen workinginteamsituations.

# <u>SpecialEducationCompetenciesRequiredofPrincipals</u>

Effective inclusion of special education students into regular education class rooms will be impossible to achieve without the support of school administrators (Lombardi, 1994). The principal is an extremely critical member as the staff looks to her for direction. Uncertain or contradictory messages from the leader of the school provide no incentive for involvement or change. The activities, practices, and even the atmosphere within as chool are are flection of the leader ship in the building.

Itisvitalthatbuildingadministratorshaveasolidunderstandingofspecialeducation toeffectivelyimplementproceduralrequirementsandprovideappropriateeducationalservices fordisabledstudentsintheirschools. Manystudiesindicate, however, that most principals do not have the knowledge of the instructional and programmatic needs of disabled children (Monteith, 1994).

Valeskyand Hirth(1992)surveyedcollegesanduniversitiesintheUnitedStatesthat offeredgraduatedegreesinschooladministrationtodeterminerequirementsforspecial educationandspecialeducationlawknowledgeforadministrativeendorsements. The researchersfoundthatonly27% of all regular administratorendorsements offered required knowledge of specialeducation lawand 57% of endorsements offered by the universities had no requirement for a knowledge of specialed ucation.

Monteith(1994)reviewedacomprehensivestudyconductedby Aspedonin1992,who foundthat

(a) morethan 40% of principals had never had any special education course; (b) morethan 85% of principals felt that formal training in special education is needed in order to be a successful building principal; (c) more than 80% of

principalshadmoderatetoveryhighinterestinreceivingspecialeducation training;and(d)despitelackofspecialeducationtraining,morethan75% of principalshadexclusiveorsharedresponsibilityforsupervising and evaluating specialeducation teachers in their schools. (p.5)

Monteith (1994) also reviewed the results of a survey of principals, assist ant principals, and supervisors from South Carolina, southern North Carolina, and northern Georgia, which was conducted by the South Carolina State University Department of Educational Administration in 1993 to determine to what extent practitioners felt they already possessed adequate information to effectively administer programs for students with disabilities. The research findings indicated that

(a)75% of the administrators had no formal training in special education; (b) what they did know about special education came from the administration of fice or state or through making mistakes; (c) over 90% of the administrators indicated that formal special education training was needed in order to be an effective school leader; and (d) 89% indicated that they would be interested in participating in a training program. (Monteith, 1994, pp8-9)

# <u>AdministratorRoleinSupportofInclusiveSchools</u>

RudeandAnderson(1992)conductedinterviewswithclassroomteachers, special educationteachers, and building principal sto determine building principals 'role in supporting effective inclusion practices. The following administrative strategies that helped to promote a positive school environment for the inclusion process were identified.

#### AdministrativeRole:

- 1. The attitude of the administrator was cited as the most influential factor for the success of an inclusion program.
- 2. Administratorsatinclusiveschoolscultivatedaschoolclimatethatsignifiedthat allstudentsbelongedattheschoolsite,andthatallteacherswouldteachallstudents.
- 3. Administratorsmustcontinuallyredefinetheroleofboththeclassroom teacherand specialeducatorbasedonpreviousinclusionsuccessesandemergingstudentneeds.
- 4. Insomecases, modifications of the existing school's organizational structure were necessary in order to provide built-in-teacher collaboration and planning time.
- 5. Whenpossible, administrators soughtout and hirednew teachers who were willing to acceptability of inclusion.
- 6. Staffmemberswereencouragedtohavepatiencewithoneanother ,implementation problemsweretobeexpected.

- 7. Providing inserviceeducationprogramstostaffmembersenabledthedevelopment of newskills and provided a common language of instruction and assessment.
- 8. Inclusiontaskforceswerehelpfulforidentifyinggoals, guidelines and procedures for inclusion. Taskforcemembers then became instrumental inpublic relations and inservice education to other sconcerning the inclusion process.
- 9. Administratorspromoted the sharing of fears and concerns; an open door policy was in effect for teachers, students and parents.

#### Classroomteachers:

- 1. Whenpossible, the administrators provided additional support personnel and technical equipment.
- 2. Releasetimeforconferencesoradditional class roommonies were incentives for teachers to be come involved in inclusion. Administrators asked individual teachers what they found to be rewarding, rather than assuming they already knew.
- 3. Viablemeansofemotional support were available, including development of teacher support groups, assigning one special educator to each class room teacher to facilitate problem solving discussions, and the development of are source teamwhose members remained "on call" to respond to class room emergencies.
- 4. Someadministratorsencouragedtheirteacherstoredefinetheirexpectat ionsand definitionofsuccessfortheirindividualstudentswithspecialneeds.
- 5. Classroomteachers benefittedfromvisitingsuccessfulpreexistinginclusion programs.
- 6. Wheninsurmountabledifferencesinphilosophyoccurred, an administrative show of supportincluded assisting the teacher intransferring to another school location.

#### SpecialEducators:

- 1. Administratorsgaveteachersinsightintoworkingwithdifficultcolleaguesand encouragedteacherstocognitivelyrehearseresponsesbeforeapproachingthepersonwithwhom theywereinconflict.
- 2. Newpositionsforexistingpersonnelwerecreated."IntegrationFacilitator"and "SpecialEducationConsultant" weretwoteacherheldpositionswhichenableditinerantteachers toprovidesuggestionsandactasasoundingboardforclassroomteachersandotherspecial educators.

- 3. Administrators modeled the concepts of collaboration, team teaching, and problem solving.
- 4. Reinforcingactivities and opportunities were provided to replace what the special educators previously found rewarding (Rude & Anderson, 1992, pp. 33-34).

# ThePrincipalandCollaboration

Currentreformeffortsinrestructuringthepublicschoolshavecenteredaroundthe principlesofdecentralizingthedecisionmakingprocessandmovingtowardschoolsite-based managementforthepurposeofprovidinggreaterautonomyandcontributingtoteacher empowerment (Fishkin&Sullivan,1993). Collaborative problemsolving amongschool personnelisacrucial component in this reformeffort and apossible solution to the problem of isolation that many teachers experience (Kruger, Struzziero, Watts, & Vacca, 1995).

Animportantfactorofeffectivecollaborationisthedevelopmentandmaintenanceofa positive, trusting relationship among collaborators (Stainback Stainback, 1992). Teachers need to feel comfortable collaborating with others and trust these individuals are not judging their teaching. A joint statement written in 1987 by the National Education Association, the Council for Exceptional Children, and the American Association of School Administrators (Cole, 1992) states:

Collaborativeeffortsamongspecialeducatorsandothermembersofthe educationalsystemandvariouspublicandprivateagenciescanhelpimprove andexpandtheservicesavailabletoexceptionalchildrenandwehope, improveandexpandtheservicesavailabletoallchildren. Weencouragethe developmentofcollaborativeeffortsthatappropriatelyandeffectivelyutilize professionalandotherresourcesatthelocallevel.(p.10)

Administratorsupportisanessentialorganizational factor that has been provent obe related osuccessful collaborative problems olving (Fullan, 1991). Schools need administrative leadership where the culture of the schoolen courage scritical thinking, reflection, and risk-taking. Principals must be willingly to engage increative and collaborative behaviors rather than authoritarian practices (Pazey, 1993). Collaborative behavior among administrators provides a model for teachers who may need assistance to break free from the tradition of working independently (Stainback & Stainback, 1992).

Theschooladministratorplaysanimportantroleinhelpingtotransformthecultureofa schooltosupportcollaborativeproblemsolving,planning,andteaching(Thousand&Villa, 1992). Toworktowardaccomplishingsuchaculturalchange,theprincipalneedsto:

Developandcelebratenew"heroes",rituals,traditions,andsymbolsthat reflectthevaluingofcollaboration.

Makeavailablemeaningfulincentivestoencouragestafftocollaborate(e.g., scheduledtimetomeetandplan,trainingincollaboration,opportunitiesto observeexperiencedcollaboratorsworkingtogether,collaborationasastated expectationinjobdescriptions,missionandpolicystatementinsupporting collaborativebehaviors).

Establish collaboration as a norm through job descriptions and job performance expectations so that collaboration is a nongoing responsibility not avoluntary act.

Acknowledgethatlearningtocollaborateisadevelopmentalprocessthat requiresregularpractice, ongoing training, and feedback opportunities built into the school calendar and day. (Thousand & Villa, 1992, p. 177)

Animportantresponsibilityofschoolleadersistoensurethatallschoolpersonnel

(a) becomeknowledgeableaboutthechangeprocess. . .;(b)develop conceptual,technical,andinterpersonalskillsnecessarytofacilitateand supportpeoplethroughthechangeprocessand(c)havethecouragetodeal withtheresistancetheymayencounterasaresultofemotionalturmoiland cognitivedissonancepeopletypicallyexperiencewhentheygothroughany change.(Villa,Thousand, Nevin,& Malgeri,1996,p.177)

Darling-HammondandWise(1992)emphasizedtheimportantinfluencethat principalshaveuponteachers'collaborationandprofessionaldevelopmentinrelationtothe creationandmaintenanceofeffectiveschools. They particularly stressed the joint principal-teachers participation indecision making as an avenue towards chool improvement as follows:

participatoryschoolmanagementbyteachersandprincipals,basedon collaborativeplanning,collegialproblemsolvingandconstantintellectual sharing,producesstudentlearninggainsandincreasedteachersatisfaction andretention. Theseschoolsfeatureprincipalswhoareeffectiveleaders, and studiesshowthatsuchprincipalscreateconditionsthatencourageteacher leadership,peersupportandassistanceandparticipationindecisionmaking (p.1365)

Berryand Ginsberg(1990)alsocitedtheneedforfacilitativeleadershipineffective schoolsandforteachersasactivecollaboratorsintheimprovementprocess. Collaboration betweenschooladministratorsandteacherswasviewedasaprerequisiteforcyclicalschool improvements(Evans, 1996).

Gold (1995) examined the perceptions of regular and special educators and principals concerning the frequency and importance of collaborative practices in both more and less successful inclusives chools. The results of the study indicate that professional credibility is sues, and the professional credibility is sues, and the professional credibility is sues. The results of the study indicate that professional credibility is sues, and the professional credibility is sues. The profes

differing conceptual frameworks and collaborative practices used may diminish collaborative outcomes (Gold, 1995). The researcher also found that the perceptions of professional in high and low-achieving schools differed significantly with regard to collaborative practices related to decision-making, autonomy, and school norms. Gold supports the belief that the principal's role in collaboration appears to be a critical variable impacting collaborative outcomes.

# **ChapterSummary**

This chapter described the regular education initiative (REI) and the inclusive schools model. It also reviewed the literature on the attitudes of general education teachers and principal stoward the integration of disabled students into regular education classes. In addition, the principal's role in collaboration and the essential components of an inclusive school were addressed.

Themannerinwhichprincipal scollaboratewiththeirteacherstofacilitatethe integrationofspecialeducationstudentsintogeneraleducationclassesisthemajorfocusofthis study. As the recontinues to be a dearth of information about the leadership style and behaviors of the principal that makes integration successful, this research has been done in an attempt to contribute to the literature and to provide practicing administrators within formation regarding effective inclusionary practices.

# Chapter3

### Methodology

### Introduction

Thepurpose of the study was to examine how and why elementary school principals in a selected school district collaborate with their teaching staff to facilitate a commitment to educating students with disabilities in the general education class room. This chapter describes the research methods used in the study. Following the introduction, the chapter is organized into the research design, entry, description of the district, the samples election, data collection and data analysis, reliability and validity, and ethical considerations.

The following research questions guided the data collection in the study:

- 1. Whateducational training or experience have these principals had with models or practices that support the integration of disabled students into general education class rooms?
- 2. Whatare the educational practices used by these principals to help ensure that students with disabilities are successfully integrated with non-disable dpeers?
- 3. Whatleadershipstyleorbehaviorsofeachof these principals influence these teachers' efforts to integrate students with disabilities into general education class rooms?
- 4. How does each principal collaborate with teachers to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into general education class rooms?
- 5. What experience or training has the principal provided for general education teachers in regard to making a cademic and behavior a laccommodations for special education students?

# ResearchDesign

Theprimaryfocusofthisstudywas exploratoryanddescriptiveinnature. The researcherusedacasestudyapproachtogatherthedatatoanswertheresearchquestions. Yin's (1989) definition of casestudy washelpfulindistinguishing this research methodology from all other research designs. Hereferstoacasestudy as an empirical inquiry that (a) "investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its real-life context; when (b) the boundaries between the phenomenon and the context are not clearly evident; and in which (c) multiplesources of evidence are used "(p.23).

Theresearcher, insupport of Becker's purpose for case study, ascited by Merriam (1988), chose this method as the most appropriate to provide a "rich, thick description" of the school sthat were observed "to arrive at a comprehensive under study and to develop general theoretical statements about regularities in social structure and process" (Merriam, p. 11).

The case study method appeared also to be the most appropriate method for understanding the complexity of organizational phenomena, as it contributes so lely to our knowledge of individual, organizational, political and so cial phenomena (Yin, 1989).

Stake (1995) indicated that the main purpose of a case study is particularization, not generalization. We take a particular case and come to know it well, not primarily as to how it is different from others but what it is, what it does. There is a nemphasis on uniqueness, and that implies knowledge of others that the case is different from, but the first emphasis is on understanding the case itself. (p.8)

Theresearcherthroughouttheduration of this study remained aware of Yin's (1989) cautionary remarks.

Afatalflawindoingcasestudiesistoconceiveofstatisticalgeneralizationas themethodofgeneralizingtheresultsofthecase. Thatisbecausecases are not "samplingunits" and should not be chosen for this reason. Rather, individual casestudies are to be selected as alaboratory investigators elects the topic of an ewexperiment. Multiple cases, in this sense, should be considered like multiple experiments (or multiple surveys). Under these circumstances, the methodofgeneralization is "analytical," in which a previously developed theory is used as a template with which to compare the empirical results of cases tudy. If two or more cases are shown to support the same theory, replication can be claimed. (p.3)

Yin (1989) indicates that the ory development not only facilitates the data collection phase of the case study but also is the stage at which the generalization of the case study will occur. An important part of the researcher's methodology was generalizing to a theory. In an effort to improve the possibility of generalizing the results of this case study to other sites, the suggestions of fered by Merriam (1988) we reclosely followed.

Providingarich, thick descriptions othat anyone else interested in transferability has a base of information appropriate to the judgment. Establishing the typicality or modal category of the case-that is describing how typical the program, eventor individual is compared with others in the class, so that users can make comparisons with their own situations. (p. 11)

The design of this research was an embedded case study a sit involved multiple units of analysis. Within the single case study of the principal's role in facilitating the integration of students with disabilities into general education classes, subunits of analysis were incorporated

(Yin,1989). Three elementarys chools in a selected school district where there searcher didher field work were considered subunits and viewed a sembed dedunits of an alysis within the larger design. In keeping with Yin's (1989) advice, there searcher remained focused on the larger unit of an alysis rather than on the subunit level.

Theresearchmethodologyinvolvedtheuseofsourcesof informationwhichincluded semi-structuredinterviewswithprincipalsandteachers,reviewofwrittendocumentsrelevantto thestudy,andobservationsofselectedschoolmeetings.Interviewswereconductedtodetermine theprocessandactual inclusionarypracticesasitrelatedtoeachparticipant'sownexperience withintegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneraleducationclassesattheirschool. Interviewsfocusedontheleadershipstyleorbehaviorsoftheprincipalthatinfluenceteachers' effortstointegratestudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneraleducationclasses,howteachersand principalsviewinclusion,andthetypeofinclusivepracticesthatwerebeingusedatthestudy sites. Observationsofrelevantschoolmeetingsanddocumentspertainingtoinclusionwere usedtocorroboratetheparticipantsinterviewresponses.

#### **Entry**

Administrativeapprovalfromthedistrictinwhichthiscasestudy occurredwas obtainedthroughitsOfficeofResearch, Testing, and Program Evaluation. Theresearcher provided each principal with acopy of the research proposal and then contacted them by phone to obtain permission to do the field study. An initial meeting was held at the schools with each principal to review the research project and to meet the teachers. At times chedule for the site visitations was developed by the research erand approved by the principals before beginning the data collection each week. A meeting was held individually with each teacher who was selected by the principals and research er to participate in the study to explain the purpose of the research. The first objective of the research erwastoest ablisha comfortable relationship with all participants by treating them with respect and dignity. Written consent forms we rereceived from each participant before the actual field work commenced.

Marshalland Rossman (1989) cautioned that entrymust be renegotiated throughout the duration of the study. The researcher was significantly aware of the importance of eliciting cooperation, trust and acceptance of the participants throughout the study. To that end, the confidentiality of all datagethered at the sites was assured.

Theresearcher also came to the study as an insider having served in the study district as an LD teacher for four teen years. It is her belief that her knowledge and experience with special education practices and her involvement in the initial planning and implementation of inclusion in the district helps to add credibility to her role in conducting the study.

# <u>DescriptionoftheDistrict</u>

TheschooldistrictchosenasthestudydistrictislocatedinaMid-Atlanticstateandis partofagreatermetropolitanarea. The county in which the district resides has apopulation

nearing 1,000,000 with as chool system that ranks as one of the largest in the United States in enrollment. The school district has over 200 regular, special education, and alternative schools.

Thes pecialeducationstudentenrollmentcomprises approximately 12.9% of the total student population. Students' needs are met through a variety of programs ervice models that range from school based support provided by it in erant teachers or specialists, through resource and self-contained class rooms to separate centers and placements in private schools. In accordance with IDEA, the goal is to provide the services for each student in the least restrictive environment. There are wellower 100 elementary schools in the district, and each school's enrollment varies from less than 400 to more than 1,000. The majority of the elementary schools have kinder gartent brough sixth grade.

# **SiteSelection**

Criterionbasedorpurposivesamplingwasusedtoselecttheeleme ntaryschoolsforthe study. Purposivesampling, the non-probabilistic selection of subjects or situations, allows for information rich cases in which there searcher can learn a significant amount about issues related to the purpose of the investigation (Patton, 1987).

Selectionofthesiteswerebasedonseveralelements. Theyincludedselected factors from Whitaker's (1996) listofcriteria, expertnomination by school district officials, and other criteria that appeared in the literature. There sear cheral soused specialed ucation population, size of school (the schools selected ranged in enrollment from approximately 400 to 750 students), schools that employed two full-timespecialed ucation teachers and two full time generaled ucation teachers involved in integration, and administrators with specialed ucation experience in selecting the sample for this study. The schools selected each had two major specialed ucation programs. The generaled ucation class rooms at the three sites did not all have an atural proportion of specialed ucation students primarily due to staffing and scheduling limitations. The students with disabilities at the seschools did not all attend their neighborhood school.

### ConceptualFramework

The components of successful inclusion programs developed by Irmsher (1995) (see Chapter 2) were used as a conceptual framework where verthes tudy addressed leadership behaviors to examine the inclusive practices of the elementary principals at the school sin which the field work was conducted.

The study district does not embrace a full inclusives chools philosophy. Rather, there is a continuum of services which provides for the most appropriate instructional programming for each disabled student's needs as addressed in their Individualized Education Plan (IEP) in the least restrictive environment.

The following factors from a mong Whitaker's (1996) list of criteria were selected for this study:

- 1. Schools will employ a full time administrator.
- 2. Documentation of inclusion efforts will be available in the schools.
- 3. Schools will involve parents, central office and supports taffininclusive effort.
- 4. Schools will have a written or spoken vision of how special education students will be integrated into the general education.
  - 5. Schools will encourage social interaction for all students with disabilities.
- 6. Schools will promote high standards for all students, teachers, administrators, parents.
- 7.Schoolswillpromoteasenseofcommunity(teaming,parentinvolvemen t,coteachingparaprofessionalsaspartners,peerhelpers,flexiblescheduling).
- 8. S chools will use a variety of account ability including portfolios, individual assessments, (IEPs).

### <u>DataCollection</u>

Theprimarysourcesofdatacollectionincludedsem i-structuredinterviewswiththree elementaryprincipals,twoassistantprincipals,ninegeneraleducationteachers,andninespecial educationteachers. Otherdatawas collected from the elementary schools and school district documents, school meetings, and informal conversations within these lected sites.

<u>Interviewingandpilotingtheinstrument.</u> Accordingto Rubinand Rubin(1995), all qualitativeinterviews share the following essential characteristics that distinguish them from other forms of datagathering:

(a)Qualitativeinterviewsaremodificationsorextensionsofordinary conversations, but important distinctions. (b)Qualitative interviews are more interested in the understanding, knowledge, in sightsofthe interviewees than incategorizing people or events in terms of a cademic theories. (c)Content of the interview, as well as the follow-up questions and choices of topics, changes to match what the individual interviewees knows and feels. (pp. 6-8)

A semi-structure dintervie w protocol was developed from the literature as a guide to talk with principals and teachers about their experience with and training ineducating students with disabilities in general education class rooms (see Appendixes A and B). A pilot of the

questionswasconductedduringthemonthsofSeptemberandOctoberof1997.Fivepilot principalswereinitiallycontacted by phone and then mailed acopy of the protocol to determine theclarity, accuracy, and readability of the questions. The way in which they are worded is one of the most important ways to determine how an interviewee will respond (Patton, 1987). Two of interviewwhichwasconductedattheirschool theelementaryprincipalsinthepilotagreedtoan andrangedinlengthfromanhourandahalftotwohours. Threeotherprincipals offered the researchersuggestionsbyphone. Twoquestionswereadded and some changes in the words selectedweremadeasaresultoftherecommendationsthatwerereceived. For example, it was suggestedthatthewords"schoolclimate" beusedinsteadof"schoolculture"and"schoolor site-basedplanningteam"besubstitutedfor"steeringcommittee"tohelpensureconsistencyof understanding. It was also suggested that a question regarding the extent to which principals involvedparentsintheplanningprocessofintegratingspecialeducationstudentsintogeneral education class rooms be included. The interview protocoldeveloped for teachers by the researcherwasalsopilotedwithtwogeneral educationandtwospecialeducationteachers(see AppendixB). Alloftheteachersagreed to an interview. Three of the interviews were held at the participantsschoolsandrangedinlengthfrom45to60minutes.Oneoftheinterviewswas conducted by phone and took approximately one hour. Some of the questions were changed to helpensurethattherespondentswouldunderstandwhatwasbeingasked.Forexample,itwas suggestedthat inclusionary practices and integration models be defined to helpensure that the intervieweeunderstoodwhatwasbeingasked.

Inkeepingwith Rubinand Rubin's(1995)contentionthatthequalitative interviewing designs hould be flexible, iterative, and continuous, the questioning was redesigned throughout the study rather than rigidly adhered to in order to accommodate the expressed needs of the study subjects and towork toward validity and reliability. This flexibility in the instrument allowed exploration of new topics while keeping the research organized and focused.

<u>Selectingthesample.</u> Threeelementaryprincipals, two assistant principals, nine generaled ucation teachers, and nine specialed ucation teachers from the selected school district participated in this study. These individuals were chosen based on selected factors from Whitaker's (1996) list of criteria, administrators with specialed ucation experience, size of school in which they were employed, and expert no mination by school district of ficials.

Theinterviewprocedures. Onesemi-structuredformalinterviewwasconducted with each principal and those teachers who were selected by the principal and researcher at the elementary school sites to participate in the study. Every effort was made by the researcher to establish rapport with the interviewees and to explain the purpose of the overall interview. The investigator informed the participants about her professional role in the district. She also indicated that she was the retole arm from the mabout how principals work with their staff to support the integration of special education students into general education classes. Although the researcher came to the interview with a list of question stoen sure coverage of the major topics, adaptations were made as the conversations warranted to be meaningful to the participants. Interviews ranged in length from 45 minutes to anhour and a half. As suggested by Patton (1987), interview data was collected by note taking, audio tape recording and follow upmemos after the interviews. Note taking was used by the researcher to help formulate new

questionsduringtheinterviewandtoaidinlocatingspecificquotationsfromthetape. Probing, aninterviewingstrategythatisusedtodelvedeeperintotheinterviewee's responses, was used when more details, elaboration or clarity was needed about theis sue being discussed.

Theresearcherusedapracticeofexaminingherownpersonalreactionstothe interviewee'scommentsbydocumentingthesefeelingsinajournalimmediatelyaftereach interview. Allaudiotapedinterviewsweretranscribedandkeptinalockedfiletomaintainthe confidentialityoftheparticipantswhowereinvolvedinthestudy.

Informalinterviews and discussions were also held on a daily basis with the teachers who were selected for the study by the elementary principals where the field work was conducted. Open-ended and specific questions were asked as the conversation warranted.

A matrix was developed by the research er to help or ganize the research questions by the method used to answer them (see Appendix C).

<u>Observations.</u>Theresearcherobservedtheplanningsessionsoftheteacherswhowere involvedinthestudyeachweekandmadereflectivenotesaboutwhatwasaccomplishedinher journal.Classroomobservationsweremadefollowingtheseplanningsessionsasindicated.

<u>Documents and other sources of data.</u> Finally, there searcher examined allavailable county and school documents that related to this study. These documents included the school biennial plan, principal bulletins, teachers 'less on plans, materials/resource lists, special education manuals, and other relevant written documents. These documents were used as a source of information pertaining to the efforts made by principals and their staffs to integrate students with disabilities into general education classes.

Additional data was obtained through observations at selected school meetings. The researcher attended six meetings at each school during her three-week field work experience at each of the selected sites to obtain additional information regarding how principals and teachers collaborate to facilitate the integration of special education students into general education classes. These meetings included Local Screening/Child Study, Steering Committee, special education, and grade-level team meetings held at the three elementary schools. This resulted in approximately 18 hours of observations.

#### DataAnalysis

Thenatureofaqualitativedesignmeansthatdatacollectionandanalysisoccur simultaneously.Merriam(1988)describesdataanalysisas"averycomplexprocessthat involvesmovingbackandforthbetweenconcretebitsofdataandabstractconcepts,between inductiveanddeductivereasoning,betweendescriptionandinterpretation"(p.147).Theprocess ofanalysisinvolvesbringingordertothedata,organizingwhatthereisintopatterns,categories, andbasicdescriptiveunits(Patton,1987).

Thedataanalysisforthisstudyinvolvedusingamulti-method,triangulationapproachand occurredthroughoutthedatacollectionperiod. Triangulationinvolved checking the findings from observations, interviews and documents for consistency. The researcher endeavored to achieved theoretical sensitivity, "the ability to recognize what is important indata and give it meaning" (Strauss & Corbin, 1990, p. 46). This was accomplished by using the following analytic techniques recommended by Strauss & Corbin (1990):

- (a) asking, what is really going on here?
- (b) maintaininganattitudeofskepticismtowardanycategoriesor hypothesesbroughttoorarisingearlyintheresearch,andvalidating themrepeatedlywiththedatathemselves.(p.47)

Toensurethattheresearcherwasthoroughlyfamiliarwiththedatabeforeanalysis, she listenedtoall interviewtapes, readeachtranscribedinterviewaseachwascompleted, and rereadallinterviewtranscriptsafteralldatawascollected. Theinformationwassystematically coded, analyzed, and arranged into as many categories as possible. Open coding, the procedure defined by Straussand Corbin (1990) as "the process in which concepts are identified and developed interms of their properties and dimensions" (p. 74) was continually used by the researcher. The procedures of making comparisons and asking questions about the datawas helpfulinnaming, categorizing, and adding information to previously identified categories that were obtained from a review of the literature. The researcher's original field notes were copied. Each interview and observation was assigned a number based upon the type of data collected. Then the coded units of datawere cutupand separated into different color folders by their designated codes. Analysis and reclustering occurred as new datawas added from the researcher's field notes.

Theresearcheralsousedaxialcod ing,aprocessofputtingthedatabacktogetherin ordertomakeconnectionsbetweenacategoryandsubcategories(Strauss& Corbin,1990). This wasdonebydevelopingeachcategory

....intermsofthecausalconditionsthatgiverisetoit, the specific dimensional location of this phenomenon intermsoft sproperties, the context, the action/interactional strategies used to handle, manage, respond to this phenomenon in light of that context, and the consequences of any action/interaction that is taken. (p. 114)

TheinterviewdatawasorganizedassuggestedbyPatton(1987)intosixcategories: (a)experience/behaviorquestions,(b)opinion/valuesquestions,(c)feelingquestions,(d) knowledgequestions,(e)sensoryquestions(questionsaboutwhatisseen,heard,touched, tasted,andsmelled),and(f)background/demographicquestions. Theresearcher'squestions were clearly delineated by these categories on the interview protocols.

Amatrixwasdevelopedtoorganizethedatacollectedfromth eplanningsessionsby thefollowingcategories:(a) inclusionarypractices,(b)generalandspecialeducationteacher responsibilities,(c)instructionalactivities,and(d)evidenceofcollaboration(seeAppendixD).

Across-siteanalysiswasundertake nafterthe fieldworkhadbeencompletedatthe threeelementaryschools. Yin(1989) describes the methods of cross-siteanalysis as follows:

Eachindividualcasestudyconsistsofawholestudyinwhichconvergent evidenceissoughtregardingthefactsandconclusionsforthecase. Each case's conclusions are then considered to be information needing replication by other individualcases. Both the individual cases and the multiple case results can and should be the focus of a summary report. For each individual case, the report should indicate how and why a particular proposition was demonstrated (or not demonstrated). (p. 57)

Yin(1989)suggeststhat,acrossthecases,thereportshouldindicatetheextentof replication,logic,andwhycertaincaseswerepredictedtohavecertainresults,whereasother caseswerepredictedtohavecontraryresults.

Thesemethodswereappliedincrosssiteanalysisofthethreeelementaryschoolsites forfindingsrelatedto(a)theroleoftheprincipalinfacilitating inclusionarypractices,(b)the experiencethatgeneraleducationteachershavebeenprovidedinregardtomakingacademic andbehavioralaccommodationsforspecialeducationstudents,and(c)theprincipal'srolein facilitatingcollaborationbetweenthegeneralandspecialeducationteachers.

 $\frac{Reliability and validity.}{Reliability, as defined by Merriam (1988), refers "to the extent to which one's finding scan be replicated" (p.170). The goal of reliability is to minimize the errors and biases in a study (Yin, 1989). Qualitative research studies, however, seek to "describe and explain the world as those in the world interpretit" (p.170). Since there are a variety of interpretations of what may be happening, the probability of taking repeated measures and establishing reliability in the traditional sense is unrealistic. Lincoln and Guba (1985) suggest thinking in terms of the dependability or consistency of the results obtained from the data rather than replication. The research er used the following strategies recommended by Merriam (1988) to ensure that her results we redependable.$ 

- (a) Theinvestigator's position: The investigator explained the assumptions and theory behind the study, her position regarding the group being studied, the basis for selecting participants, and the social context from which data were collected. (Goetz & Le Compte, 1984, pp. 214-215)
- (b)Triangulation:Multiplemethodsofdatacollectionandanalysiswere used.

(c) Audittrail: Theresearcher described in detail how the data was collected, how categories were derived, and how decisions were made throughout the study. (p. 172)

Credibilityisthequalitativeparallelofvalidity. Theresearcherused some of the techniques suggested by Lincolnand Guba (1985) to enhance the likelihood that credible findings and interpretations would be produced. One of these activities was a prolonged period of engagement in which the investigator learned as much as possible about the culture of the schools that we reselected for the study in order to be come familiar with the situation, to minimize the chance of misinformation caused by distortions of the researcher or respondents, and to build the trust of the participants.

Triangulationwasalsousedtostrengthencredibility. This study employed both multiple informants and multiple data collection strategies. Interview data across schools was compared for content comparability. Memberchecks, in which there sear cherasked all of the participants involved to respond to developing theories and conclusions were employed to compare investigator perceptions against those of the informants. The researcher also used extensive excerpts from interview transcripts when presenting the results of the study.

Regulardebriefingsessionswithtwocolleagueswhoareworkingintheareaofspecial education, and university students who are engaged in their own research studies were also used to comment on the researcher's findings as they emerged. Their review of the data allowed a check for any biases or unwarranted conclusions.

Anothercriteriathatenhancesthesoundnessofaqualitativestudyistransferabilitywhich involvestheabilitytoapplyonesetoffindingstoanothercontext. Theinvestigatorattemptedto providearich, thickdescription "sothatanyoneelseinterestedintransferabilityhasabaseof informationappropriatetothejudgment" (Lincoln& Guba, 1985, pp. 124-125). Shealso conductedacross-caseanalysisaspreviouslydiscussedinthischapter.

## **EthicalConsiderations**

Anethicalcodeofbehaviorwasfollowedthroughoutthefieldwork. Theresearcherin acceptingtotalresponsibility for heractions was very conscious of the types of ethicalissues that might pervade there search process. She strived to be as no biased, accurate and honest as possible during all phases of the study. Several of the recommendations made by Bogdan and Biklen (1992) for maintaining higher thical standards were followed in conducting the research. These included treating each participant with respect while soliciting their cooperation, abiding by all negotiated terms that were made in order to conduct the research, assuring the confidentiality of the information that was reported by the participants, and being truthful in reporting the findings.

Theresearcheralsoremained aware of the ethical standards for the conduct of evaluations developed by the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, which Patton (1987) reviewed. The standards are:

Evaluations should be designed and conducted so that the rights and welfare of the human subjects are respected and protected. Evaluators should respect human dignity and worth in their interactions with other persons associated with an evaluation. Evaluation findings should be disseminated to clients and other right-to-know audiences, so that they can assess and use the findings. (p.78)

Theresearcherobtained approval from her university's research committee to ensure the ethical conduct of the research and to assure participants that they would be exposed to the least possible risk before conducting this study. Each participant was asked to signan informed consent form which described the purpose of the study, the risks, benefits, and the voluntary nature of their participation.

#### Chapter4

#### Results

## Introduction

Thepurpose of this study was to investigate how principals work with their staff to help integrates pecialed ucation students into the general education class room. The role of the principal has become increasingly complex and demanding. The diversity of students served and proliferation of special programs developed to meet their needs have produced challenges for educational administrators. Since principal behavior cannot be understood without understanding the context in which the integration model exists, a description of the school and its community is included as part of the research study. The role and responsibilities of each participant who was formally interviewed for the study was also included.

Thefindingspresentedwereestablishedbyreviewingtheinterviewtranscriptsand observationalfieldnotes, organizingthedata, lookingforpatternsthatemergedfromthedata, and crossvalidating the data obtained for accuracy. This procedure allowed the researcher to carefully analyze the data for recurring regularities that we reeventually sorted into themes. The researcher made careful decisions about what was significant in the data. The results have been arranged by school. The actual experience of these lected participants within tegrating special education students at each school are presented.

## RobertE.LeeElementary

 $\frac{Community.}{Community.} The majority of the children who attend Robert E. Lee Elementary School come from two distinctly different communities. However, because of the Spanish partial immersion and School Age Child Care (SAC) programs, about 10% of the students come from among 45 other areas of the district. Approximately 73% of the students are Caucasian, 13% are Asian, 7% are Hispanic, and 3% are African American. Roughly 5% of the children are eligible for free and reduced priced lunches. Approximately 10% of the pupils at the school move each year.$ 

The community in which the school is located has 850 single-family homes with an average price of \$180,000. It is a fairly traditional, middle class neighborhood. The neighborhood takes it name from the largers eventeen the entury English land grant on which it rests. A one-time to baccoplantation, it was the largest grant made in the county and passed through the hands of some of Americanhistory's most important families. The community is located between a major community and larger ecreational park. There are about eight miles of wooded trails that run from the community's pool and tennis courts down to the shores of the lake located within the park where fishing and paddle boating are available.

Approximately 40% of the students live in this community. Another 40% to 50% of the student body come from a community three miles away. The students are bused by two other elementary schools in order to get to Robert E. Lee Elementary since the seschools are already filled to capacity. The community is a predominately Caucasian, upper-middle-class neighborhood. The families live intraditional single family homes where the average price is \$300,000.

Themajorityofthestudentsattheschoolcomefromtraditionaltwo-parentfamilies. Theparentsthemselvesplaceahighregardoneducationandareveryconcernedabouttheir children'sacademicandsocialwelfare. The PTA is extremely active and supportive of the school and its programs. They provide over 3,000 hours of volunteerwork ayear to the school. Many efforts are made to enable every student's family to have an opportunity to participate in the large community of the Robert E. Leefamily.

 $\underline{School.} Robert E. Lee is a K-6 school with 575 general education students and approximately 25 self-contained special education students. The school, builtin 1963, had been remodeled a few times over the years.$ 

TheschoolhasaSpanishpartialimmersionprograminwhich studentsingradesone throughsixstudymathematics, science, and healthinSpanish. There are two specialed ucation programs available at the school. These are the learning disabilities and emotional disabilities programs. Students who have a learning or emotional disability are provided services in either a learning lab setting or a regiven in-class assistance from the specialed ucation teachers or instructional assistants.

Inaddition, there is a strong technology emphasis at the school. There is a PC computer labandaminimum of three Macintosh computers which are connected to a school wide are an etwork in every class room.

Staff. There are 23 full-time general education class room teachers at the school.

Robert E. Lee Elementary has a full-time physical education teacher, a music teacher, a librarian, and one full-time and one part-time guidance counselor. There are also three learning disabilities teachers, two emotional disabilities teachers, and one speech clinician. It in erant include two psychologists, one social worker, and aphysical and occupational therapist. A principal and assistant principal provide the leadership at the school.

Robert E. Lee has under gone a modest turn over in the staff in the past few years due to teacher transfer, relocation out of the district, or retirement.

The staffwas extremely open and willing to share their experiences. The eyspokevery positively about the school and the strong collaborative relationship all staffmembers have to ensure that each child is viewed as a special person and provided with a challenging educational program. There is a mentoring program available for new staff at the school.

Althoughintegratingspecialeducationstudentsintothegeneraleducationclassrooms hasinvolvedthewholestafftosomedegree,sevenindividualswereselectedtoparticipatein thisstudy. Thesevenparticipantsformallyinterviewedincludedtheprincipal, the assistant principal, threegeneraleducation teachers, and two specialeducation teachers.

"Conrad,"theprincipal. Conrad,theprincipalofRobertE.LeeElementary,hasbeen inthefieldofeducationfor24years. Hebeganhiscareerasanelementaryschoolteacherinthe Southwhereheworkedinaschoolthatmovedfromatraditionalself-containedstructuretoan open-space,non-gradedcontinuousprogressprogram. Hewas also a middles chool teacher for a coupleofyears in the early 1970 satthese venthand eightherade levelswhichwasinvolvedin multi-agegrouping. Conradbecame aprincipal in the same school distriction 1975. In 1984, he wasselectedasthefirstadministratorinthatstatetoevaluateprincipalsandcentraloffice administratorsinthecareerladder programwhichwasrunbythestatedepartmentofeducation. Afterafewyears, however, Conraddecided to return to the principalship.Hemovedtothestudy districtin1988wherehehasbeenaprincipaloftwoelementaryschools. Healsoworkedone vearintheOfficeofPlanningandEvaluationwherehewasresponsibleforstatewidetest administration. Hehasbeentheprincipal of Robert E. Lee Elementary for three years. Conrad isagracious Southerngentleman who was extremely easy to talk to and appeared to be quite comfortable with the questions that were asked.

Conradd idnot spend much time in his office during the time the researcher was observing at the school. He frequently was seen engaged in conversation with his staff about students and taking care of his many administrative duties.

 $Con rad spoke \ about his role in terms of the needs of all of the students at Robert E. \\ Lee.$ 

Myroleandthisisafairlydeeplyheldphilosophicalbelief.Myroleisto ensureappropriateinstructionforeverystudentinmybuilding.Idon'tcare whatlabeltheyhave.Ijustdon'tcare.Ireallythinkthequestionissimpler thanwearemakingit.(Interview,5/22/98,p.5)

"Adrienne,"assistantprincipal. ThiswasAdrienne'ssecondyearasassistantprincipal atRobertE.LeeElementary.Shehas25yearsofexperienceasaspecialeducationteacher. Conradhadbeenherprincipalatanotherelementarysiteinthedistrictpriortocomingtothis school.Theresheworkedwithbothlearningdisabilitiesstudentsandchildrenwithemotional disabilities.Adriennehasalsoworkedwithautisticchildrenandtaughtphysicaleducationand adaptive P.E.tomentallyretardedstudents.

Adriennespokeex tensivelyaboutherroleinfacilitatingtheintegrationofstudents withdisabilitiesintothegeneraleducationclasses. Shebelievesthatitisimportantforall principalstohaveapositiveattitudeaboutintegratingspecialeducationstudents and forthem to developaplanthat will allow inclusion to take place in an effective manner.

IhaveaspecialeducationheartsoIdobelievethatallstudentscanlearn.I believethatalltheteachershavetobeinvolvedandIthinkthenewlaw supportsthatwiththeregulareducationteacher. Thegeneraleducation teachersareattendingthe IEPsnowsotheyaregettingabetterunderstanding ofthechild'sspecialeducationneedsastheycomein. Theteachersare involvedinco-planning. Theyhaveinputintowritingthe IEPswhichisa nice, newchange. Asaleader, Ithinkteachersseethat I'mproactive for specialeducationstudents and Ihopethatencourages them to be proactive for specialeducation. (Interview, 5/15/98, p.5)

<u>"Betsy,"generaleducationteacher.</u> Betsyteachesfirstandsecondgraders. Shehas beenteachingelementaryschoolfor4years. This was herfirstyearworkinginthestudy district. Shehas four students who have been identified as learning disable dinher class room this year.

Betsyisaverydedicatedteacher. Shespokeenthusiastically aboutherteaching and howintegration was working inher class room. Shebelieves the support which she has received from the principal has really helped to make herteaching experiences osuccessful this year.

Betsyalsotalkedabouttheharmoniousworkingrelationshipshehaswiththeprimary LDteacher. Shebelievesthat good communication and collaboration are essential for successful inclusion.

Ireallyfeelcomfortablehavingherintheclassroomandwereallydowork closelywithplanningforthechildren. Therearetimes when some students need a littlemore assistancelearning different concepts and she will work with them. That has been so beneficial. (Interview, 5/22/98, p.4)

<u>"Linda,"primaryLDteacher.</u> LindateachesLDstudentsinkindergartenthroughthirdgrade. Thisyearwasherthirdyearteachinginthestudydistrict.Itwasalsoherthirdyeartoworkwith Conradasherprincipal.Lindaspokeaboutthepositiveaspectsofintegratingspecialeducation studentsintogeneraleducationclassesseveraltimes.However,itwasclearfromour conversationsthatshefeltdecisionsaboutplacingachildinanintegratedsettingshouldbe doneonacase-by-casebasis.

Idothinkthatifthereisanywaythatthekidscanbeintegrateditis definitelybeneficialforthekidswithspecialneedsandfortheclassroomkids becausetheycanlearnsomanythingsfromeachother. Ialsothinkthey shouldn'tbepushedjustbecausewearegoingforamoreinclusivetype environment. Ithinkitshouldbewhateverisbestforthechild. (Interview, 9/18/98,p.1)

Lindaalsotalked aboutwhatsheperceivedastheprincipal's expectation for inclusion of special education students at the school.

Ithinkhefeelsitisimportanttodo.Iknowthatjustbytalkingwithhimhe hasaphilosophyofmulti-agegroupingwhichalsofitsnicelywiththespecial edtheory.ThatisoneofthereasonsIworkwiththefirstandsecond grade combinationclass.Ithasworkedoutsonicebecausethespecial edkidssee thatit'sokaytoputthemindifferentgroupsbecausethestudentsareall mixedinfirstandsecondanyway.Ithinkourprincipalisopentoalotof differentideas.(Interview,9/18/98,p.2)

<u>"Jean," generaleducation teacher.</u> Jeanteachesafifthgradegeneraleducation classroom. Shehastaughtattheelementarylevelinthestudydistrictfor 25 years. Shehasbeen at Robert E. Lee Elementary for the past 11 years and has worked for Conradfor 4 years.

Jeanhasaspecialeducationinstructionalassistantwhoworkswithher2hoursaday. Sheappearedtobegenerallypositiveaboutworkingwithstudentswithdisabilities ,however, shespokeaboutherobligationtoteachallofthechildreninherclass.Shesharedherown experiencesofworkingwithstudentswithemotionaldisabilitieswhoshefeltwerenot adequatelypreparedtobeintegratedintoageneraleducationclassroom.Sheindicatedthatshe feelsintegrationformoststudentswithdisabilitiescanbesuccessfuliftheproper accommodationsaremadeandiftheadministratorsprovidethenecessarysupport.

Ithinkspecialeducationstudentsshouldonlybeintheregularclassroomif theyhaveabasicacademicunderstandingofwhatisgoingon.Iftheir behaviorseverelydisruptsthelearningprocess,Idon'tthinktheyshouldbe mainstreamed.(Interview,6/4/98,p.6)

JeanstatedthatshehasagoodworkingrelationshipwithbothConradandAdrienne. Shefeelstheyareverysupportiveandtrulycareaboutthestudents,parents,andstaffatthe school.

Meg, "generaleducationteacher. Megteachessixth gradegeneraleducationstudents. She iswellorganized, and it is evident that the students responditely to her tenthyear in the district and her tenthyear at Robert E. Lee Elementary.

Megbelievesherowninterestinspecialeducationwasinitiallypromptedbyherson's academicproblems. Althoughhewasdiagnosedwith as everemath disability, the school system in which heatten ded did not have any special math programs at that time. Megindicated she spentlonghours helpinghim with his math assignments and making special material stohelphim learnnew concepts.

Meg described herown role in integrating students with disabilities into her class room invery positive terms.

Ihave probably what would be considered for any general education teacher who is working with special education students, particularly working with the considered for any general education teacher.

bothEDandLDstudents,themostidealsituationthatcouldeveroccur.I havetosayIreallyfeltit'smadeatremendouseffectonthelearningofthe studentsandtheattitudethattheotherstudentshadwiththespecialeducation students.Theclassissmall.Ihavethreestudentswithemotionaldisabilities andfour learningdisabledstudentswhoreceivetheirscienceandmath instructionfromme.(Interview,6/9/98,p.6)

"Marie," specialeducationteacher. Marieteaches fifthands ixth gradestudents who have emotional disabilities. Shere ceived her bachelor's degree in business administration and has her master's in specialeducation. Shewasa para professional in a preschoolearly intervention programina nother state for a year and a half while she attended graduates chool. She then taught for 1 year as a substitute specialeducation teacher. This school did not categorize the students with disabilities, so she had an opportunity to work with visually impaired, learning disabled, and emotionally disturbed children. She has worked with Conrad for one year.

Mariewasverycandidaboutherexperiencesintegratingherspecialeducationstudents intothegeneraleducationclassesthisyear.

When I gotherein the Fall, I had no idea what had happened last year. I had no idea what was going to happen this year. I gotthe job the week before school started. I was to ldby the principal that the students were fairly on gradelevel and they needed to be integrated for specials and some for a cademic sdepending on what their IEP stated. I didn't know that some teachers were he sit anto integrate students with emotional disabilities because of some problems that happened last year. I think that was good because I was open. I just approached the mall with this is what I was told needed to do. A cademically, I pushed that the students be integrated into the general education class room as quickly as possible. I think, for the most part that was a good choice. (Interview, 6/11/98, p.2)

T

Otherparticipants. Theresearcherhadseveralinformalconversations within dividuals who worked a torwhohad previously taught at Robert E. Lee Elementary. The staff members included the guidance counselor, the reading specialist, other class room and specialed ucation teachers, the school secretary, and even are tired teacher who had taught at the school formany years and who now substituted there. These conversations took placed uring the teacher's duty-free lunch period and after the students had left for the day. The majority of the comments were very positive about integrating students with disabilities.

Theparaprofessionalswereverywillingtotalkabouttheirownexperiencewith inclusionattheschool. One of the special education instructional assistants, Carrie, talked about herexperience at the school as being rewarding. She indicated that she liked working at the school, because she felt that both the principal and assistant principal were very supportive and easy total kto about any problems that may arise.

Theytreatmeasaprofessionalandoftenaskhowthingsaregoinginthe classroom. Ihavea closeworking relationship with the special and general education teachers I work with and I feellucky to be working at such agreat school. (Interview, 6/3/98, p. 1)

Anotherparaprofessional, Agnes, stated that she was sorry she would be leaving the school because her husband was being transferred to another location. She indicated that the school climate here is very positive about working with all children regardless of their learning ore motional problems. She also stated that she always felt comfortables peaking with Conrad about any personal or professional problems, and he always found time to talk with her.

Thereadingspecialistalsosharedsome of experiences with working at the school. She described the school in favorable terms. "Hereat Robert E. Lee Elementary, we don't treat children differently because they are in a special education program. They are respected and considered part of the school 's community' (Interview, 6/5/98, p. 1).

Schoolclimate. Itwasevidentfromthecommentssharedbytheteachersand administratorsthattheywerecommittedtoprovidingasupportiveandnurturingenvironment foreverystudentattheschool. The childrenwere greetedeach morning upon arrival and at dismissal time by avariety of school personnel that of tenincluded the principal, the assistant principal, the guidance counselor, and some of the class room teachers and paraprofessionals. Students were encouraged to participate in a number of leadership roles provided by the Student Council Association, Just Say No Club, safety patrols, student mentor programs, and the student liaison for the school's human relations program.

Classroomobservationsrevealedthattheteachersworkedcollaborativelytoensurethat eachchildhasachallengingeducationalprogram. The faculty appeared to be ende avoring to fulfill the goals of the school mission which most of them had participated in writing. The stated mission indicates that "Robert E. Lee is a school where the climate is one of mutual respectand support. We encourage diversity and risk taking for our staff, our students, and within our community "(School Plan, 9/97, p. 1).

Con rad spoke can didly about how the school mission was developed and how it addressed the inclusion philosophy.

ActuallytheschoolplanwasdevelopedthesameyearastheEDprogram cameintothebuilding.Idonotrecallanyspecificdiscussionsamongthe staffmembersatthetimeoftheschoolplanthatwasrelatedtotheissuesof EDinclusion.Andyetifyou'vereadtheschoolplanitreallyappliestoall studentsatalltimes.ProbablythemissionstatementImeantto say,is reflectiveofamoregeneralizedattitudeoftheteachersandtheteachersand staffposseswithrespecttodifferences.Butitwasn'tdesignedforthe acceptanceofinclusionasmuchasitwasfortheacceptanceofallstudents. (Interview,5/22/98,p. 3)

In a conversation with the assistant principal, she described the school as a warm and comfortable place to learn and work.

Atourschoolwewelcomeallchildrenwhatevertheirareasofdisabilitiesor abilities. Wewelcomethemandconsiderthemapartoftheschool. Wehave severaldifferentprogramsinspecialeducationplusthe Spanishimmersion programatourschool. Webelievethat allofour children are part of one family. (Interview, 5/15/98, p.1)

Conradtalkedca ndidlyabouttheprogressthathasbeenmadeintheacceptanceof integratingstudentswithspecialneedsintotheclassroom.

TheteachersIthinkareveryacceptingofstudentsthathavealothigher incidenceofdisabilities, that is to say learning disabilities. It hink teachers areveryacceptingofthemintheclassroom. That has n't always been the case. The community and staff pride itself on hightestscores. Wehavefairly highachievingstudentshere.Probablyareflectionhopefullyofgood teaching, but there is a lot of parental support at home. But even with that, most of our teachers have been accepting of LD students. About three yearsagotherewasanissueofwhetherornottheywereourkidsoryourkids. Theirmeaningthespecialists. Ithinknow teachers are very open to these childrenintheclassroom. Theteachers do have some is sue sin regard to the EDprogramthathasbeenhereforthelastcoupleofyears. Moresorelatedto theaberrantbehaviors. With regard to their attitude there has been alittle more, Iwon't callitresistance, but a higher level of concernabout accepting somestudentsintotheclassroomwhentheirbehaviorortheir manifestedinwhatwetraditionallycallimperfectbehavior. Isuspectwe've madegreatstridesinthelastcoupleofyears. We'vedonealotofworkto encourage better communication between the ED teachers and the class roomteachers.Idothinkthechildrenarewelcomeintotheclassrooms.However, attimesteachershaveaheightenedsenseofconcernabouthowtohandle childrenwhohavewhatIwouldcallaberrantbehaviors.(Interview, 5/22/98, p.2)

 $\underline{Staffsupport.}\ It was apparent from the information that was shared by the teachers and administrators and the observations made by the researcher that the principal was extremely attentive to the needs of the staff. Instructional materials were observed to be quite plentiful in all of the class rooms.$ 

IhaveeverythingIwantandmore.Whateverweaskforhebuys.Healways supportsus.Thefifthgradehasputinalotofrequests.We'veaskedfor microscopes,CD ROMs.He'sneverturnedusdown.(Interview,6/4/98,p.6)

Marie, the fifth-sixth grade ED teacher, also felt that she had more than enough resources for her instructional program.

AtthebeginningoftheyearthePTAdonatesalotofmoneytoeveryone. We hadthatmoney. Wehadanothersumofmoneyfromsomewhereelse. I'm amazedattheresourceshere. Iwasabletospendallofthatmoney. (Interview, 6/11/98, p.4)

When Conradwas as ked about his willing ness to provide funding for instructional materials that have been requested by the special and general education teachers, he explained that the statement of the state

We'vehadsomefairlyheateddiscussionswithinthePTA.Everyteachernow receivesasumofmoney. Everyteacherwithinthebuildingreceiveswhatever theyneed.Itakesomeprideinthefactthatnoteacherhaseverbeenturned downforarequestthattheyhavemadehere.Theymayhavetothinkit throughandexplainwhytheyneedwhattheyneed.Itdoesn'tmatter.There are plentyofresourcesinthiscounty.(Interview,5/22/99,pp.5-6)

Conradalsotalkedaboutotherways hehadtriedtosupporttheteachers. Heindicated that hehas provided additional instructional assistants when needed, communicated with parents, and helped them to solve problems. He spoke candidly about the importance of maintaining good discipline in the class room and treating teachers as professionals.

Withthekindofspecialeducationprogramwehavehere,thatistheED program,I'vetriedmybesttobeverysupportiveoftheteacher'sconcernsand issueswithregardtodiscipline.Uptothepointofdemandingthatchildren withdisabilitiesexhibitappropriateclassroombehaviorswhentheyenterthe classroom.Ireallybelieveintryingtotreatpeoplelikeprofessionals.Youare notgoingtogetprofessionalbehaviorunlesspeoplearelookedatas professionalandthatmeansyouallowthemtomakedecisionsandyouallow themtomakemistakes.(Interview,9/21/98,p.6)

Theteachersalsodiscussedthesupporttheyreceivedfromtheprincipalinrespectto solvingvariousproblems. Jeantalkedabout Conrad's willingness to help theteachers by describing his leadership style. Sheexplained that

Heverymuchoverseeswhat'sgoingonintheschool,buthedoesexpectusto workoutwhatneedstobeworkedout.Heisverysupportiveofwhatwe workout.Hereallydoesn'twanttoknowallthe nittygritty,buthebacksus inthedecisionswemake.(Interview,6/4/98,p.2)

Supportintermsofhiringadditionalinstructionalassistantswasalsoapparent. These positions are seen as giving assistance to special and general education teachers. One ED teacher had two instructional assistants because of the number of students shewas responsible for teaching while the other special education teachers had one instructional assistant who was assigned to work with students in the special and general education class rooms. Teachers

viewedthissupportasextremelycriticaltointegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintotheregular classroom.

 $\label{lem:continuous} Je antal kedopen lyabout the support that the principal has shown in providing teachers with paraprofessional stohelp the mintegrate students with disabilities more effectively into the classroom.$ 

Hehasspentalotofmoneyhavingsomanyinstructionalaides. There are abouttenaidesinthe buildingwhichisprogress. Irememberwhenwehad the samenumber of students and we only had three or four assistants. He has budgeted hismoney to supportaides in the class room and I thinkit's marvelous because without the assistant in the class room we still cannot give children the one-on-one support. (Interview, 6/4/98, p.6)

<u>Risktaker.</u> CarefulanalysisofthedatabytheresearcherrevealedthatConradcould beseenasarisktaker. HewillingsharedsomeofthehistoryoftheEDprogramattheschool. Healsodiscussedsomeofthechangesandmistakeshehasmadesincebecoming principalin regardtointegratingstudentswithemotionaldisabilities.

TheEDprogramwasmovedintothebuildingatthesametimethatIbecame theadministrator. There was alot of reservation on the teachers' part. Probablyareluctanttoexpressthatreservationbecausetheywerepretty competentteachersandtheyknewtheyshouldn'thaveanyreservationabout an EDkid, but deep down they really did. When I first came in I hiredayery sharpyoungman. Weonlyhadoneclass of ED students and they were all fifthandsixthgradestudents.Onthefirstday,Isortofmandatedthatwe weregoingtostartouttheway weoughttobeservingchildren.Westart withthepremisethatchildrenwillbeintheclassroomandthenpulledoutor servicedinareasthattheyneeded.Itwasamistakebecausechildrencamein andtheyleftaschoolwheretheyhadateacherandseveralofthemhadgone through the identification process, and then we put them back in with another general edteacherwithoutanyspecializedtraining. Aboutallwehaddone wasmovedlocations. We hadan ED teacher there who was trying to operate inalabsetting, butrarely were there any opportunities to work with the studentsinregardtotheirEDissuesandtheirbehaviorsthatwereoccurring as are sult of their disability. Within two or three months the children were sortoffallingapart. Wehadtoregroup, startpulling the moutand basing them more in the ED room. And we went to more of a self-contained model.Bythattimeteachersreallyfeltputupon. Theyfelttheywereillequipped dealwiththeseissues. Some reluctance to acknowledge their own lack of skillsindealingwithcertainkindsofchildren.Sotherewasalotof retrenchmentonthepartofthespecialed.andtheregularclassroomteachers. (Interview, 9/21/98, p. 4)

to

Con radals os poke about the community 's reaction regarding the decision to have the ED program at the school, and how he at tempted to resolve some of the problems that occurred.

Withrespecttotheparents, there was a lotofcautiousreservationaboutwhat arethosekidsgoingtodotomychild'sopportunitytolearnintheclassroom andbefreeofdisruption. They didn't complain a lottome, but it was obvious thatthere wassomeraisedeyebrowsfromPTAparentswhentheywere talkingaboutthatclassandthosekids. Soweactually, asmuchas Ididn't wanttodo it(sic).Ididn'twanttheteacherstofeelthattheyhadtolivewitha situationthatwasuntenable.Sowe didmovebackandmovetoamoreselfcontainedmodel.Lastyear,ittook2years,butwehadenoughtrustbuilt between the teachers and new ED teachers who didn't share the history.Therewassomecommonsharing.ImandatedthattheEDteacherswouldbe requiredtogototheteammeetingsinsteadofseparateandapart. They have togotothefifthgrade, sixthgrade teammeetings. Ialsohad tomandateto the team that they would set the meeting sattimes when the LD and EDteacherscouldattendthemeetings. ThelastthingthatIdidalongthatlinesis when we got a fourth grade ED kid, we went to crosslevel teammeetings wherethespecial edteachers, both the LD teacher and the ED teacher would bepartofasix-memberoreight-memberteamwithregular edteachers. (Interview, 9/21/98, p.5)

The assistant principal openly shared the experience she had working for Conradatt wo differents chools in the district.

Throughoutthisschoolandanotherschoolhewillinglyencouragednew programstocomeintohisschool. Hebroughtinthepreschoolhandicapped programsix new classes. This was daring since it was at a time when they were pretty new in the county. He was the first principal to have the ED program at this school. He had to do a lot of sharing and visionary work with the teacherstoen courage them because some of them were probably a little reluctant because of the unknown. (Interview, 6/15/98, p.3)

Thesixth-gradegeneral edteacheralsotalkedabouthowConradhadmademajor changesattheschoolsinceassumingthe principalship. Theschoolhadoperatedonamiddle schoolconceptatthesixth-gradelevelformanyyears. Conradfeltthatheneededtomakea changetobetteraccommodatethespecialeducation and Spanishimmersion programs.

Ithinkwhathehasdoneishehasallowedtheteacherstohavesomeinput intothesituation. Butasanadministrator, healsohadthecouragetomake thedecisionsaboutwhathethinksisbestforourschool. Recently, this past year, heeliminated the middleschool concept at the sixth grade. And having been the teacher who had been here and initiated that concept which then became the model in the county, I frankly was resentful of that fact. However, he felt that we needed a change and as the administrator made the change.

Alongwiththathechangedthesetupoftheimmersionprogram.(Interview, 6/9/98,p.5)

<u>Communication.</u> Therewere many references made to the need for effective communication in facilitating a more inclusive environment at the school. All of the teachers who were interviewed felt that they had the opportunity to express themselves freely without fear of being ridiculed or reprimanded by the principal.

Since Conrad's arrival at the school, he has created many opportunities for open discussions. He appeared towant total honesty in regard to the teachers' experience with integration and other concerns they shared. Megtalked about his desire to have good communication with his staff.

Hecameintotheschoolandthefirstthinghedidbeforehebecame principal attheendofthepreviousyearisheaskedtohavemeetingswitheachofthe gradelevels. One of the things the teachers learned about him was that he was willing to work with teachers and listentoideas. And there was no concept or idea that he would not listento. (Interview, 6/9/98, p.2)

Megal sotal ked about the changes that had been made by Conrad since his arrival at the school.

Ourprincipaldoesnotbelieveinalotoffacultymeetingsandpreferstreating teachersmoreindividuallyandnotjustagroupofpeople. Theinformation thatisdisseminatedisprovidedinweeklynewsletters. Weavoidhavingtoo manyfacultymeetings. Healsohasmadeachangeofhavinggradelevel teamsthataretwoorthreegradestoateamaspartoftheschoolplan. Our goalisthatourcommunicationbeonamoreacademiclevel. The administratorssitinonthesemeetings. Thespecialeducationteachersandall otherteacherswhoworkwiththestudentswhoarebeingdiscussedareasked toattend thesemeetingssothecommunicationisclear. Onethingwefound inthepastisthatitwasreallyimportantthateveryoneheardthesamethingat thesametimesoifanythingneededtobeclarifiedorcertainissuesortime framesneeded tobeadjustedwecoulddoitrightthenandthatavoideda ofproblemsthatwouldhappenlateron. (Interview, 6/9/98, p.2)

lot

Con radtal ked in terms of the value system he was trying to communicate to the teachers in regard to his own expectations for the integration of special education students.

It's not about personality. It's just a value system. I had a staff developer give a whole speech on it. Who ever we have in the class room are our students for that year. And that value system I want to communicate to all teachers. (Interview, 9/21/98, p.3)

Conradalsospokeaboutsomeofthewayshecommunicateswiththestafftohelp ensurethatintegrationofstudentswithdisabilitiesintothegeneraleducationclassesis successful.

TheassistantprincipalisdevelopinganewoverviewontheIDEAlaw.We are going to use that inservice as another attempt to encourage the belief that inclusion is the way to serve all children. That is the direction we are going. So occasionally we use awhole staff meeting, but alot of time formeit's moving up and down the hallway. I've just spent the last two hours going into different teachers' class rooms raising issues. At the same time, trying to address is sues that I know the teachers may be having with a particular special education student. I really believe in a lot of informal conversations throughout the building and a lot of networking with different staff members about the issues. (Interview, 9/21/98, p. 8)

Conradindicatedthatparentandcommunitysupportwas crucialtothesuccessofthe inclusionmodel. Manyschoolanddistrictnewsletters revealed that the parents are kept informed on a regular basis about student progress and school activities and events. The principal also works with the PTA and meets with parents as needed to help them better understand how special education students are being integrated into general education class rooms. In addition, the special education staff and school psychologisthave held works hops on homework and disciplinary strategies to assist parents.

<u>Masterscheduleandplanningtime.</u> Mostoftheteachersinterviewedtalkedabouthow the Spanishimmersion programad versely impacted the masterschedule. Although a few teachers felt that they had a dequate time to collaborate with their content of the middent.

 $\label{lem:Jean,thefifth-gradeteacher,discussed the masters chedule in terms of how it affected in struction this year.$ 

Ithinkthemasterschedulehasworkedbetterthisyear.Ourmasterschedule revolvesaroundtheimmersionprogrambecausetherearesomanychildren whohavetogotoasecondteacher.Soeverythingrevolvesaroundthat.

P.E., music,lunchschedules,andthenwithinthatcontextwehavetofigureout whereourblocksoftimearegoingtobe.LanguageArtsandmatharepretty muchdictatedby thatimmersionschedule.Thenwehavetofitthose childrenintothoseblocks.Solactuallythinkofitasahindrance.Wehad muchmoreflexibilityinplanningbeforewewereimpactedbytheimmersion schedule.(Interview,6/4/98,p.6)

Megal sotal ked aboutherown experience planning with the fifth-sixth grade ED teacher this year.

Onaformalbasiswemakearealefforttodiscussthecurriculumissuesona weeklybasis. Wedotalkwitheachothereveryday, butit's allveryinformal aswe'repassing in the hallor coming up the steps. Wedon't even have the same lunchsothere isn't even that available. (Interview, 6/9/98, p. 13)

Betsy,thefirst-secondgradeteacher,seemedtobequitep leasedwiththeamountof planningtimeshehadwiththeprimaryLDteacherthisyear.

IworkedwiththeLDteacheratthebeginningoftheyeartocomeupwith timesthatwouldworkforus.It seemtohaveworkedout.Iknowit'svery difficultforhertoschedulewithalltheteachers'schedulesandeverything thatgoeson.EveryschoolthatIhavebeenoutit'salwaysanissueandIthink italwayswillbe.(Interview,5/22/98,p.8)

Conradacknowledgedthatmostoftheteachersfeltthattheyhadi nsufficientplanning timetoeffectivelyimplementan inclusionarymodelattheschool.Hetalkedextensivelyabout hiseffortstoresolvethisproblem.

Well, probably the first thing we should acknowledge if we are to be totally honestisthatalotofplanningtimeisbreaktimeandthatislegitimatetoo. It'sokaytotakeabreak. This year the teachers developed their own schedulessothattheytriedtohaveacommonplanningtime. Ithinkthatall gradelevelssomewherehaveacommonplanningtimebyusingart, music, and P.E.Buteventhenyouaretalkingaboutthirtytoforty-fiveminutes. That's notenough time. Creatively the best way to do that and we've do neit periodicallyforspecialistsisuseassistantstocovertheclassrooms. You're notgettingthebestinstructionforthekidsandwehavetodecidewhichone isthemostimportant. In the best of all worlds there would be some trained teacherswhowouldfloatandtakeclassesatacommontime. We'renever goingtohavethebestofallworlds. Ihateformyteacherstohearthis, but downdeepthereisenoughtime. There's enoughtime to down at you want to do. Sometimes you almost use it as almost an excuse for not doing what you knowdeepdowndeepyoushouldbedoing. Ihavehaddifferent groups come tomeandsaytheyneedalittletimetogetherandcanyouarrangethe schedule.Sureifandwhentheyreallywantit.Butattimestheyneeda15-or 20-minutebreak. Weforgethowintensiveteachingisintheclassroom. And sometimesifyouhavechildrenfor2-1/2to3hoursandyouarereallyfocused indelivering instruction you don't need to sit down and talk with teachers to plan. Youneed time to recharge your own batteries. So I don't have an answertoit. I'm wide open, but without additional staff I don't know how to doit.(Interview, 9/21/98, p.12)

<u>Professionaldevelopment.</u> Staffdevelopmentthatsupportstheintegrationofspecial educationstudentsisanongoingprocessatRobertE.LeeElementary.Teachersand administratorsagreedthatthespecialeducation inservicesandclassestheyhaveattendedhave

increased their ability towork more effectively with a widerange of student needs. The most recent special education related staff development activities at the school have consisted of information on making a cademic and behavioral accommodations for students with special needs, collaborative practices, special education laws and procedures, and using technology to help students in the class room. The specific topics were chosen based on what the staff had indicated they wanted to learn. The inservices were usually held before school or on days when the children were not at school.

Onespecialeducationteacherwhowasinterviewedinformallyindicatedthatt herehad beenalotofdistrictlevelstaffdevelopmentopportunitieswhichwereofferedtohelpteachers andparaprofessionalslearnhowtoworkmoreeffectivelywithspecialeducationstudents. However,teachersneededtobemotivatedandhavethetimetoattendthesetrainingsessionsas manywereheldaftertheschooldaywasended.

Whenaskedaboutwhattypeof inserviceopportunitiesthathadbeenprovidedeither throughtheadministrationorotherstaffmembers, Conradindicated that hetried to give teachers choices about choosing their ownstaff development activities.

Ibelieveinusingpeoplewhohavemoreexpertisethanmyself.I'vealways triedthroughouttheyearsintheschoolswhereI'vebeenaprincipalto provide inservicestothestaff.ButIsuspectthatsomeofmyteachersare moreskilledthanIam. CaseinpointisthatintheFallourassistant principal,whohasastrongbackgroundinspecialeducation,workedwithour twoschoolpsychologistsandspecialeducationstaffoffiveteachersto developan inservicetorevisitnotjustsomeoftheimplicationsofhowto managethepaperworkrelatedtospecial ed,butmoreaboutwhatitmeansto createclassroomclimateswhereallchildrenfeeltheyarebeingappropriately challenged.(Interview,5/22/98,p.4)

Con radal so talked about his own training regarding integrating special education students into general education classes.

Mostofmyown classworkhadtodomorenotwiththephilosophical implicationsofwhatitmeanttoincludethesestudents, butthelegal requirementswithrespecttoadministeringprograms. Ihavehadstandard kindsofclassesand inservicesprovided throughout they ears in both school systems. Ihave been in with respect to inclusion and integration. More with respect to the requirements of the law and what I would call ageneral underlying philosophy about why children belong and what it means to so ciety to include the sest udents with disabilities. (Interview, 5/22/98, p.4)

Adrienne, the assistant principal had attended many special education inservices within the county.

I'vebeeninthis countyforeightyears.I'vetakenmanyclassesforspecial educationinthefieldoflearningdisabilities.InclusionwasfairlynewwhenI arrivedinthiscounty,soItookclassesand inservicesonspecificlearning disabilitiesandnewideasinspecial ed.Ialsoservedonmanycommitteesat theAreaOfficeinreassessingspecialeducationstudents.I'vetaken mainstreamingclassesand inclusionaryclasses.I'vedonesomeworkwith IDEA.Iattendedthe inservicesthattheLDteacherstookwiththemsothatI couldbeasknowledgeableandtheyarewithallthenewrulescomingout. (Interview,5/15/98,p.4)

TheprimaryLDteachertalkedabouthowvaluablethe beginningteacherprogramwas toherwhenshefirstcameintothedistrict.

IthinkIwasfortunatewhenIcameintothecountywehadabeginning teachercoursethatwentforthreeyears. TheyprovidedamentorsoIhad someonewhowasinspecial edalotlongerthanIwasandwasabletohelp mefeelouttheenvironmentandhelpmetolearnmyroleasaspecial educator. (Interview, 9/18/98, p.3)

Betsy spoke about how she believed her opportunity to work so closely with the primary LD teacher had been an invaluable learning experience.

InworkingsocloselywiththeLDteacher, she'shelpedmetofindotherways toteachthekidsandotherwaystobesuccessful. It'skindofon-the-job training. (Interview, 5/22/98, p.7)

Meg,thesixthgradeteach erspokeaboutthekindsof inservicesthathadbeen providedattheschool. Sheappeared to bevery frustrated regarding her lack of sufficient training to work with ED students and shared some of the staff's concerns.

Intermsofstaffdevelopmenttrainingatthebeginningofthisyearwehadour ownspecialeducationstaffdevelopsometrainingintermsofmainlyhowto presentpeopleforScreening.Inadditiontothat,theyalsopreparedsome handoutsandhadsomediscussionintermsofdealingwiththestudentsinthe identified....OneoftheconcernsIthinkwe classroomoncetheyhadbeen hadfromthebeginningwhenwefoundout(sic). Wehadforanumberof yearsthelearningdisabledprogram. Wehadaself-contained, as well as a pull-outprogram. We'vehadvarious components of mainstreaming, inclusion, and so forth. But our ED program is only 2 years old. The training thatweweregivenatthebeginningwasbasicallytheteacherscameoverand saidthestudentswerereadytobemainstreamed. Wewouldnotnoticea differenceintheclassroom. They talked about a few of the time outstrategies andafewoftheotherthingsthatcouldbeusedstatingthecommonsense things.Behaviorcontracts,afewotherthingswerediscussed.Itwasaboutan hour-long inserviceinwhichwehadanopportunitytoaskourquestions.At

thattimeweaskedwhenadditionalstaff inserviceswouldbeavailablesince noneofushadanyadditionaltraininginworkingwithEDstudents.It soundedasifweweregoingtogetthatOTJtraining.Wewereveryconcerned aboutthat.Afewteachershadworkedinothercountiesandotherstatesand hadworkedwithemotionallydisturbedchildrenandwereconcernedabout thephysicaloutburstsandsoforthandhowthatwouldbehandled.Andto myknowledgetherehasnotbeenanyfollowup inservicesinthoseareas.I can'tspeakforeverygradelevelbecauseperhapsatthelowerlevelstherehas beensomething.Butintermsofgettingourquestionsansweredfromthe special eddepartment,certainly.Butasfarasaformal inserviceorbeingsent somewhere,orsomeonecomein,therehasnotbeen.(Interview,6/98,pp.20-21)

Megalsotalkedaboutthetypeof inservicetrainingshewouldliketoreceiveatthe school.

Ithinkit'sreallyhardtogeta4-yearprogramintoa45-minute inserviceto tellmehowtodealwithspecial edstudents. Anditisafull program for certification. And evengoing backfor recertificationit's anumber of hours andtraining.Intermsofwhat teachersneedintheclassroom, it needs to be a practical approach. It hink probably some suggestions for managing the planningbetweenthespecial edteacherandtheregular ed teacher....The inserviceitwasalmostasifweweregiven originaltimewehadour instructiononclassroommanagementforbeginningteachers. These are all thingsasaclassroomteacheryouknow. Ineedtoknowwhat's beyondthat. I knowhowtodothat.ButwhenakidthrowsachairacrosstheroomwhatdoI do. Thattypeofthing. Orwhenyou'vesaidthreetimesifyouhaveaquestion raiseyourhand, and it's still not being done. There are different procedures that different special edteachers follow. It hink the important thing is what is heexpectedtodowhenheiswithyou.(Interview, 6/9/99, p.21)

Jean, the fifth-gradegeneral education teacher, also supported the belief that class room teachers needed more county-wide training in order to work with students with special needs.

IhavehadsometrainingwithJudyWood.Andthatwaswellworththetime.Asfaras thecountytraining,thecountyhasnotreallydoneawholelottotrainustodealwith allofthesekidsandIknowthatisapartofthefrustration.Thatwehaven'thadthat, butthechildrenjustcomerighttous.(Interview,6/4/98,p.4)

Con rad spoke about the kind of inservice training that he would like his staff to have in the upcoming year.

IthinkIamhalfwaytowhereIwouldliketobe.Iwouldlikemyregular ed teachersandstafftohavemoretrainingandagainI'mreferringtomyED kids. Moretraininginmanagement.Iftheyhadbetterskillsindealingwith

childrenwhoareverydifficult,confrontational,waystodeescalate confrontationtheyprobablywouldbemorecomfortable.(Interview,9/21/99, p.9)

# <u>Summary of Themes</u>

Sixthemesemergedfromtheinterviews, class room observations, and documents reviewed that reflects how the principal was inworking with the staff to integrate special education students. The first theme had to do with the school climate. The data collected by the researcher revealed the principal's over all positive attitude toward working with special education students in the general education class rooms. Although, most participants indicated that an inclusive model was only appropriate if it met satisfactorily the academic and so cial emotional needs of the child, the principal maintained the high priority of inclusion. The administrators and many of the teachers at the school spoke about a family climate where high expectations were set for all students regardless of their abilities or disabilities.

Asecondthemethatemergedwasrelatedtoprincipalsupport. Alloftheteachers who participated in the study felt that the principals were extremely supportive of their efforts to integrate the students with special needs. Support was evidenced through a dequate instructional materials, personnel, and training.

Athirdthemewasrelatedtotheprofessionaldevelopmentopportunitiesforthe whichwereprovidedattheschoolorthroughthedistrict. Althoughmostteachersviewedthe inservicetrainingrelatedtoworkingwithspecialeducationstudentsashelpful, manyfeltthat additionaltrainingwasneededtohandlethebehavioralconcernsofthestudentswithemotional disabilities. Afourththemewasrelatedtocommunicationattheschool. Theteachersfeltthat theprincipalsencourageddialoguebetweenteachersandbetweentheprincipalsandthe teachers. Theyindicatedthattheyfeltcomfortablesharinganyproblemsorconcernsthatmay occur. Allparticipantsviewedgoodworkingrelationshipsascriticaltothesuccessofinclusion. Another themewhichemergedhadtodowiththeimportanceofhavingadequateplanninganda masterschedulethatallowedforintegrationtoworksuccessfully. Thefinalthemethatwas evidentfromthedatawasthatteachersviewedConradasarisktakerwhowasnotafraidto makemajorchangesattheschool. Heopenlyencouragedteacherstobeinvolvedinschool decisionsregardingcurriculum, instructionalplanning, scheduling, andintegratingstudentswith specialneedsintothegeneraleducationclassrooms.

#### BakersvilleElementary

<u>Community.</u> Bakersville Elementaryislocatedinasuburbancommunityofalarge metropolitanarea. Itisaverydiverse, multi-ethnicmiddleclassneighborhoodthatiswithin closeproximitytotwomajorhighways. Therearemanyhistorical sites and alarge international airportlocated closeby. The school is situated on several acresandwas on ceowned by an important Civil Wargeneral. A new regional public library and a large secondary school are within walking distance of Bakersville.

Theparentstakeanactivepartintheir childrens'learning. Abellthat stands at the front of the school contributes to the school 's sense of community during special events and outdoor student council assemblies.

School. BakersvilleElementaryisakindergartenthroughsixthgradeschoolwith approximately787generaleducationstudentsand34specialeducationself-containedstudents. Approximately76% ofthestudentsareclassifiedasCaucasian,15% areAsian,2% are Hispanic,and2% areAfricanAmerican. Themobilityrateofthestudentsisabout11% ayear. Approximately5% ofthechildrenareeligibleforfreeorreducedlunches. Therearethree differentspecialeducationprogramsattheschool. These include alearning disabilities, autism, and mental retardation program. Many of the specialeducation students with autism and mental retardation are bused in from otherschool attendance areas.

Theschoolisatwo-storyredbrickbuildingthatwasbuiltin 1986. There are 29 generaleducation classrooms. The building also contains an administrative office, cafeteria, gymnasium, facultylounge, library, several workrooms, two guidance rooms and eight special education rooms. The average class size in the primary grades is 24 students, and in the upper grades it is 28 students.

Staff. There are 29 general education teachers and 8 special education teachers in the school. The special education team consists of five learning disabilities teachers, one autism teacher, two teachers of the mildly mentally retarded, and one full-time and one half-time speech clinicians. There are two full-time P.E. teachers, two full-time music teachers, one reading specialist, and two full-time guidance counselors. It in erant staffinclude an art teacher, an occupational therapist, aphysical therapist, as chool psychologist, as ocial worker, and an emotional disabilities resource teacher. More than half of the teaching staff have a masters degree. Bakers ville Elementary has had a fairly stable teaching staff over the past few years.

<u>Businesspartnership.</u> BakersvilleElementaryhashadabusinesspartnershipwitha majorcorporationlocatedneartheschoolforsixyears. Throughtheschool'smentorprogram, studentsdeveloprelationshipswithseveralofthecorporation'semployeesthatpromotepersonal andacademicgrowth. Overhalfofthestudentshaveworkedwith the same mentors since the partnershipbegan. The mentors also complements tudents' class room instruction by sharing specific reading and mathetrategies with the students. Marlena, the school principal, received the Partners in Education award in September of 1997 for her involvement in the business partnership.

<u>Participants.</u> The administration and staff of Bakers ville Elementary are all involved in integrating students with disabilities into general education class rooms in some capacity. However, for this study three general education teachers, three special education teachers and the principal were formally interviewed. The researcher also had informal conversations with two instructionals as is tants and several general and special education teachers at the school. In addition, several class room observations were made where co-teaching or other integration practices were viewed.

"Marlena,"theprincipal. Marlena,theprincipal of BakersvilleElementary,hasbeenin thefieldofeducationfor25years.Shebeganhercareerinanotherstateinitiallyasan elementaryteacherandthentaughtsecondaryschool.Latershebecameacentraloffice administratorinthesamedistrictwheresheworkedasasupervisorofspecialeducation programs.Shethenmovedtothestudydistrictwhereshehasbeenworkingforthepast21 years.Shehasheldavarietyofpositionsincludingbeingapreschoolteacher,asupervisorofthe preschoolprogramasacentraladministrator,andprincipalofacenterforstudentswith moderatetoseveredisabilities.Shewasalsothedirectorofspecialeducationatboththearea andcentralofficelevelandactingareasuperintendentbeforecomingtothe principalshipof BakersvilleElementary.

Marlenaisaknowledgeableandextremelypersonableadministrator. Sheishighly respected by herownstaff and many other educators in the district. She spoke extensively about her special education background and her experience with special education procedures and legalissues. Marlenawas frequently observed conversing with the staff and students at the school. She takes a very active role in attending the school planteam, grade-level teammeetings, Local Screening/Child Study discussions, and IEP conferences. She was often seen visiting class rooms and student related activities.

"Lee,"fourth-gradegeneraleducationteacher. Leeteachesafourth-gradegeneraleducation classroom.Shehasa mastersdegreeingeneralandspecialeducation.Shehasworkedwith Marlenasincebeginningherteachingcareer2yearsago.Leetalkedcandidlyaboutherinitial interviewwithher.Sheexplainedthatduringtheirdiscussionsheexpressedastrongbeliefthat specialeducationstudentsshouldbetaughtinthegeneraleducationclassroomwithspecial educationassistanceasneeded.Sheindicatedthatherteachingphilosophywassimilartoher principal's,soshefeltitwasagoodmatchforhertoacceptapositionattheschoolingeneral educationratherthanspecialed.

LeespendsthemajorityofherschooldayworkingwithCindy,thefourth-gradelearning disabilitiesteacherinaco -teachingmodel.Halfofthe24studentsinherclasshavebeen identifiedasneedingLDservices.Someofthesestudentsalsoreceivetheirlanguagearts instructionina pull-outresourceroommodel.Leespokepositivelyaboutherexperiencewith co-teaching.

Itkindofgoeswithmyphilosophyofteaching. Iadaptinstructionbasedon everychild'sneedssowhetherit'sastudentidentifiedwithspecialneedsora studentatrisk,orit'sastudentwhoisnotgettinglongdivisionthatday,I makesuretoadapttheinstructiontomakesurethatitmeetsthechildren wheretheyarereadytolearn.Informalassessmentisconstanttomakesure thatweareteachingatalevelthatchildrenarereadytoreceivetheir instruction.Soit'salotofco -planning,co-teachingwithmyspecial ed counterpartandjustmeetingthekidswheretheyare.(Interview,5/12/98,p. 2)

54

LeeandCindywereobservedtohaveav eryprofessionalrelationshipintheclassroom. Althoughtheysharedmostoftheteachingandinstructionalplanningresponsibilities,Lee indicatedthatsheusuallytaughtthestudent'sduringthelanguageartsperiodinawholegroup asmanyoftheLDstudentswerepulledouttotheresourceroomduringthattime.Cindyusually taughtthemathlessonswhileLeecirculatedtoensurethatthestudents wereunderstandingthe conceptsbeingtaught.Allofthechildrenappearedtobeequallycomfortableaskingboth teacherswhentheyhadquestions.

Leespokeaboutthepositiveaspectsofintegratingspecialeducationstudentsmany times. Shestatedthatsheenjoysworkingwithalltypesofstudents. Sheindicatedthather studentshavebeenveryacceptingofindividual differences. Leealsospokeabouttheneed of general and specialeducation teacherstok now they were supported. Sheindicated that Marlena has been very supportive of hereffortstointegratesomany LD students into her class room and giving her the opportunity towork with Cindyagain for another school year. She said that she was fortunate to have such good rapport with her co-teacher and believes that working as a team is essential for the success of the inclusion model.

<u>"Lauren,"generaleducationteacher.</u> Laurenteachesfifthgradeat Bakersville Elementary. This was her fifteen thy earteaching and her twelfthy ear at the school. Lauren has been involved with integrating specialeducation students into her class room for 8 years. She has had experience working with students with learning disabilities, multiple disabilities, and children categorized as non-categorical.

Lauren spoke about her experience with integrating students with disabilities this year invery positive terms.

IconsidermyselfateammemberbecausethespecialeducationteacherandI workasateam. Weplantogether. Weworkon IEPstogether. Ithinkmyrole istoprovideanenvironmentwhichisgoodforbothspecialandregular ed andmakeappropriateadaptationsthatneedtobemadeforallstudents. (Interview, 5/11/98, p. 1)

Laurenalsospokecandidlyaboutthechallengesthatcomefromworkingwithstudents withspecialneedsinaclassroomwithsomanystudents. However, shefrequently cited examples of how much supports he has received from the principal and other staffmembers. She explained that:

Ibelievethatourprincipaltakesanactiveroleinhelpingallstudentsbe includedineverypossibleway. Sheisveryvisibletothem. Sheisthereevery morningandeveryeveningwhentheyleave. Sheisinthecafeteriaalot. (Interview, 5/11/98, p.6)

<u>"Pam,"generaleducationteacher.</u> Pamisasixth-gradeteacherwhohastaught elementaryschoolfor31years.Thiswas hertwenty-seventhyearworkinginthestudydistrict

andhereleventhyearat Bakersville. Elevenofher 28 students this year receive learning disabilities services.

Pamisaverypersonable, highlyenthusia sticindividual. Sheappeared to bevery pleased to share her experiences about teaching students with disabilities. She explained:

Icameintothecountybeforetherewasevenspecialeducationoratleast learningdisabilities.Imuchpreferthewaywe'redoingitnowwithinclusion thenwhentheywerepulledoutasresource.Ifoundthatparticularlywith sixth-gradestudentstheiregoswereaffectedbeingpulledoutofthe classroom.AndIthinkthewaywearedoingitnowismuchsuperioreven withsomeofthemgoingoutforlanguageartsbecauseit'stheirclass.They're notmissinganythinginhere.Withtheoldway,theywouldmisswhatwas goingonandtheywouldsometimeshavethewrongcurriculum.Imuch preferthismethodtohowwediditbefore.(Interview,5/25/98,p.3)

ToobservePamandherspecial edcounterpartistoseetwodedicatedprofessionalsat work. Thetwoteachersprovideawarmandsupportive environmentwhich allow their students the opportunity to maximize their learning potential.

Pamspokeverypositivelyaboutherco-teachingexperience. Sheattributes the successfulworking relationship to a similar teaching philosophyabout children.

Pamgavetheimpressionthatshereallyenjoyedhercareerasateacher. Shetalked severaltimesabouthowmuchshewouldmissworkingwiththechildrenwhensheretirednext year.

"Cindy,"specialeducationteacher. Cindyteachesfourth-gradelearningdisabilities studentsinaco-teachingmodelandisthechairpersonoftheLocalScreening/ChildStudy Committee.Shebeganherteachinginanotherstateworkingwithstudentswithemotional disabilitiesfor2years.Shethenworkedwithmentallyretardedchildrenforanumberofyears. Cindyinterruptedherteachingcareertoraiseherchildrenforanextendedperiodoftime.She beganteachinginthestudydistrictapproximately10yearsago.Initially,Cindyworkedwith studentswithmentalretardationandthenwentbacktoschooltobecomecertifiedinlearning disabilities.ShehasbeenteachingLDstudentsforthepast8years.

Cindyspokeabouthowessentialit wastohavegoodcollaborationandcommunication ifstudentswithdisabilitiesweregoingtobesuccessfullyintegratedintothegeneraleducation classrooms.

Ithinkweareprettyfortunatehere.Ithinkcommunicationviatheprincipalis prettygood.Certainlybetterthanitisatmany,manyschools.Andweare fortunatebecausewehaveaprincipalwhoknowsalotaboutspecial educationandwhatisimportant.(Interview,5/28/98,p.1)

"Beverly,"specialeducationteacher. Beverlyteaches 15 fourth-and fifth-grade students who have learning disabilities or a remildly mentally retarded. This was her third year at Bakers ville Elementary and in the study district. She has a master's degree in learning disabilities and is currently taking classes to be certified to teach students with mental retardation. She explained that one of the reasons she was motivated to teach students with disabilities was that she has a brother who is mentally retarded.

Beverlystronglybelievesthatallchildrenregardlesso ftheseverityoftheirdisabilities shouldbeintegratedforaportionoftheirschoolday.Shestatedthat:

Whatwearedoingispreparingthemforthe worldofwork. If you can't get along with the person you are sitting next to, you're not going to hold down a job. So I thinkit's definitely important, even if it's just for lunch. (Interview, 5/11/98, p.7)

Beverly was observed to be very structured and caring with her students. She indicated that she really enjoys working with the general education teachers she has been co-teaching with this year.

I'mverylucky.Imakeitapointofkeepingmygeneraleducationteachers happy.Iworkwithtwoexceptionalwomen.Lastyearwasthefirsttimefor oneofthemandshefeltveryfrustratedattheend.IwasafraidthatIwas goingtoloseherShejustfeltthatshewasn'tdoingeverythingshecould,but shewas.Sheisafabulousteacher.(Interview,5/11/98,p.3)

Beverlyfrequentlycommentedhowfortunatethestaffwastohave Marlenaastheir principal. Sheindicatedthattheprincipalhasprovidedthevisionforinclusiontooccur.

<u>"Carrie," specialeducationteacher.</u> Carrieteaches 11 sixth-gradestudents who have learning disabilities. She has been at eacher for 28 years. Twenty four of these years have been in the study district teaching general and specialed ucation. She has taught both intermediate and elementary agestudents.

Carriespokeabouthowimportantitwasthatthegeneraleducationteachersknowthat theyhavethesupporttheyneedfromtheprincipalandspecial edstafftointegratestudentswith disabilitiesintotheirclassrooms.

Ithinktheyneedtoknowthatwearegoingtobetheirsupportsystem. Ithink theyarefeelingveryhurried, verystressed with the idea of all different types of children coming into their class room. Some of the MR children are mainstreamed into one of the sixth-grade classes. When I have walked into that class one of the sechildren will often blurt myname outloud, which is highly in appropriate. The teacher and I talk to the child and are very patient with him. The children here are particularly receptive to having the sechildren in their classes. I think when they see a child who does have a problem they

areveryhelpful.Ireallythinkit'slikebeinginacocoonhere.I'vebeenat severalschools.Andnoteveryschoolhaschildrenwhowilltreatstudents withdisabilitiessowell.(Interview,5/25/98,p.5)

Carrie also spoke about how beneficial it has been having a principal with a special education background who is sensitive to the needs of her students and staff.

<u>"Rachel," specialeducationteacher.</u> Rachelteachesthirteenstudentswhoaremildly mentallyretarded. Shehastaughtforatotalof 16 years. Fourteen of these years have been in this school district. Shetaughthearing impaired children for 6 years and then stayed home for 11 years to careforher children. Shehas worked as an MR teacher at the school for 6 years. She has a master's degree in deaf-blind multi-handic apped.

Rachel spoke extensively about how the new integration model had initially begun and the changes that have occurred since its implementation.

Well, last spring, wewereasked if we would like to be part of this. That could havebeeninthewinter, butmay be Marchor April of last year, we were asked ifwewereinterestedinbeingpartofthismodel. Andwewere, sowehad knowledgeaheadoftimethatitwascomingandweactuallyhadaninformal socialatsomeone'shouse,aget-togetherwiththespecial edresourceteacher inthesummer. Sowehadkind of a head supwhat was going to be coming. However, some of the structure that was actually in place for this year had beensetupbeforetheresourceteachercameonboard. So somethingswere inplace, but we had a lot of meetings at the beginning of the year with the resourceteacher, with each other to coordinate things. Talkabout our philosophy. What was it going to be? Talkabout the work plans to be accomplished and we've gone back to those and said, "oh, yeah, this is what wehopetoaccomplish."Butoverthecourseoftheyearwehavecontinuedto havemeetingsjusttokeepusinformedofwhat'sgoingon. AsIsaidthisyear, unlikeIthinknextyear, weweremostlyworking with what we had in place, buttryingtomaybeshapeittoservetheneedsofthekidsin could. And I think the resource teacher and the principal were instrumental in facilitatingchanges, offeringchanges, and things Likethat. (Interview, 5/28/98,p.2)

 $Rachela\ lsos poke can didly abouther own philosophy of education and the high expectations she has set for her special education students.$ 

IthinkthewayIviewspecial eddovetailsrightinwithmyphilosophyof educationingeneral.Ireallythinkthatallchildrenarespecial.Allchildren canlearn,andthatthechildneedstodrivetheprogram. andwehavetobe awareofeachchild.Andthisgetsalotoflipservice.Weindeedneedtobe awareofeverychild'slearningstyleandholdveryhighexpectationsfor them. ... AndalsotheparentsofthechildrenI'veworkedwithforthepastsixyears

continuetogroommeinthatdirectionthatexpectationsneedtobehighand thatashighasyousettheexpectationsyouwillcontinuetoworkwiththe parentsandwiththechildrentomeetthosegoals.(Interview,5/28/98,p.7)

Otherparticipants. Theresearcheralsohadseveralinformalconversationswithother staffat Bakersvilleduringthestudyperiod. Theseindividualsincludedtheguidancecounselor, twospecialeducationinstructionalaides, the autism teacher, and two class room teachers. Allof the participants appeared to be extremely comfortable working at the school. They were all very willing to share their experiences and we regenerous with the amount of time they spentengaged inconversation with the researcher. One of the paraprofessionals who worked with the sixth-gradestudents with mental retardations eemed particularly pleased with how well the sest udents had been accepted by the general education teachers and students.

Ithinkthattheclimateatthisschoolisverypositiveforintegratingspecial educatingstudentsandIcreditourprincipalforherhardworkintryingto preparethestaffforthechangesthathaveoccurred.(Interview,5/28/98,p.1)

Schoolclimate. Severalteachersspokeaboutthefamilyatmosphereattheschool. Whenspeakingwiththestaff,itwasobviousthateveryonecaredaboutallofthestudents. The teachersusedsuchwordssuchas "we," "us, ""they, "and "everyone" when describing their relationships with the students at the school. Grade-level teachers shared many of the teaching responsibilities. The staffseemed comfortables haring teaching strategies and materials. Special education teachers were placed on a team and were assigned to classes that contained special education students to share in the teaching responsibilities.

Pam, the sixth-gradeteacher, talked about the school climate in a very positive manner.

Well,Ithinkwehaveagreatstaff.Wehavehadastafffromthebeginning thatworkswelltogether.Thatshareswitheachother.Nobodyseemstofeel thatI'mgreat.Idon'twantanyoneusingmystuff,orstealingmythunderor whatever.PeoplehavebeenverycooperativeinworkingtogetherandIthink theyareopentonewideasandsoforththatcomein(Interview,5/23/98,p.1)

Rachel, the teacher who taughts tudents who were mildly mentally retarded, described the school climate a sitrelated to integrating the sechildren into the general education class rooms.

Therehasdefinitelybeenanevolutionduringthe6yearsIhavebeenhere. AndintalkingabouttheMRpopulationovertheyearswehavegottenthem moreandmoreincludedinthegeneral edpopulation.Nowtheyallbegin theirdayinthegeneral edpopulation.Nowtheyallbegintheredaytherein whatwecall thehomeroom.Anditjustgoeswithoutsayingthatunlessthere isaveryspecialcaseofcoursethey'llbeinthegeneral edforthingslike musicand P.E.,lunch,recess,assemblies,andfieldtrips.AndwhatIfindis everyyearwejustkeepexploringwhatwe'redoingandlookingforwaysfor

allchildren,butspecificallywe'retalkingabouttheMRkids,justtohave moreaccesstothegeneraleducationcurriculum.Andnextyear,weare lookingforIthinkwhatweseeastheultimatechangewherewereallywant allspecial edchildrentobeconsideredthestudentofageneral edteacherand thespecial edteacherswillbeteampartnersandsupporters.Butwereally wantthechildrentohaveanidentitywithagradelevelgeneral edteacher. (Interview,5/28/98,p.1)

The principal's spoken and written words often reflected herown personal philosophy for educating children. She spoke frequently about how important it was to hold high expectations for all students and to have a dedicated staff that believed all children belonged.

Marlen as poke about the current school climate in regard to integrating special education students in very favorable terms.

Ithinkwehaveanexcellentclimatebothwithoutgeneralandspecial educationteams. Wearenotedforourcollaborationatthisschool. Our generaleducationteachershavebeenveryreceptiveovertheyears. I'vebeen herefiveyearsandIhavenevergonetoateacherwithouthavingthemagree toworkwithaspecial edteacherandwithaspecial edstudentintheir classroom. Manyoftheteachersvolunteeragaineachyear. Wehave discussionsaboutwhoseturnitisbecausetheyallwanttodoit. Idothink thereisacertainamountofstaffdevelopmentthatisalwaysrequiredanda senseoftrustthatwewillbeabletoworktogether. (Interview, 6/15/98, p.1)

Whentheteacherswereaskedabouttheirperceptionoftheprincipal'sbeliefin integratingspecialeducationstudentsintothegeneraleducationclassrooms,theyallspoke positivelyaboutherinvolvementintheprocess. Theyperceivedtheprincipal'sroleaswanting onlytheverybestforchildrenandbelievingthebestenvironmenttobeinthegeneraleducation classroomtothemaximumextentthatwillallowforeachstudent'ssuccess. Severalofthe teacherscommentedabouthowtheprincipal'sbeliefshadagreateffectontheschool'sclimate and instruction. One of the generaleducation teachers who was interviewed informally stated that:

Ithinkthatourprincipal'sstrongbelief thatchildrenareentitledtobe educatedintheregularclasstothemaximumextendpossiblethatallows themtobesuccessfulhasledtoaverygoodworkingrelationshipamongthe teachersateachgradelevel. Withoutourprincipal'sinvolvementtherewould bemoreseparatismandnotasmuchteamworkorfeelingoftogetherness. (Interview, 5/29/99, p. 1)

Lauren, the fifth-gradegeneraled ucation teacher, indicated that Marlenahas been very influential in encouraging all students and staff to reach their highest potential.

When I first had LD students in the class room they were simply sent out at certain times, did what ever they did with the resource teacher and came back in. The rewaster ally no collaboration with the special education teacher what so ever. No wit's more of a team effort and we try and work on similar things. I would say it has been a grow the xperience forme because I amnow a team member. In the past I didn't make certain adaptations which I think has made meabet terteacher. I think our principal has been successful inher endeavor to educate the staff about the positive benefits of integrating special education students because of the strong supports he has provided us and with regular and special educations to detachers supporting each other. (Interview, 5/11/98, p. 4)

Carrie, the sixth-grade LD teacher, also talked about Marlena's influence in providing apositive school climate.

OneofthethingsI'venoticedaboutthisschoolisthatyouneverhearteachers raisingtheirvoiceslikeI'veheardinotherschools.Ifeelourprincipalhasset highexpectationsforthechildrenandstaff.Childrenareexpectedtoremove theirhatswhentheyenterthebuilding.Wetreateachotherlikewewanttobe treated. Ifwehearsomeonesayingsomethingunkindorsayingsomething thatisnotappropriate.Wehaveaschoolwidedisciplineplanwhichwehave implementedforallchildren,specialorgeneraled.(Interview,5/25/98,p.10)

<u>StaffSupport.</u> The principal's support of the staffwase videntina number of ways. The faculty and principal identified support as providing instructional materials, hiring additional personnel when needed, providing inservice training and information on collaborative practices and ways to effectively integrate special education students, and willingly of the administrator to listento any concerns or school related problems that may arise.

Marlenatal ked openly about her role in helping teachers implement a more inclusionary en vironment at the school.

Ithinkitgoestobeingabletolistento whattheyareexperiencing. What theirsuccesses are and what their problems are. Doing that problems olving on avery nitty gritty level. Okaywehave Emilyinthis class and these are the

problemsshehas,thisisthelevelofsupportyoufeelsheneeds. Howdowe problemsolvethat? Whatisrealistic? Whatisidealversuswhatis appropriate? Whataretheresourcesweareabletodeliver? Ithinkthe majorityoftimesthereare problems that I have to bring an understanding to the staff that we have limited resources. It may be ideal to have a special ed teacher with a special ed student a certain a mount of time as perceived by the general ed teacher, but that may not be realistic and may not be appropriate either. So I believe that working out those problems on a very individual level based on the students 'needs is very important. (Interview, 6/15/98, p.9)

One of the special education teachers described the supports he had received from Marlena in terms of her leaders hipstyle.

Ithinksheisveryproactive.Ithinkshealsoinaprivatewayhastheabilityto listentoyouandletyouventwithoutoverreactingtowhatyourfeelingsmay be.Ithinkshehasaverygoodgrasp. Alotofitbecauseofhervast experienceinspecialeducationinthiscounty.(Interview,5/27/98,p.6)

The autism teacher described the supports he had received from the principal interms of her students 'needs.

Ihadachildinmyroomlastyear whowaseventuallydiagnosedaspsychotic. Hewasreallyinthewrongplacement. Ifeltverycomfortabletalkingwiththe principalaboutthissituationbecausewehadanopenrelationship. Shewas veryinstrumentalingettingthechildthehelpheneeded. Sheisalwaysvery supportive and knowsherteacherswell. Shehasagoodfeelforwhatisthe bestplaceforthekids. (Interview, 6/1/98, p.7)

<u>Resources.</u> Alloftheteachersinterviewedbelievedthattheyhadadequateresource materials. Instructional materials were observed to be plentiful in the class rooms and the multimediacenter. Many of the shelves were filled with a wide assortment of books, filmstrips, videos, visual aids, and educational games.

Carrie, one of the special edteachers, seemed particularly grateful for the principal's generosity in providing the requested materials to support the curriculum. She indicated that

We'veneverhadanyproblemwithmaterials. Wehaveaspecial edand regular edorder. When we order materials we need no one putsalimitonit. We have money from the area office and in addition we have money to order from the general educational lowance. It hink when people have wanted some additional materials from the principal she has been very receptive ..... (Interview, 5/25/98, p. 8)

Otherteacherstalkedaboutthehighprioritythattheprincipalplaceson expandingtheintegrationandapplicationoftechnology. The fourth-grade

generaleducationteacherstateditisreallynicetohavesomanycomputers intheroomthatthestudentscanuse. Ithinkthat given the diversified needs of the children I find that it is very helpful. The special edstudents seem to really enjoyworking on the computers. (Interview, 5/12/98, p. 10)

<u>Staffinvolvementindecisionmaking.</u> Itwasapparentfromalloftheinterviewsand observationsattheschoolthattheprincipalfeltitextremelyimportantforthestafftobeactively involvedinmakingschooldecisions. Marlenawasobservedonseveraloccasionsspeakingwith individualteachersortheentirefacultyaboutschoolrelatedissues. Thestaffwasgivenmany opportunitiestodiscussthecurriculum, instructional planning, scheduling, and classsize in an endeavortosuccessfully integratespecialeducation students into the generaleducation classrooms.

Whentheprincipalwasaskedaboutherle adershipstyleinrelationtomaking importantdecisionssheexplained

Ibelieveinacollaborativeprocess. Ibelievetheprincipalhastomodelwhat youareaskingyourstafftodo.Celebrationandlookingatoursuccessesare soimportant.Mystyleisofsettingthestageasanarranger.Iliketomake surethatwehavetheknowledgeandtheinformationtomakegooddecisions aboutchildren.Thatweallowindividualstoexpressnotonlywhatthey believe,butalsowhattheirconcernsare,andwethendealwiththoseissues intermsofwhattheirneedsare.(Interview,6/15/98,p.3)

Severaloftheteachersindicatedthattheybelievedtheyhadadequateopportunityto expresstheirideasorconcernsaboutschoolmatters. Someofthemtalkedaboutthegradual changes Marlenahadmadewhenshebecametheschoolprincipal. Theyindicatedthatshe alwaysinvolvedthestaffinthedecisionmakingprocesswhenchangeshadtobemade. Carrie, thesixth-grade LD teacher indicated that

Idon'tthinkthereweresignificantchangesuntilthepastcoupleofyears. The firstyearIthinkshewasverywiseinjustkindoflyinglow. She'dneverbeen aprincipalbeforeandIthinkshehadalottolearnandshewaswillingto learnandchange. Shedidn'tcomeinwiththeattitudethatI'mherenowand wehavetodoitmyway. Sherespectedwhatweweredoing. AndIdothink weweremuchfurtheralongthansomeotherschoolsindoingthis. Oneofthe thingsshedidwhenoneofthemembersofourspecial edteamwouldleave, shewouldinviteallofustositinontheinterviewsandgiveouropinions. Shewouldbetheultimateperson, butwewouldgivewhatpointswewould thinkweregoodandwecouldaskquestions. Youreallyfeltthatyouwere partofthedecisionmaking. Somebodywasn'tjustthrownatyou. (Interview, 5/25/98,pp.4-5)

Lauren indicated that she has always felt comfortable voicing her opinions about how the special education in clusion model at the school is working.

Ourprincipalisanextremelystrongleaderwhoinvolvesherstaffin decisions. It's notadictatorship by anymeans. It's very democratic. She might have suggestions and we gether input. She's extremely supportive of the special edprogram. Actually of all of the programs at the school. She tries to be supportive of every one equally. (Interview, 5/11/98, p.2)

Cindyalsocitedanexampleofhowtheprincipalallowedhertobeinvolvedin selectingtheteacherwhoshewouldteamwiththisyear. Sheexplainedhowshehadtold Marlenathatshewantedtoco-teachwiththesamegeneral edteacherbecauseshefelttheyhada goodworkingrelationship.

Iwentandgavemyreasonsforthatandaskedif Marlenawouldconsiderit. Shemadeithappen.Sometimesprincipalshavetheirmindsetasto whothey wantyoutoworkwith.Ithinkourprincipalwasabletogiveussomefreedom inmakingdecisionsandknowingwhatwouldworkbestforthekids. (Interview,5/28/98,p.3)

<u>Masterscheduleandplanning.</u> The principal and many of the teachers interviewed discussed the school schedule and its importance to sound instruction. One of the teachers who was on the school plan committees poke about how much time and effort went into making those decisions.

Rachel, the MR teacher, talked openly about he rlack of planning time.

Idon'thaveenoughplanningtime. Andthatissomethingweareworking towardchanging. JustthewaythingshappenedwithonlyhavingtwoMR teachers, close to twenty children and Ihave themost of them. Having the number of children infour gradelevels for metomeet with my IA, the other IA, four gradelevels, myspecial edteam. It's close to impossible. And that has been an area that the resource teacher and the principal know that for me anyway I certainly for the sake of the children, it needs to be changed. Ithink we made it work, but it can be so much better. (Interview, 5/28/98, p. 4)

Lee, the fourth-grade representative on the school plan committee, spoke about the changes in the schedule that were needed.

Wearereallyworkingtochangeourscheduling.Particularlyhowitaffects ourspecialeducationstudents.Inthepast, ourmasterschedulehasbeen drivenbythespecialists.Asourprincipalsaidatourlaststaffmeeting, instructioncomesfirst.(Interview,5/12/98,p.4)

The principal spoke at length about how she hoped to change the master schedule to allow for better integration of students with disabilities into the general education class rooms.

Thissummerwearetakingalookatourmasterscheduleandaddressthe flexiblegrouping and organizing for learning objective in our school plan. We aregoingtopilotanewprogramthisyear withfirstgrade, fourthgrade, and fifthgrade. Teams will be coming in this summer to look at it. Number one we'veplacedallourstudentsirrespectiveoftheirdisabilityinageneral education class room with accommodations for a learning lab that is needed, bothforstudentswhoneedanalternativereadingprogram,possiblyamath Smallgroupsupportcanbeprovidedinthe programandsmallgroupsupport. classroomoroutsidetheclassroomwithanintegratedsettingnotjustspecial educationstudents, but other students who may need that supports owe are lookingatacrossagradeleveldevelopingflexiblegroupingsbasedthatare notjustbasedonthestudentsinoneteacher'sclass,butmaybebasedacross allfiveclasses with the resource persons, aspecial education or specialist of somesortalsotakingagroup. Sowearelooking at flexible grouping based onstudentneeds. Togiveyouanexample,inlanguageartswemighthave oneteacherworkingwithagroupofstudentswhoneededsomeverybasic skillbuildingataverynoviceleveloremergentlevel, and another teacher groupingstudentsforaperiodoftimeatanotherextreme, wherethey can workindependently. We can bring in our special education teachers to either supportourgeneraleducationteachersortodoagroupoftheirownbasedon theassessmentofthestudents'needs. We will belooking at that in language artsandmathprimarilyatfirstgrade, fourthgrade, and fifthgrade. Working withourspecialisttoadjustthemasterschedule,tolookatthesuccessofthis particularinitiative, then we will be evaluating it. A critical piece of that is evaluation.Ourotherstaffmembersdorecognizethatitmaymeanchanges forthemintermsoftheirmasterschedule, ortheir planning time, orwhat theyhaveexperiencedinthepast.Sowewillseehowitgoes.(Interview, 6/15/98,p.9)

<u>Communication.</u> Theteachers and administrator indicated that communicating information regarding integrating specialed ucation students occurred through the work of the school plan committee, various committees of teachers, gradelevel teams, building and district inservices, and the principal's new sletters. The staffalso discussed inclusion on an informal basis in the faculty lounge and on their own time.

The principals poke about herrole in the initiation and implementation phase of the special education integration model at the school.

Havingbeenselectedasoneoftheprincipalsinvolvedinthespecial educationintegrationmodelIfirsttalkedwiththespecialeducationteachers. Theybecamemoreknowledgeableaboutwhatingeneralwerethegoalsof thismodel.Welookedathowthatfitwithourownphilosophyandthenused thatphilosophytobuildon.Wethentalkedwithgeneraleducationteachers whowerealreadyworkingwithspecialeducationstudentsandgavethem someinformation.Inthecourseoftheyearwedidaneedsassessmentwith

ourgeneraleducationteachersaskingthemwhattheywouldliketoknowand whatwecoulddoforthem.(Interview,6/15/98,p.5)

Onegeneraleducationteacherexplainedhowinclusive practices were currently communicated to the staff.

Mostofthecommunicationcomesthroughourmonthlystaffmeeting. ThoughwealsohaveourweeklystaffnotesonFridaythatdisseminatesalot ofinformation.Iknowthegradelevel teamswhichincludethespecial educationteachersmeetweekly.Sometimeswemeetmorewhenitisneeded. Thespecialeducationteammeetsweeklyaswell.Ithinkmyrelationshipwith myteammatesisprettygood,sowekeepeachotherinformed.(Interview, 5/25/98,p.5)

Whentheprincipalwasaskedabouthermea nsofcommunicatingwiththestaff, she spokeprimarilyintermsoftheschoolmeetingssheattendedandtheweeklynewslettersthatshe haswrittentokeeptheminformedaboutimportanteducationalconcerns. In regard to integrating special education students into the general education class rooms, sheremarked that:

I thinkwiththeexceptionofonemeetingthisyearIhaveattendedallofthe LocalScreeningcommitteemeetings.ItisacommitmentthatIfeeltospecial educationandtomyfaculty.WehavealargeLocalScreeningCommittee. Manyofourgeneraleducationteachersattend.Sometimesatdoublegrade levelstoconsidertheneedsofstudentsandtoprovideinformation.Certainly myroleisbeingsomewhatofaPRperson. Tryingtopickup,makeparents feelcomfortable,encouragestafftocontributewhenappropriate,discourage staffwhenwearegoingontoolongofatimeandtryingtomovethemeeting onbecauseweareonatightscheduleandwewanttomakesurewedomeet expectationsfortheirtime.Soit'soneofafacilitator.AlthoughIhavean excellentchairoftheLocalScreeningCommitteewhotakesthelead.In facilitatingmyselfaskindofbehindthescenessupporterandfacilitator. (Interview,6/15/98,p.6)

<u>Professionaldevelopment.</u> The faculty has been involved in a variety of professional development activities. These include attendings chool and district level inservices, taking graduate level classes having to down thin clusive practices and collaboration, and visiting schools that have model inclusionary programs. The teachers are also involved in coordinating and presenting special education works hop satthes chool based on the results of an annual needs assessment which was developed by the special education.

Whenaskedabo utwhattraininghadbeenprovidedforteachersinregardtopreparing themforamore inclusionaryenvironmenttheprincipalexplainedthatwecontinuetolookat waystoadaptinstruction.

Waystoorganizeforlearningforourspecialeducationstudentstoprovide themacontinuumofserviceswhiletheyareincludedintheregulareducation activitiesandsettingssotheyarereceivingthespecializedinstructionthey need.Our inserviceshavefocusedonlearningstrategiesformeeting individualstudents'needsirrespectiveoftheirdisability.Wehavedonethings aboutlearningaboutthevariousdisabilities,lookingparticularlyatreading andthealternativereadingprograms.Ourdistrictdoesagreatdealwith staff developmentandwecertainlychampiontheefforts.I'vebeeninvolvedin thoseatthecentrallevel.Iencouragemystaffbothgeneralandspecial. We'repairingpeopletogethertotakeadvantageofcountyofferingsandwhat wealsodointermsofneedsassessmenthereandthenofferingspecificareas ofinstruction.(Interview,6/15/98 ,p.3)

One of the special education teachers who was interviewed informally talked about the needs assessment which was given to the staff and the variety of professional development opportunities that were available. She explained that

Wehadavarietyofinterestingprogramsthisyear. Wehadsomeonecomein totalkabout inclusionarypractices. Wedidalotofdemonstrationteaching. Thatwasreallythepremiseforallofour inservices because our teachershad gonetoconferences and they wanted to see someone doing it. So we had someone comeinand show us reading strategies and how to teach diverse learners within the regular class room. We also had an inservice on how to modifylessons so that you can teach a less on to a whole group. (Interview, 5/22/98, p.1)

The fourth-grade LD teacher also spoke positively about some of the opportunities the staff had had this year to visit an inclusive school in a neighboring district.

Wehavehadanopportunityforseveralstaffmemberstogoto Aldrich.Idid notsignupforthatbecauseIhadalreadyvisited Aldrichaspartofagraduate classIhadtakenandIjustfeltthatsomeoneelseshouldhaveanopportunity togo.Yetthatwasanotheropportunitytovisitthatschool.Thereisalwaysan opportunityifyouwanttogotoanotherschoolthatyou'veheardabouta particularprogram.Again,ifyouhearaboutsomethinggoodatthisschool andyouwanttocheckitout,that'susuallysomethingthatcanbeworkedout. (Interview,5/28/98,p.7)

The fifth-gradegeneral education teacher talked about the inserviced ay sthest affhad received as part of the funding for the special education integration model.

Withthespecialmodelwehavehadmoredaysallocatedtouseforsub coveragesowe'vehadquiteafew inservices. Mostoftheworkshopswedid weremaybetwohourslongduringthedaysoitwasn'textremelydisruptive.

Justenoughtogettheinformationorwhetourappetite. One of the

workshopswasonmultipleintelligence.WehavehadJudyWoodcomeout andtalkaboutdiversifyingeducation.Anothertimethespecial edteacherand Irequestedtogotoacomputertechnologyworkshopon integratingmore computerswithspecial edstudents.Ourprincipalletusgoasateambecause shethoughtitwasimportant.(Interview,5/11/98,p.7)

<u>Summaryofthemes.</u> Atotalofsixdifferentthemesemergedfromthe datawhichwas collectedthroughinterviews, observations, and are view of school documents.

Thefirstthemehadtodowithapositiveschoolclimate. The principal and many of the teachers interviewed reported feeling ownership for all of the students in the school. Teachers spoke about setting high expectations for all students and staffmembers. Each individual was encouraged to reach their highest potential. A feeling of mutual respect was seen in the way that the principal, teachers, and students related to one another. General education students were observed helping children with special needs in the regular education class rooms.

Thesecondthemeofprincipalsupportwasexhibitedinanumberofways. Teachers talkedabouttheabundanceofinstructionalmaterials and computers they had access to at the school. They also spoke about the principal's willingness to seek additional staff when needed to support the inclusion model and here excellent problems olvings kills.

Athirdthemethatemergedfromthedatawastheprincipal'sleadershipstyleinregard tomakingdecisions. Teachersweregivenmanyopportunitiestoparticipateinthedecision makingprocessregardingcurriculumplanning, scheduling, and class size in an effort to integrate special education students successfully into the general education class rooms.

Afourththeme hadtodowiththemasterscheduleanditsimportancetosound instruction. Alloftheteachers interviewed felt that the school schedulene eded to be changed to provideformoreflexibleinstructionalgroupingsandtogivethemmoretimetoplanforthe needsofallstudentsinamoreinclusivesetting. Afifth themewhichemergedascriticaltothe successoftheintegrationmodelwasopencommunicationamongallstaffmembers. Allofthe teachersinvolvedinthestudyindicatedthattheyhadopportunitiestoparticipateinmany discussionsregardinginclusionofspecialeducationstudentsinregulareducationclassesat facultymeetings, gradelevelteammeetings, and individual conversations with the principal or otherteachers. The principal was viewed by the teachers interviewed as a leader who did an excellentjobincommunicatinghervisionofworkingwithstudentsinaninclusiveschool environment. A final them ethatemer gedwas related to the professional development opportunities that the principal and staff had participated in regard to collaborative and inclusive practices for working with students in an inclusive setting. Staffmembers indicated that they hadbeeninvolvedinavarietyof inservicetraining at the school and district level. The teachers were also involved in coordinating and presenting their own staff development workshops.

## <u>CollinwoodElementarySchool</u>

<u>Community.</u> CollinwoodElementaryislocatedinanestablishedsuburbancommunitythatis easilyaccessibletoalltheculturalandrecreationalopportunitiesofaprogressivemetropolitan city. Theschoolservesanethnicallydiversecommunity. Theneighborhoodincludesmoderate sizesinglefamilyhomes, apartments, and townhouses. Abusyinterstate, an industrial park, and the Southern Railroadserve as the eastern and northern boundaries. The public library is located approximately two miles from the school. There are several midtolarge sizes hopping centers located to the east and west. There is also account y park near by.

Manyof Collinwood's studentscomefromworking-classhomeswhereEnglishistheir secondlanguage.Mostofthestudentsliveintheneighborhood.However,themajorityofthe studentsintheautismprogramarebusedinfromotherschoolattendanceareas.Therearealso somestudentswhocomefromdifferentareasofthedistricttoattendtheSchoolAge ChildCare (SACC)program.Despitethediversity, Collinwoodisperceivedtobeaplacewherechildren fromdifferentethnicandsocialbackgroundsenjoyafeelingofacceptanceandworkwell together.

ThereareavarietyofspecialprogramsattheschoolincludingEnglishasaSecond Language(ESL),Step UpLanguageArts(SULA),ReadingRecovery,areducedratioprogram infirstgrade,andservicesforstudentswhorequirespecialeducation.

ThePTAworksverycloselywiththeschoolfacultytodoeverythingpossibletokeep thestandardsofachievementhigh. Althoughmanyparentsworkmorethanonejob, there are many volunteers in the school and there usually is a good parent turn out for class room related activities or school wide functions. They include reading and computer activities, curriculum meetings, and cultural artsevents. The school's International Nightisalong standing tradition in the community.

School. CollinwoodElementarywasbuiltin1957. Itisatraditionaltwo-storyredbrick buildingwithself-containedclassrooms. Theschoolhasbeenrenovatedseveraltimesoverthe years. Inthe1960s, twomajoradditionswereaddedtothebuilding. Aseparatewingwasbuilt ontothe schoolwhichincludedseveralclassroomsandapodarea. Alargegymnasiumwasalso builtattheotherendoftheschoolatthattime. Anewadministrativeofficewasaddedand severalclassroomswererenovatedin1993-1994. Theclassrooms, hallways, and exteriorofthe building wasobservedtobewellmaintained. Theschoolhasafencedinballfieldandtwo separateplayingareaswithajunglegymforthestudents.

Themainflooroftheschoolcontainsanadminis trativeoffice, cafeteria, gymnasium, secondandthirdgradeclassrooms, areadingresourceroom, and afourth-gradespecial education room. Asetofstairs near the main of fice leads to the library and several other primary and special education classrooms. There is an elevator near the office which gives all students and staffful laccess to all areas of the building. The area surrounding the pod contains two kindergarten, three English As ASecond Language (ESL) and one Title IS tep Up Language Arts (SULA) classrooms. The fourth, fifth, and sixth gradeclassrooms, as well as the counselor's office, is located on the second floor.

Collinwood Elementary is one of the smallest school sin the district. It has 415 general education students in kinder garten through sixth grade. Approximately 34% of the students are categorized as Caucasian, 11% are African American, 26% Hispanic, 26% are Asian, and 1% are multiracial. The mobility rate of the students last year was 21%.

Thereare 18 general education class rooms which include two kinder garten classes, four first-grade classes, three classes each of second and third graders, and two classes of fifth and sixth graders. First-grade students attend classes in which fewer students are assigned to each teacher, allowing them the opportunity to receive a strong foundation as they be gintheir formal education. The fifth and sixth grades support the middles chool model, and students change classes for a variety of subjects.

Specialeducationservicesaredesignedto meettheneedsofeachindividualstudent, combininggeneraleducationresourceswithservicesprovidedinalearninglab. Theschoolhas alearningdisabilitiesandautismprogram. There are approximately 21 LDself-contained students and 44 LD resourcestudents. There are atotal of 17 students with autism who receive more than 50% of their instruction in a special education class room and three students with autism who receive are sourcelevel of support.

CollinwoodalsohasthreeESLandtwo TitleIclasses.ThepresenceoftheESL programhashadamajorimpactupontheschool.Thereare80studentsthisyear whobeganthe yearwithnooralEnglishlanguageability.Manyofthemridebusesfromneighboringschool boundaryareastoparticipateintheESLprogram.Theentireschool'smasterscheduleis organizedtoaccommodatetheESLstudentssothattheymayparticipateinphysicaleducation, music,art,math,science,andsocialstudieswithotherchildrenattheirgradelevel.

Staff. Thereare 18 generaleducation teachers and eightspecialeducation teachers at the school. The specialeducation team consists of four LD teachers, three autism teachers, and one full-time speech and language clinician. There are also three generaleducation instruction al assistants and five specialeducation assistants. In addition, there are three ESL teachers and two Title Iteachers. Collinwood Elementary also has a full-time counselor, a music teacher, a reading specialist, and alibrarian. There is also one full time and one part time physical education teachers. The specialeducation it in erant staff who work at the school include a psychologist, a social worker, a gifted and talented resource teacher, an autism resource teacher, a noccupational therapist, a physical disability teacher, an emotional disabilities resource teacher, and a hearing specialist. Other it in erant staff who work at Collinwood include a band teacher, a string steacher, and a regional technology specialist. The leadership of the school is provided by a full-time principal and an assistant principal. Many of the teacher shave earned their master's degrees.

"Camille." Camillehasbeenaprincipalinthedistrictfor 19 years. Shebeganher careeringeneraleducationteaching physicaleducation and social studies in the county. After obtaining hermaster's degree in specialeducation, she worked as a specialeducation resource teacher in a private school and in a public school distriction an eighboring states ince there were no positions available in specialeducation in the study district at that time. Later, she returned to

the district to open a staff development in stitute where her primary responsibility was to work with a teacher training group to develop special education programs for students other than the mentally retarded. Her position was funded for 5 years with grants that we reprovided by the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped. Camille then went to work at a special education center for the orthopedically impaired to serve as a lead administrator for 5 years. She has also been the principal of three elementary schools in the district including Collin wood where she has been the administrator for the past 3 years.

Camilleisadedicatedprofessionalwhoisveryknowledgeableaboutspecialeducation proceduresandlegalissues. Shehasadoctoraldegreeinthefieldofspecialeducation. She openlydiscussedherexperienceswithintegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneral education classes at Collinwood with the researcher. Camilletakesanactiverolein Local Screening/Child Studymeetings, gradelevelteammeetings, IEP conferences, and eligibility decisions. Shewas frequently seentalking with students, parents and staff, helping organize school activities, and carrying outher administration duties.

Camilleindicatedthatshestronglybelievesinprovidingthebestpossibleeducational programforeachstudentattheschool.Inregardtoprovidingspecialeducationservices,she stated:

Ithinkoneoftheultimategoalshereisthat wearenotlookingat Collinwood toprovideatotallyinclusiveschool. Wearelookingtocontinuetoprovidea continuumofservicesforstudents. Ourphilosophyisnotthattotalinclusion istheonlywayaschoolcango, butaschoolneedstocontinuetohavea continuumofservices. Andthatcontinuumneedstochangeyearbyyear, studentbystudent, and groupbygroup. Sowhat's needed is flexibilityonall partstodothat. Totakealookat what kidsneed first and what adults need second. Untilweget to that point, we will not have reached our goal until people can articulate that as their major focus. (Interview, 6/19/98, p. 19)

Camillealsospokeaboutthespecific skillsthatshestronglybelievesaprincipalneeds inordertobeaneffectiveinstructionalleaderforgeneralandspecialeducationteachers, students, and parents.

Ithinkyouneed goodsolidgeneraleducationbackground. Ithinkyouneed toknowwhattheexpectations are forgeneraleducation students. That 's the benchmark in which you operate. And then you have to have a fairly good repertoire and knowledge base and understanding of various disabilities. I thinkyou have to have a pretty good knowledge base of adaptations that are possible and reasonable within a regular class room setting. Ithinkyou have to be able to help people work through their own fears on disabilities that they have so you have to be able to understand people in general, or if when you hear at each ersay something you have to be able to look beyond that and where is it really coming from. Is it coming from their lack of knowledge about the instructional program, or their fear of not being able to be

successfulwiththatparticularchild .Soyouhavetobereflectiveinawayand listentoteachersonbothsidestobeabletounderstand.Andforparents,I thinkyouhavetobe aforallparents.SoIdon'tcareiftheyaregeneral educationorspecialeducation.IhopethatIwouldbechampioningachild whohasspecialneedswhetherthey beatoneendofthespectrumorthe other.SoIdon'tseearealdifferenceforthat.Iwouldwanttohavethesame individualizedattentionasIwouldforanychild,eitherspecialeducationor generaleducation.(Interview,6/19/98,p.8)

"Suzanne, "assistantprincipal. Suzannehasbeentheassistantprincipalat Collinwood Elementaryfor3years. Shetaughtsecondandthirdgradefor6-1/2yearsinthedistrictpriorto becominganadministrator. Suzanneisaveryvivacious individual who was observed to be highly visible in allareas of the school. She was frequently seen problems olving with teachers and engaging in conversations with staff and students. In spite of Suzanne's busy schedule, she always gave the researcher as much time as needed to answer her questions.

Suzannedescribedherselfasacollaborativeleaderinregardtoherroleasassistant principalaswellastheprocessofintegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneraleducation classrooms. Shespokeaboutherroleinsupportingspecial and generaleducation teachers.

Iliketothinkofmyselfassomeonewhocanhelppeopleandotherstaff memberstoseehowwecanbesthelpkids.Icanbeanavenueforgetting resourcesandformovinguslong. Ithinkthatiswhatleadershipmeans.It's showinghere'swherewewanttobe.Whatdoweneedtogetthere?That's reallymystyle.Itruly dounderstandhowdifficultitisintheclassroom.I feelmyroleistotryandmakeiteasierforteachers.Idon'tbelieveintelling peoplewhattodo.I'mmuchmorecomfortablewithcollaboration.(Interview, 5/1/98,p.4)

When Suzannewas asked how sheviewed in clusion, she indicated that:

Inclusiontomeanslookingatindividualchildrenonebyone. Whatprogram, whatsupportaretheygoingtoneedtobesuccessful? Thatisgoingtovary. I seeawholecontinuum. Ithinkwe'vedonereallywellinprovidingthat continuumhere. Whetheritbeanautisticchildbeingmainstreamedfullday insecondgrade. Thatmaymeaninclusionforonechild. Foranotheritmay justmeaninclusionformusicand P.E., and some smallpartsoftheday. I thinkthatthegoalofinclusionistohaveeveryspecialeducationstudentfully receiving an equal opportunity for the regular curriculum justase very child does in every classroom. (Interview, 5/1/98, pp. 3-4)

"Carol,"generaleducationteacher. Carolteachesfirstgrade.Shehasbeenateacherfor 16yearsandhastaughtin thethisdistrictfor3-1/2years.Shespokepositivelyabouther experienceswithintegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintoherclassroom.

WhenIwashiredatthisschool,IwastoldthatIwouldmorethanlikelybe havinganautisticstudentandwasaskedifIwouldbeamenabletothat.AndI certainlywas!ItwassomethingnewandchallengingandIwasexcitedabout takingiton.(Interview,5/19/98,p.3)

Thisyear, Carolhas 15 students inher class. She has one student who has emotional disabilities and one student with autism. Carolhas an instructional assistant who works with her during the time the special education students are in the class room. Herrole is primarily to assist children when needed, while Carolis responsible for teaching and monitoring their behavior. Carolte amplans weekly with the emotional disabilities and autism teachers.

Carolsharedhowherrolehaschangedasaresultofhavingmorespecial edstudents integratedintoherclass.

Ithink that it has made meaware of the real need to be flexible and also how advantage ous it can be to have the children here from other programs. It causes the general education students to take on roles that the ynormally might never have had to. They become buddies, monitors, and peer tutors. (Interview, 5/19/98, p.3)

Carolal sotal ked about the principal's support in facilitating the integration of special education students into general education classes.

IthinkprobablywhatIlackisalotofthedetailsofwhatgoesinto integrating.Iknowwhatgoesonwhenthechildrengethere.Shehasallthe data.Sheisabletostoreitallinherheadsomehowandretrieveitwhenever necessary.Sheknowsexactlywherethechildrenaresupposetobeandwhat periodoftimeandwhatintervals.Iverymuchrespectherforbeingabletodo that.(Interview,5/19/98,p. 3)

<u>"Lee,"generaleducationteacher.</u> ThiswasLee'sthirdyearteachingschool.She graduatedfromanortheasterncollegeandiscertifiedinbothspecialandgeneraleducation.She wasinitiallyhiredforaspecialeducationpositioninthedistrict.However,becausetheprincipal at Collinwoodwastryingtofosteramoreinclusiveenvironmentattheschool,sheofferedLeea third-gradeteachingposition.LeehasbothLDandESLstudentsinherclassroom.

Leespokepositivelyaboutherrelationshipandco -teachingexperiencesthisyearwith the LD teacher. Shest ated that:

It'skindofprogressed.We'reatthepointwherewewantedit.It'staken awhile.Weneededtogettoknoweachotheralittlebitandourstyles.But we'reatapointnowwhereit'sveryeven.She'lldowholegrouplessons and directandI'llassist,andI'lldowholegrouplessonsanddirectandsheassists. It'sreallygreatnow.(Interview,5/26/98,p.2)

Observations in Lee's class room also allowed the researcher to see first hand the comfortable working relationship that existed between the two teachers. The children appeared equally at ease getting assistance from both teachers.

Myconversations with Leeleft me with the feeling that she is a strong advocate of the inclusive school model. She commented that:

I thinkforeverystudentthebenefitsareimmeasurable.Forthespecial ed studentstobeintegratedwithregulared.studentstheylearnsomuchsocially. Ithinkregular edstudentslearnsomuchaboutacceptanceanddiversityand toleranceforlackofabetterword.Weliveinaworldthatisdiverseand peoplearealwaysgoingtobedifferentandhavedifferentneeds.Ithink startingintheelementaryschoolthattomeissuchahugebenefit.Sometimes youhavetolookatdoIsacrificetheacademicforthesocial.AndIthinkthat partofitis soimportant.(Interview,5/26/98,p.8)

"Nancy,"generaleducationteacher. Nancyteachesfourthgrade. Thisisherfirstyear teaching. Duringhereducational trainings hespent 120 hours in an inclusion setting at an elementary school that had persons with disabilities.

Itwasapparentfromtheresearcher'sconversationsandobservationso fNancy's classroomthatshereallyenjoyedworkingwithstudentswithspecialneeds.Sheexplainedthat:

Ithinkthebenefitisthatithashelpedmetogrowasateacher.It'smademe realize thatthereisnotjustonewayofteachingandIcanapproachthingsin differentways.Ithinkallstudentshavewithinthemgiftsandtalents.Ithink it'suptoteacherstomakesuretheyworktowardtheirpotential.(Interview, 5/28/99,p.4)

Fourofthe17studentsinNancy'sroomthisyeararelearningdisa bled.Special educationservicesareprovidedbytheLDteacherinaco-teachingorsmallgroupsituation withinthegeneral edclassroomandina pull-outresourcemodel.

Nancydescribedtheprincipalassomeonewhowaswillingtolistenandishighly sensitivetotheneedsofherstaff: "Ithinksheisconcernedaboutpleasingherteachersand seeingwaysofgettinginputfromteachers" (Interview, 5/28/99, p. 3).

<u>"Bonnie," specialeducation teacher.</u> Bonnie has been at Collinwood for 3 years. She is inher thirdy earinanew district position as a lead specialed ucation resource teacher. Prior to getting this position, she had been a Speech and Language clinician for 11 years. She has taught in several places in the United States due to her husband 's military career. Bonnie is currently pursuing her doctoral degree in specialed ucation administration.

Bonnietalkedaboutherjobresponsibilitiesandherexperiencesasleadspecial educationteacherintheschool.

Iguessmyrolethisyearhasbeenachangeagent. Ithink Iamthefocuspoint ofthefactthatwearechangingwhatwehavedone. And for our schoolitisa bigchange!Lastyearmosteverythingwaspullout.Everythingwasseparate. I'mcominginandusingtheword inclusivewhichhasbeenkindofabad wordtouse. Iamreally becoming the person who is advocating formore integrationandmoreinclusion. Another part of myjobhas been alot of coordinationandmakingsurepeoplehavewhattheyneededandthatthings weregoingsmoothly. And problems olving with people and trouble shooting. Because of the new IDEAlaw alot of it has been that I'll got other meetings and digest the information and then I'll come back and help every one with whatthey'redoing. I'mapoint of contact. If they need to know something I cangetonthephoneandfindoutwhentheydon'tknowwhotogotoorwhat todo.SoIguessI'macoordinator.I'vealsoservedasprincipaldesigneefora wholelotof IEPsanddonetheschedulingforthat.SoIthinkIhavesortofa leadershiproleforthespecialeducationdepartmentandalotofquasiadministrativekindsofthings.(Interview, 5/1/98, p.3)

Bonnie also spoke extensively about the advantages of inclusion and shared some of her personal experiences about having a disable drelative.

Igrewupinafamilywithadisableduncleandheneverwentanywhere becauseeveryonestared. Mygrandmotherwastooembarrassed. Shejust stayedwiththisuncle. Ithinkaboutwhatthesechildrenaregoingtobelike whenthey'vehadthisdisabledchildintheirkindergartenclassandthischild hasgonewiththemallthewaythroughschool. They'renotgoingtostare. They'regoingtoknowexactlywhat'sgoingon, andit'sgoingtomakelife betterforthedisabledstudents. ButIthinkit'sgoingtomakeitbetterfor otherstudentsaswell. Youseecaringandconcern. Wehaveparentsthat comeinandsay who's Ben? AllIhearaboutis Ben. Anditwill bethe disabledstudent. And they won'thave heard necessarily about the child's disability, butthey'llhearabouthow their childhastogotoschool today because they have to help Benatlunch. Soit bringsout some responsibility, some caring, and compassion that you don't always see. (Interview, 5/1/98, p. 13)

"Brittany," specialeducationteacher. Brittanyteachesstudents with learning disabilities. Shebeganherteaching careerinthe Fallof 1976 and has had experience teaching kindergartenthrough sixth grade. She started working as an LD teacher in the study district in 1985. This was her third year at Collinwood. Currently, she is responsible for providing LD service of 9 fourth-grade LD students in a co-teaching and pull -out resource model.

Brittanytalkedalotabouttheimportanceofcommunicationandcollabo ration.

Ithinkinclusionworkswellwhenthestaffthatisinvolvediswillingto communicateandinvestalotoftimeplanningandworkingthingsout. You reallyhavetohavepeoplethatofferthatandcancreatethatkindof relationshipwhereaclassroomteacherreallywantstoteamandwelcome someoneinandtreatsthemassomeonethatisequal. (Interview, 5/27/98, p. 8)

"Meredith," specialeducationteacher. Meredithteachesstudents with autism. This was her secondyear at Collinwood Elementary and her secondyear in the district. She stated that she beganteaching in 1970, but had some lapses inher career while she was living overseas. All of her 16 yearsteaching has been in the field of specialed ucation with the exception of her first year when she taught regular ed. Severalteachers commented about her strong organization al skills and her knowledge of different teaching strategies. Class room observations revealed an extremely confident professional who exhibited care and compassion for each of her students.

Meredithspokefrequentlyabouthowimportantcollaborationistoensuringinclusive school. Shefeels thatherownexperiencehasbeenpositiveattheschool.

I'vebeenfortunatethisyearbecausethefourthgradeteachershavealways consideredmepartoftheteam, and included meintheteam meetings. Sometimes they feelbadforme because they starttalking about things that really don't apply and they say if you need to leave now it 'so kay. Sometimes I stay and may be I can contribute something because allofasud denthey start talking about some child with a specific behavior that is a regular ed student. I can say have you tried this ? Had I not be enther eand stay ed therefor the meeting, I wouldn't have known the problem. It makes me feel good to know that I can add something to what they are already doing. Collaboration is that team effort. Every one is responsible for all children. (Interview, 5/27/98, p.4)

Otherparticipants. Informaldiscussionswiththe Collinwoodstaffincluded conversationswiththeguidancecounselor,readingspecialist,instructionalassistants,andother specialandgeneraleducationteachers. Ellen, thereadingspecialist was quite willing to share hertime and opinions on how she viewed the acceptance and comfort level of teachers with regard to integrating special education students at the school.

Ifeelthatitischanging. We'vecomealongwaythisyearasfarasour movingtoward comfort within clusion. Iknow that many of the teachers who are not adjusting to it have chosen to transfer. (Interview, 6/5/98, p.1)

Theschoolcounselortalkedaboutthepositiveattitudeofthegeneral edstudentsin regardtotheirdisabledpeers. Shegaveexamplesofwhatactivitieshadbeendoneattheschool tohelpintegratestudentswithspecialneedssuccessfullyintothegeneral edclassrooms.

Therehavebeenseveralschoolwideassemblies. For example, we had the Kidsonthe Blockprogram oneyear. The class room teacher or I are now

doinglessonsondisabilityawarenessasneeded.Studentsareinformedabout thechild'sdisabilitybeforeheorsheenterstheclassroomsotheycanbe awareofhowtheycaninteractappropriatelyandassistthespecial edstudent ifnecessary.(Interview,5/21/98,p.1)

<u>Schoolclimate.</u> Apositive change was viewed by the researcher from the previous schooly ear's observation in regard to the teachers' acceptance of integrating special education students into the general education class rooms. This appears to be primarily attributable to a significant staff turn over this year.

Manyoftheteachersreportedthattheyfeltresponsibleforallofthestudentsatthe schoolnotjustthosethatwereintheirclassroom. The principal and the staffwere observed enthusia stically greeting students in the morning as the busses arrived and in the hallway as they entered their classrooms. Severalteachers were seen sharing materials and collaborating on various less on plans.

The principal was very willing to discuss the changes that the doccurred in the school climates ince the previous year.

Oh, Ithinkithas changed significantly in the last year. We certainly through thehiringprocessmadeitveryclearwhattheexpectationswerewhenyou cameonboard. Ithink that although you can talk about those in an interview, onceyougetinitisoftendifficulttofollowthroughonthatandrealizethat withoutsomeadditionaltraininginwhatcollaborationandco-teachingmean. Butwhatwehavenowisagroupofpeoplewhoarewillingtolearnand willingtotry. And we have many of our general education teachers now takingthelead.Itisinterestingtoseein **IEPsgeneraleducationteachersare** nowleadingsomeofthe IEPsnowbecausethey'vesatinasmanyofthemand areunderstandingofthenewprocessmorethansomeofthenewteachers whoarecominginfromoutofstate.SoIthinkwearebeginningtosee teacherstakingonthat. Wekeepcomingbackandremindingteacherswhy wearehereisforallstudents. And Ithinkthat's what made it work. We startedofftheyearonaretreat. Wetalked about the focus of the four major initiativeshere. One of which has been the integration of special education students. And although it is a difficult process to a ctually implement, I think philosophically, we have most people on board just looking for more and new trainingonhowtodoitbetter.(Interview, 12/7/98, p.2)

Meredith, the fourth-grade autism teacher, spokevery positively abouther experience within tegrating her students into the general education class rooms.

IthinktheschoolclimatehasbeenveryfavorableandI'vebeenveryfortunate sincemyautismkidsareoldertheyhavebeenaccepted. TheteacherIwork withisanexperiencedteacherwhohasn'thadexperienceworkingwith specialneedsstudents. Shehaseasilyaccepteduscominginandoutofthe

classroom.Bothofthefourth-gradeteachershavebeenveryaccepting.They talktotheirownstudentsaboutkidswithspecialneedsandwhathappens whenmykidsareintheroom.It'saverycomfortableworkingrelationship. (Interview,10/19/98,p.2)

Anewthird-gradeteacherwhowasinterviewedinformallyalsofeltthattheclimateat theschoolwaspositiveforintegratingstudentswithspecialneeds.

Ithinkthatatthisschooltheclimateisverypositivetowardinclusion.For thirdgrade, wehavealmosthalfofourpopulationonsomekindof IEPwhich isalotoutof60students.Inourgradelevel, wearetryingtoincludethekids asmuchaswe can, (Interview, 10/5/98, p.1)

 $\underline{Communication.} Camille discussed some of the changes that she had made in the way she communicated with her staff this year.$ 

IthinkthisyearIdowaymorecommunicatingthroughe-mail.Wearenow totallyconnectedEveryoneisconnected.Everyoneisregisteredincludingthe instructionalassistants,thecafeteria, thecustodians.SoIfindthatIdomore teacheractivities.Idoitthreeorfourtimesaday.Icheckmymail.Ithink thatteachersfeelnowthattheyreallyaregoingtogetaresponsesotheyare beginningtouseitalotmore.Wehavereservedinourschoolcornerthatthe principal'snewsisstrictlymyboxsothatwhenimportantnoticescomeup andthereisaredflag,theyknowtoreadthatandIneedaresponseforthat. Sothathasbecomeaneffectivewaywherestaffnewsisforeveryone.Weare workingtowardmovingthatonline,althoughwedon'thavethetechnologyto crossplatforms.AndalotofwhatIdo,IdoonWindowssoIcan'tsendan attachmenttomostoftheMacintoshes.Soweprobablywillcontinuetohave ahardcopyoftheweeklycalendarandweeklynewsletter.Butwewill continuetolookfornewwaystoimprovethings.(Interview,12/7/98,pp.4-5)

Theprincipalalsospokeabouthowsheandthestaffplann edtoaccomplishtheschool goalsbyhavingless,butmoreproductivemeetings.

Oneofourgoalsistotryandestablishhowmanyconferenceswewantto havesothatfirstgradeteacherscanhaveaconferenceordifferentteamscan haveaconference. Wedo lessmeetingsbecausewehavedevelopeda differentorganizationalstructure. Sowearenotspendingasmuchtimein meetings. Committeesunderfour(sic). Andwetryandaccomplishourgoals byhavingthosefourlargecommitteesandhavingsubcommitteesworkunder that. Ourfocuscontinuestobethedevelopmentofgood, solidmeetings. Our trainingwith Xeroxonhowtoconductaproductive meetingwashighly successful. Ouragendasarevery welldoneandwedotimechecks and we analyzeour time at the end. (Interview, 12/7/98, p.5)

Most of the teachers interviewed seembein favor of the principal using e-mail to communicate with the staff. Carol, the first-gradeteacher, described her experience in a positive manner.

Ithinkourprincipalisverymuchontopoftechnologyandhasbeguna wholesystemof e-mailingwithintheschoolandwithinthecountysothatwe haveaccesstoothersthatareteachinginthespecialneedsprogramandthe integrationclimate. Shefrequentlye-mails usandlet'susknowthings. Alot ofthecommunicationthatwedoacrosstheboardinthisschoolisdoneby technologicalmeans. Wesortofprideourselvesonthat. (Interview, 5/26/98, p.5)

Camillealsodiscussedherroleinpromotingapositiveattitudeaboutintegra ting specialeducationstudentsintogeneraleducationclasses.

Ithinkmyrolecontinuestobeoneofsettingthevision. Tosayoverandover againwhatourmissionis. Whetherit beinateammeetingormaybe modelingintegration. If I'mexpectingthestudents are operating within the general education framework, then I would expect that I would have all the materials that at eacher would need no matter who they are or what category they fall in. I see continually being a child advocateso I amaware and I believe that when a certain decision has to be made about a student's educational program then I will standup and make that decision for the child. So I continually feel I have to be the advocate for children, but I have to provide the support and the means. (Interview, 6/19/98, p. 11)

When asked about whether the staff this year felt free to come to her with concerns and is sues regardings chool related matters, Camille indicated that she was not exactly where she would like to be at this time.

Ithinksomedo,butIthinkit'sgoingtotakesometimeasitdoeswithnew people.Ithinkthey'veknownmeforeightornineweeksandIdon'tknow thatthere'sbeenenoughtimetoknowwheretheystand.Alltwenty-fivearein theevaluationcycle.Thatpresentsadifferentproblemindevelopinga relationship.ButI thinkoverthecourseoftimeIthinkwewillbegintodo that.Weareatthecrossroadsofchange.Alltheliteratureonchangesaysthat ittakesfivetosevenyear changecycleforthisschool.AndIthinkit'sthere andit'sinplaceandit'srightforthegrowthofthat.Sohopefully,astimegoes onandthestaffandIdevelopthatrapport.Ifeellikeit'sthereformanyofthe newpeople.Ithinksomeofthepeoplewhoarestillherefrombeforeandthat mightbeintheirnatureofthewaytheirmuchmorepositive,butstilldon't feelcomfortablecomingtoanadministrator.(Interview,12/7/98,p.12)

<u>Principal'sdecision-makingstyle.</u> Theteachersinterviewedappearedtobedividedon theissueofwhetherCamillesupportedacollaborativedecisionmakingprocessfordecisions relatedtoschoolmatters.

Brittany,thefourth-gradeLDteacher,talkedabout Camille'sdemocraticstyleof leadership.

Iwouldsaythatherstyleisveryopensothatpeoplecanvolunteertobeon committeesthattheywanttobeon, sothereisalotofroomforpeopleto haveavoice. Then as committees work on things there is always connection backtotheteams so that the teams are asked for input. So it is very open. Communication is welcome. Most of the things seem to be decided by groups of people who have the open door for input. Also, there is an open door for feedback. (Interview, 5/27/98, p.8)

Meredith, the autism teacher, also felt that Camilleis extremely sensitive to the needs of herst affandstudents.

Tomesheisalwaysopentoideasandalwaysaskingwhatdoyouthinkwe shoulddoorhowcouldwehandleit?Sheisnotdictatorialandcomingdown sayingthisisthewaywearegoingtointegratethekids.Shemakessurethat it'salwaysateameffortandagroupeffortforhowtheprogramis implemented.Atthesametime,Ithinksheseesthatthecoursethatwetakeis notnecessarilythecoursewearegoingtostayonifweseethatit'snot working.Shedoesn'tfeelthatwhateverwedoissetinstone. Thatwehaveto doitthewholeyear.Ithinksheisopentomakingchangesasneeded. (Interview,5/27/98,p.2)

Carolappearedtobesomewhatcautiousinherremarkswhenaskedaboutwheth erthe principalencouragedthestafftoparticipateinmakingschoolrelateddecisions.

Ithinkinalimitedwayshedoes.Ithinkthatalotofwhatisgoingoninour school,ourprincipalhasrealstrongbeliefsandreallywantstobeonboard withit.ButIthinkthatifpushcametoshovesheknowswhatshewantsand weneedtobeawareofthat.(Interview,5/26/98,p.6)

Camillet alked abouther own style of leadership as more directive this year due to the large number of new staff members at the school.

Ithinkwhereweareandstartalloverbrandnewwith25staffmembersyou doalittlebitmoredirectionjustbythenaturethatyouhave25newpeople whoneedtoknowhowtostartinabrandnewcounty,inanewsystem,and gettoknowoneanother.Andgettoknowwhatthecultureoftheschoolis, andwhattheexpectationsareforlotsofthings.We'rejustnowstartingtogel asagroup.We'vedonesomenicethingstogetherandwe'veactuallyhavehad

someexperiencesthathavekindofbroughteverybodytogetherinawaythat wearenowreadytomoveonandactuallydosomemoreplanning. Ithinkour meetingsareallbeingconductedinaverypositivewaysothatwearedoinga littlemoreproblemsolvinginawaythattakesthepersonaloutofthe "p" and putsitintokidsterms. Sowherewearerightnowisthat Ithink Istillam doingsomedirection to helpsome peoplegeta focus and putthemintoa framework. If eelthat I'mable to putsomething out and let peopleres pond. (Interview, 12/7/98, p.4)

<u>Principalsupport.</u> Theteachersspokemainlyintermsofresources, staffing, and the administrator's visibility in the class rooms when questioned about the principal's support in helping to provide a more inclusive environmentat the school. Most of the teachers interviewed felt that they had a dequate supplies to support their instructional program.

Brittany, the fourth-grade LD teacher, felt that the principal had been very proactive in providing the educational materials and equipment that her students needed to meet their IEP goals. She stated that the principal had been very proactive in providing the education almost experiments of the principal had been very proactive in providing the education and the principal had been very proactive in providing the education and the educati

She's the best principal I've ever had interms of getting things we need. She's the one who ordered a dozen Alpha Smarts. Then she ordered Ithinkan other ten. What ever materials we need in terms of alternative reading instruction shemakes sure we find the money for it. The rewerenomaterials when we came three years ago. Idon't know why. We were an ewly renovated school and the rewereno alternative reading materials. (Interview, 5/27/98, p.3)

Theautismteachersharedherconcern aboutthelackofappropriatereadingmaterials forherstudentsatthestartoftheschoolyear. Shespokepositively aboutthe principal's rolein allowing hertoorder what ever instructional supplies she feltwerene eded Sheexplained that

Itwasrough, especially forkids who were beginning readers. Children with autism dobetter with actual photograph pictures in stead of books that have linedrawings. We needed emergent reader books that had photographs. So the school went a head and ordered us a lot of books that had true photographs so these were the books are kidsused. Also we used a lot of different supplies like velcroand the magnetic letters, and picture symbols. Not everything was available at the beginning of the year, but the rewasn't a problem. I don't think ingetting most of the stuffordered for the class throughout the year. (Interview, 5/27/98, p.3)

1

The principal felt that the teachers were satisfied with the availability of instructional materials in the school. However, she talked about the staff's need for more computer training.

Idon'tthink resourcesrightnowareanissuewithmostoftheteachers becausetheyhavejustabouteverythingtheyaskfor. Technologyasaschool wearenotuptoparandweareoneofthetargetedschoolsbecausewehave

notbeenamodeltechschool. Iunderstandthat if the budget goesthroughwe could be on the windfall of that because we are not at ech school. So I'mhopingthatwillhappen.Buteveryteacherdoeshaveacomputerthatis internetaccessible and tiein, at least one so they can run some of the current CD ROMsandprogramsonthem. Ithinkwehavemorethanenough languageartsmaterials. Thequestionteachers ask most frequently is how do I pickandwhatdoIuse.Wehaveeverykindofalternateprogramthatyoucan imagine. Wehave allkinds of manipulative programs. We use Touch Math, ProjectLead, ProjectWilson, Reading Mastery, Stevenson. Wehavethemall here.SoIthinkmaterialwisethathasn'tbeenaproblem.Whenanybody's askedwe'vealwaysbeenabletobuyandinfactwe'vegottenalittlebitmore resourceful. Velcrohas become our second name and we're using the picture symbolsystem. Soyoubecomes pecialists in using velcroandpocketcharts. (Interview, 12/12/98, p.10)

<u>Principalvisibilityandstaffingneeds.</u> Severalteacherswhointerviewedindicatedthatthe principalneededtospendmoretimeintheirclassroomtoactuallyunderstandthetypesof problemstheywereexperiencinginregardtointegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintothe generaleducationclassrooms.Manyoftheteachersfeltthattheyneededmorestaffinorderfor theinclusionmodeltobesuccessful.

Brittany,thefourth-gradeLDteacher,spokepo sitivelyabout Camille'svisibilityinthe schoolbutexpressedadesiretoseehermoreinherclassroom.

She'softenthereatthecloseofdayandthebeginningoftheday. Shedid cafeteriadutyforatleasttwomonths and Ithinkthat's whythekids are so wellmannered in the cafeteria. Shedid cafeteriaduty by her self before we had a cafeteria hostess. Ithinkthat's happened for the last two years. She set up the whole organization so we have a very calm lunchroom. It's the only school I've everworked in that has had one. Kids are so well behaved. Her door is always open inher offices ounless some one is in the reyou can always goin. She never really seems rushed. In ever felt shedidn't want metotal kto her. You can schedule her to come to meeting sand conferences. I wish she was in the class rooms more. She's very welcome. She 's only come in my class room only once or twice, but I wish she would be visiting more. (Interview, 5/27/98, p. 8)

Lee, the third-gradeteacher, appeared to be quite emotionally distraught about her current situation within tegrating special education student into her class room.

IdefinitelyliketoseetheresourcestaffhelpmoreoftenthenIdo.Eachgrade levelwiththepopulationwehavenowneedstheirownspecial edteacher.We arejustswampedandIdon'tthinkoneforevery twogradelevelsiscuttingit. SoIwouldliketoseeaspecial edteacherforeverygradelevel.More assistantsintheclassroomforthosewho havestudentswithahighpopulation

of special needs. Definitely more team collaboration and things like that. I'd like to see the principal and the assistant principal and the lead teacher more involved in the class rooms and what 's going on in the decision making. Much more supportive of the staff than they are. (Interview, 10/5/98, p.7)

Anotherthird-gradeteacherwhowasinterviewedinformallyappearedtobevery concernedaboutthelackofpersonalsupportandinvolvementonthepartoftheprincipalin implementingtheinclusionmodelinherclassroom.

Ithinkourprincipalmeanswell. Ithinkshewantstosupportyou. Itmaynot evenbeher, justadministrationingeneral. We'vehadgradelevelmeetings wherewehaveaddressedissuesandtheyjustkindofseemtobepushed underthecarpet. They'llsayyes, weunderstandyouarehavingaproblem, butafterthatyoudon'treallygetmuchmore. Sothat's just the kindoffeeling I'mgettingsofar. If eelthat shewants to be very supportive and may be that's whyshe is saying those things right up front. Then we need some action to backitup. The AP does a prettygood job of coming into myroom. However, the principal, the only timeshe came in wastodo an observation of the resource teacher and to pick up aboy for time out. She says she understands what we are going through, but she really hasn't be en in the room to see it. I don't really feel Iknowher very well. (Interview, 10/5/98, p. 1)

A fifth-grade teacher who was interviewed informally seemed to be very frustrated that the principal had not been inher class room more to see how the integration model was working this year.

Ifeelthattheadministrationisalmostinvisible.Ineverseethem.Bothof themhavebeeninmyclassroomoncethisyearandthey'reusingthe internet muchmore.That'sjustsoimpersonal.It'saneasywaytocommunicateand it'sconvenient,butit'sjustsoimpersonal.Youcan'tcarryonadialogueover the internet.(Interview,12/12/98,p. 3)

 $\underline{District support.}\ The principal spokehonestly about the type of support from the district she felt was the most helpful in implementing the inclusive pilot program.$ 

Probablythemostbeneficialhasbeenthesubtime. Thesubmoneythathas beenavailableforustodostaffdevelopmentandtodoplanning.Beginning curriculumplanningdaysthatwehavesetoutthisyearhaveprobablybeen themostsuccessful.Thebiggesteyeopenertohelpusgetonboardandon track.Andtohelpuskindofseewhereweareheaded.These halfday planningsessionspulledtogethergeneraleducation,resource,special educationteacherstolayoutthecurriculumforthatparticularnineweeks. Andthatwayitwastheoneplacewhereeverybodyknewtheycouldgetthe mostcurrentinformationaboutwhatwas happeningcurriculumwise.Sothat wasprobablythemosthelpful.Andprobablythesecondmosthelpfulthing

wasbeingabletopurchasesomesitelicensesforsomespecializedsoftware sothatwecouldhavethemingeneraleducationclasses.FordMakersisa softwareprogramforvisualpicturesymbolsandwenowhavefivestations locatedwithingeneraleducationclassroomswhichallowgeneraleducation teacherstomakethenecessarypicturesymbolsthattheyneedforgeneral ed students.Aswellasspecialeducationstudentsthatareintegrated.Aswellas havinganewsoftwareprogram,WriteOutLoud,whichistheprogramwe usewhichcertainlyhelpedalotofourstudentsintermsofpassingthe literacythisyearintermsofwriting.(Interview,6/19/98,p.14)

Camillealsotalkedi ngreatdetailaboutthestaffingthathadbeenprovidedbythe districttosupportthenewspecialeducationintegrationmodelattheschool.

Ifeeltheyhaveleftbasicallyourstaffingintact. Andwedosupportthat staffingnotunderthesamecategoricalguidelines.Butwesupportitwith numberscrosscategorically. Whereweareoutofsynchandhavedetermined wearetheoneschoolinthepilotthathasthedemographicswedoisthatyou cannot continue to busin large numbers of high incidence, high intensive specialeducationstudentsintoanalreadyneedyschoolwithlargenumbersof ESL, large number of students in low socioeconomic ranges and expect to provideinclusiveenvironmentsundertheidealguidelines. Soweeitherhave todecideifwearegoingtodothatwearegoingtohavetoservicechildren withinourowncommunityandlookatstaffinginrelationshiptothat. We are stillstaffingundertheoldmodeltryingtobeinanewmodel. Andwehaven't addressedwhatthestaffingchangesneedtobeinordertoreally accommodatestudentsinaninclusiveenvironment. Wehaveapproximately 420studentsonanygivenday. Wehaveover 80students with IEPs.We continuetohaveover80studentsinourESLprogram,soit'sasignificant impact.Ifwejustlookedatourstudents, wecould takedown at least 20 of thosehighincidencestudentswhodon'tliveinthisschoolareaandthat wouldmakeasignificantdifferenceinourparticularsituation.(Interview, 6/19/98,p.11)

<u>Masterscheduleandplanning.</u> Manyteacherswhotheresearcherspokewithfeltthat themasterscheduleneededtoberevisedinordertoprovideformorecommonplanningtime. However,twooftheteachersattheschooldiscussedthepositiveexperiencestheyhadthisyear.

One of the special education teachers who was interviewed informally indicated that the masters chedule this year has given her the opportunity to team with Lee, one of the third gradegeneral education teachers.

Thathasactuallybeenapositivethingherebecauseinmylastsettingthe masterschedulewasnotconducivewhatsoever. Herethethirdgradeteacher andmyselfthewaythemasterscheduleisdesignedatanygivenpointweare doingthesamething. (Interview, 12/7/98, p.1)

Leealsospokeabo utthepositiveaspectsofthemasterschedule.

Forthirdgrade, they moved the special edclass room up to the same hallway which has made a huge difference. For example, for DEAR time we open up those class rooms. So that 's made a huge difference in flexibility. Our master schedule has all four third-grade teachers on the same breaks so we have common planning time. The special edstudents are included in all my specials -P.E., Musicand Art. (Interview, 10/5/98, p.5)

The assistant principal discussed the scheduling problems at the school and the innovative ways in which the administration and teachers were attempting to resolve them.

This is an objective for next year to get more common planning time. We don'thavealotofthatbuiltinourschedulerightnow. Andthatisprobablyis the single, biggest complaint and difficulty that teachers have of not having thetimetocollaboratethewaytheyneedto. We'vetriedtodothingslikepay peopleafterschool. Iknowteachers are even meeting on some Saturdays. So that'showtheymakeupforit.Asfaraslookingatthemasterschedulewe needtolookatthatandtrytoprovidesomecommonplanningtime.It's difficultwhenyouhaveasmallschoolbecauseyouonlyhavetwoteacherson agradelevelandit's also avery full load for the PE and music schedules. And that'showweprovidecommonplanningtime. Fortunately, with the autism programwehaveahighratioofinstructionalassistantssowehaveinsome cases been innovative in using them to cover classes to free upsometeachers. Wealsohavealotofpilotmoneyforcollaborativeplanningdays. Sothat's onewaywehavetriedtohelp.ButIdothinkweneedtolookatthemaster scheduleformorecollaborativeplanningtimewithintheday.(Interview, 5/1/97,p. 8)

<u>Professionaldevelopment.</u> The principal and teachers at Collinwoodhave been involved in a variety of professional development activities at the school and district level. The staff hashad opportunities to attend local and state conferences and visitothers chool districts to view special education inclusionary programs. Amentor program has also been established with an inclusion school in an other district.

The principal talked about some recent staff development inservices that the faculty has been involved in andher plans for future activities.

Allofthespecialeducationteachershave beeninvolvedinthefallineither contenttrainingthattheyneedforstandardsoflearning,orfortheirown discipline,ortheyhavebeeninvolvedintestingtraining. Wejuststartedthis monthactuallydoingtrainingwiththeentirestaffonco-teachingand collaboration. Infact, on Monday wehadasession on defining what those terms would mean at this school, establishing some parameters and getting

somedifferentsuggestionstoestablishacommonvocabularyforwhatwe wantteacherstobeabletodoandtalkinalanguagethatweallunderstand. Ourgoalthenfromhereistotakethecommonvocabularyandsomeofthe articlesoncollaborationonthenewmainstreamingwehandedouttoteachers andanotherhandoutthatspeaksmoreaboutassessmentoftheirownstyleon howtheyliketoruntheirclassroom. Sowestartedthismonthwiththat. Our nextactivitythatweplannedtogoalongwiththatiswehavetraditionally hadquarterlyplanningmeetingswherewetalkaboutcontentonly. So the focusofthenextgroupofquarterlymeetingswillbetotalkaboutthecontent, butwearethenactuallygoingtoworkthroughhowyoudoco-teachingand howyoumightdothatparticularcontentareainacollaborativeway. Using theworkandsomeofthebooksonco-teachingthathavebeenavailabletous, wewillbeusingthatasasortofframeworkforthatinournextquarterly planningmeeting. (Interview, 12/12/98, p. 2)

The principal indicated that she felt it was important for her to participate in a smany of the school inservices as possible with the teachers.

IattendallofthetrainingIcanpossiblyattendthatgoesonherewithmy teachers, soIhaverunningrecords and have attended my four running records inservices as a retooling and retraining recently because Idon't do running records every day. I just attended A Words Their Way inservice this afternoon so that I can better understand the new complexities in looking at language and words and looking at the new literature that is out there. (Interview, 12/12/98, p.8)

Camille also cited some examples of how importants he felther training has been in supporting her staff.

I thinkasanadministratoryouhavetobetakingeveryclassnomatterwhatit is.Unfortunately,manyoftheclassesIhavewantedtotake,particularlyI havebeeninterestedintheTeach inservicesthathavebeenofferedtowork withchildrenwithautism.Ihaveallthe literatureandIhavetheirwebsite and I'veread all the materials. I have a ctually rearranged two of the rooms to meetthoseparticularthings. Soeventhough I haven't been able to attend the sessionsI'veactuallyhadthematerialstobeabletohelptwonewteachersto redesigntheirroomsaroundthat. I'mworking ondeveloping myexpertisein Boardmaker, which is the picture symbol system and have installed that on mycomputer. The physical education teacher who has students with autism, aspartofmyobservationIsaidyou needtohaveapictureschedule.AndI gavehimapictureschedulewhichImademyself(Interview,12/12/98,pp.8-9)

The special education lead teachers poke positively about her visit to two special education inclusive demonstrations it es in the state.

Wevisited two of the four in the state. We took teams. It's required by the demonstrationsitesthatyouhavegeneral edteachers, special edteachers, administrators, and parents on the team. So we made two of these visits. That wasveryhelpfulbecausealotoftimespeopleyoucanreadaboutit, youcan talkaboutit, butyouneedtogo. Youneedthepicture. Peopledon't, especiallyinthisdistrictwherepeoplehavegottensousetohavinga specialistforeverything. Theydon't believe. If you just read about this they wouldsaythattheydon'thavekidswiththesamedisabilitiesthatwehave. Theydon'thavekidswiththeproblemsthatwehave. Buttogooutthereand actuallyseetheydoandtheyhavekidswithmoresevereproblemsthanour kidshave. And they'reingeneral edclassesalldaygaveteachersadifferent perspective of what was going on. And also to see that schools were able to do itwithlessstaffthanwehave.Ithinkthatwasbeneficial.(Interview,5/1/98, p.9)

The principal commented in great detail about some of the things that had been happening with their mentors chool.

RooseveltElementarycameandspentsometimewithusinthe Fall.They alsospentsometimewithusinJune. Theytookalookatourschooland madesomerecommendations and changes about facilities, structures, scheduling.andsomeofthe nittygrittydetailsthatweresuccessfulforthem inaninclusiveenvironment. andtheycamebackinNovembertoseewhere wewere. Theytookatour of the school and met withus. They discussed our planthatwehadbeenworkingon.OurgrantwasupattheendofSeptember soweweregoingtoseewherewewerewiththat. Wehadmetallourgoals. WehadpurchasedthematerialsweneededfordoingCOACH,proceduresfor gettingparentsreadyforIEPtraining,haddoneourstaffretreatwhichwe endeduphavingXeroxpaying, and having us for the day out at their Leesburgfacility. wehadournextstepsastowherewewantedtogo.We agreedthatwewantedtocontinueour mentorshipforanotheryear. Weset ourgoalsandobjectives. Wetookalookatourneeds assessment that we had completed. And we did an analysis of that and targeted several goals for the Fallforthisyear. Weareintheprocess of finalizing our planand we are settinguptwositevisitsbackthere.Sincewehave25newpeople,wenow arealmoststartingover. Sowewill be sending two groups of parents and teacherstovisitwiththeminJanuary. Wereviewedthearticleaboutthem and passed out the article. They were featured in an Education Week article. Wesharedthatwithourstafftogetanideaandflavoraboutwhatthatschool wasallaboutandthat'swherewearegoing. We'vebeenaskedbythestate projectdirectorforthisparticularprojecttobeginworkonabookonhowto starttheinclusiveschoolprocess. So Rooseveltands everal of the staffatour schoolwillbetalkingaboutdevelopinganoutlineinJanuarytolookata handoutoraprojectasapartofthiswholeprocess. SoIthinkwearemoving

alongtheway, and are still excited about continuing with them. (Interview, 12/12/98, p.5)

Theautismteacherspokeaboutherexperiencewithstaffdevelopmenttrainingatthe districtlevel.

Tomethat'saplusforthiscounty.Ididn'thavethisinpreviousstates.There is alot of inservice training going on. Not only what we have had at the school. There are courses and training going on all the time. Through the autism department on how to do integration, be sides what has come into the school. We now have access as a utism teachers to all the reading inservices, and writing, and learning how to do this. In the past that probably would never have taken place. They would never have included an autism teacher as some body to attend a reading conference or something like that. Now they do. To methe inservices are really aplus. It is out there. It is just the teacher has to make the commitment to do it. (Interview, 10/19/98, p.7)

The assistant principal an dafew of the teacherstalked about what training they felt would be helpful for the staffing ard to integrating special education students into the general education classes.

Lee, the third grade general education teacher indicated that

Iwouldliketoseemoretraininginstrategiestousewithspecial edstudents; strategiesforinclusion.Ithinkalotofpeopledidn'tknowhowneedysomeof thesekidswereanddidn'thavetheexperiencetodoit.It'shard,sohard!So I'dliketoseethat,andI'dliketoseeanoutsidepersoncomeinanddoit. SomeonelikeJudyWood whogivesyousometakehomewithyoukindof strategies.(Interview,10/5/98,p.8)

Afifth-gradeteacherwhowasinterviewedinformallyspokeaboutvisitingaschool whereshecouldseeasuccessfulinclusionmodel.

I'd love to go and see a class room that is successful. I would like to be able to observe the entire day all the transitions and be able to sit down at the end of the day and discuss what I've seen with the teachers who are implementing it. (Interview, 12/12/98, p.1)

<u>Summary.</u>Sixthemesemergedfromthedatacollectedfromselectedstaffmembers and class room observations at Collinwood Elementary. The most obvious theme was related to the school climate. All of the participants felt that there was a much greater acceptance level among the staff for integrating students with disabilities into the general education class rooms this year which was attributed to the high staff turnover. However, many of them spoke about the need to continue providing special educations ervices within a continuum of services model.

Asecondthemethatemergedfromthedatawascommunication. Staffmemberstalked aboutgoodworkingrelationshipsandfeelingcomfortableexpressingtheiropinionsinmeetings and with the principal abouts chool related matters. Many teachers indicated that there was more collaboration between the general and special education teachers.

Athirdthemewasrelatedtothedecision-makingprocess. Theteacher swhowere interviewedappearedtobedividedonthisissue. Someoftheteachers spoke about the principal asbeing more relaxed and willing to implement suggestions of fered by the staff while others felt that she was very directive and reluctant to try new ideas regarding integrating special education student sunless it had been proven by research to be successful.

Afourththemehadtodowiththeprincipal's supportinimplementing amore inclusive environment. Allofthest affmembers interviewed agreed that they had a wide variety of instructional material stowork with general and special education students. Many of the teachers, however, believed that the principal needed to be in their class rooms more of tento really understand how the integration model was working.

Afifththemethatwasseenasimportanttothesuccessoftheintegrationmodelwas adequateplanningtimeandamasterschedulethatallowedformoreflexiblegroupingsof students. Themajorityoftheteachersspokeaboutaneedformorecommonplanningtimeto discussstudentsandthecurriculum. Theyfeltthatthemasterscheduleneededtobechangedin ordertointegratechildrenwithdisabilitiesmoreeffectively.

Thefinalthemethatemergedwasrelatedtotheamountofpr ofessionaldevelopment opportunitieswhichthestaffhadhadinregardtoworkingwithstudentswithspecialneeds. A staffneedsassessmentsurveydoneatthebeginningoftheschoolyearrevealedthat collaborationandteamworkwereviewedascriticaltothesuccessoftheintegrationmodeland shouldbeanon-goingprocess. Allofthestaffmembersinterviewedfeltthatasubstantial amountoftraininginteambuildingandtheuseofinclusivepracticeshadbeenofferedatthe schoolanddistrictlevel. Severalgeneraleducationteachersspokeaboutbeingmorecomfortable workingwithlearningdisabledstudents, butfelttheyneededadditionaltrainingtoworkwith autisticstudents.

# Chapter5

#### Discussion.Conclusions.andRecommendations

#### Introduction

Thepurposeofthisstudywastoexaminehowthreeelementaryschoolprincipalsina selectedschooldistrictcollaboratewiththeirteachingstafftofacilitateacommitmentto educatingstudentswithdisabilitiesinthegeneraleducationclassroom. The studyfocused on teachers' and principals' experiences withintegrating specialeducation students, particularly with regard to the principal's role. The researcher used a multiple case study approach to gather data at the three schools which we reselected for the study. The research questions that were addressed in this study are as follows:

- 1. Whateducational training or experience have these principals had with models or practices that support the integration of disabled students into general education class rooms?
- 2. What are the educational practices used by these principals to help ensure that students with disabilities are successfully integrated with non-disable dpeers?
- 3. What leaders hipstyle or behaviors of each of these principals influence these teachers' efforts to integrate students with disabilities into general education class rooms?
- 4. How does each principal collaborate with teachers to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into general education class rooms?
- 5. What experience or training has the principal provided for general education teachers in regard to making a cademic and behavior a laccommodations for special education students?

Thischapterisintendedtosummarizethisstudyintermsoftheresearch data,the conclusionsdrawnfromthestudy,andfinallythe recommendationswhichweredevelopedfrom thefindings.Six themeswhichemergedfromtheinterviewdataandobservationswillbe discussed.

#### Discussion

Schoolclimate. Thefirstthemethatwasidentified asimportanttothesuccessful integration of special education students into the general education class rooms in each of these schools was the school climate. The results of the study produced data that led the researcher to be lieve that, in order for aschool to be come more inclusive, the staff has to change the way it views the educational process, including changing the paradigms of learning and teaching,

teachersupport,andstaffdevelopmentpractices. Theleadershipbehavior of the administrator has an essential role in promoting effective acceptance of school wide change (Ingram, 1997). If a climate of openness, change, reflective practice, and self-renewal is to be implemented, then it must be modeled by the principal (Sergiovanni, 1991). This is consistent with the findings of the study.

Thethreeprincipalsinvolvedinthestudyspokeaboutthepositivechangestheyhad seenintheschoolclimatesincetheprocessofintegratingstudentswithdisabilitieshadbeen initiated. Theadministrators of Bakersvilleand Collinwoodalsodiscussedtheprocessofchange itself. Marlena, the principalat Bakersville Elementary, indicated that one of the things that occurred as a result of change at the school was having to assess where they were in implementing more inclusive practices and to be more accountable as to how to they were doing in regard to reaching their goals. The principal felt that the most difficult part of the process was helping each other to understand where they were coming from philosophically and to recognize the strengths and the ways they could work together and yet be them selves and validate their ownstyles and needs. Marlenas poke about how this had adversely affected the school climate as she acknowledged that the faculty had experienced a moderate level of stress and discomfort as a result of the changes that had been made. She indicated that she believed the process had been a positive one over all.

Thefindingsofthestudyindicatethatininclusiveschools,itisimportantthat administratorsarticulateaphilosophythatreflectsthebeliefthatallchildrencanlearn,thatall childrenhavetherighttobeeducatedwiththeirpeersinage-appropriategeneraleducation classrooms,andthatmeetingtheeducationalneedsofallstudentsistheresponsibilityofthe schoolsystem. Thisisconsistentwiththeworkof Ingram(1997). Thethreeprincipalsworked withtheirstafftoagreeonabuildingphilosophyofintegratingspecialeducationstudentsinto thegeneraleducationclassrooms. Themissionstatementateachschoolclearlyreflectedthe staff'sbelievethatallchildrencanlearnandtheircommitmenttohelpingeachstudent be successful. The Bakersvilleand Collinwoodstaffsincludedasectiondevotedtoinclusive practicesaspartoftheirschoolplan. Theprincipalsandteacherswhoparticipatedinthestudy indicated that they shared the responsibility of integrating specialeducation students.

ThedatarevealedthattheprincipalsatRobertE.Lee, Bakersville,and Collinwood ElementarySchoolshaveworkedextremelyhardtoprovideanenvironmentinwhichall childrenfeelaccepted. Theprincipalsmadestatementssuchas, "Wewelcomeallchildren whatevertheirareasofdisabilitiesorabilitiesare," and "Werespectandsupportthediversity of backgroundsandlearningneedsofallofourstudents." Avariety of student work was displayed throughout the three buildings. The principals were observed interacting in a positive manner with all of the students. It was difficult at time stoidentify which students received special educations ervices, as the teachers and general education students had created an environment that promoted as ense of belonging for all children.

<u>Communication.</u> These condthemethatemerged from the data involved the use of communication as an important part of facilitating a more inclusives choolen vironment. Initiating inclusion in as chool requires a significant amount of communication among teachers,

parents, students, and principals (Roach, 1995). All three principals hades tablished a system of communication that gavest aff members an opportunity to share their feelings about the inclusive practices that had been implemented and to make recommendations for change if needed. Staff members who participated in this study indicated that they had been involved in district level meetings, faculty meetings, team meetings, committee meetings, individual meetings with the principal, and informal conversations where inclusion had been discussed. All of the teachers interviewed reported knowing what to do when they had a problem or recommendation. Most of the teachers indicated that, although their suggestions were not always adopted, they felt that the principal treated them fairly and list ened to their concerns.

Thethreeadministratorsactivelypromotedteacherparticipationinmakingcurriculum-relateddecisions. Theywere observed on several occasions encouraging teachers to talk about their experiences within tegrating special education students into the general education class room. Teachers were given scheduled blocks of time to communicate with each other about a variety of school-related matters. The principals also used — mail and a weekly newsl—etter to keep their staffinformed about instructional issues, meetings, staff development opportunities, and provision of support or information to teachers.

Thethreeprincipalsfrequentlyservedasadvocatesforintegratingspecialeducation studentsintothegeneraleducationclassroomswithinthecontextsofdistrictandparent meetings. Theywereactivelyinvolvedinattending ChildStudy/LocalScreening meetings and IEP conferences. However, none of the principals were able to attendal loft hemeetings due to their other responsibilities. They relied on their assistant principal and specialeducation lead teachers at timestoconduct these meetings. Generaleducation teachers and specialeducation teachers were observed collaborating with parents to develop appropriate goals for students with disabilities.

<u>Decision-makingprocess</u>. Athirdthemethatemergedfromtheresearchwasthedecision-makingprocessusedateachschool. Effectiveleaders collaborate with teachers, parents, and students as equal teammembers (Goor, Schwenn, & Boyer, 1997). In a collaborative school setting, the faculty work together to provide the optimal learning environment possible for all children. The special needs of students with disabilities can be be stserved by developing mutual goals and solving problems together.

Eachadministratorwasabletodescribeclearlytheprocessfordecisionmakingregarding policiesandinclusivepracticesathisorherschool. It was observed that the staffs were actively engaged in making decisions about how students with disabilities were being integrated into general education class rooms. Two of the three principal stalked about building trust as being essential toworking in an inclusive school environment. They spoke about accepting teachers, parents, and students as they are, listening to their ideas and feelings, and working together to resolve problems.

<u>Principalsupport.</u> Afourththemethatwasidentifiedfromthedataasbeingcriticaltothe successofinclusionwasthesupportthattheprincipalgavetheteachers. Administratorsupport is extremely important to the professional development and overall well being of both general

and special education teachers (Billingsley & Cross, 1992). Teachers are more committed when administrators of ferfeedback, acknowledgment, encouragement, collective decision making, and collaboration (Rosenholtz, 1989). Principal support has been shown to have a direct relationship to teacher retention and attrition. Billingsley and Cross found that general and special education teachers who received more administrative support tended to stay inteaching longer than those who received less support.

Aneffectiveprincipalunderstandsthatequalopportunitiesforstudentswithdisabilities mayrequireunequalresourcesandacommitmenttoallteachersandstudentsisessential (Burelloetal.,1993). Alloftheteacherswhoparticipatedinthestudyagreedthattheirprincipal hadprovidedthemwithsufficientinstructionalmaterialsand assistivetechnologysuchas computers, specialkeyboards, alphasmarts, and voice-activated software programs to effectively integratespecialeducation students into the general education class rooms. The principals at the three schools had as signed specialed ucation teachers and/or assistants to work with general education teachers to give them support in working with students with disabilities. Schedules for in-class assistance were built around the special education students 'needs in specific subject are as based on their IEPs.

Mostoftheteachersinterviewedindicatedthattheyweremorewillingtointegrate studentswithdisabilitiesintotheirclassroomiftheyhadtheassistanceofthespecialeducation teacherorparaprofessionalduringthattime. However, two of the teachers at Bakers ville Elementary and four of the staffmembers at Collinwood stated that they did not believe there were adequate specialeducation personnel to accommodate the needs of the students with disabilities.

Eachprincipaldemonstratedskillsinlisteningtotheconcernsoftheteachersandproblem solving. They described their role as being facilitators, directors of the vision, and focusing on the issues even when the remay be conflict. The majority of the staff members at each school who were interviewed reported feeling comfortables having their concerns with their administrator.

Allthreeprincipalsindicatedthattheyhadspentalotoftimeinclassroomsobservingthe inclusive practices of teachers and the performance of students. However, they reported that their classroom observations were often curtailed by their other responsibilities. The staff interviewed at Bakers ville Elementary felt that the principal was sufficiently involved in the day to day operations of the classroom. The teachers at Robert E. Leere ported that, although the principal was very supportive of their efforts to integrate special education students, they usually sought the advice of the assistant principal, who has a background in special education, when they had a concern or problem regarding inclusion. The majority of the teachers interviewed at Collinwood wanted more frequent and lengthy visitations by the principal so that she could observe first hand the problems they were experiencing within tegrating students with disabilities into very diverse general education classrooms. As a result of the principal's limited visibility and involvement in daily classroom activities, so meteachers indicated that they were increasingly reluctant to invest the time and effort required to implement more inclusive practices.

<u>Masterscheduleandplanningtime.</u> Afifththemethatemergedfromtheinterviewsrelated tothedevelopmentofamasterschedulethatprovidesadequateplanningtimetoallowteachers tocollaborateaboutspecialeducationstudents. Arrangingcommonplanningtimesforteachers isachallengingtaskformanyprincipalsandteachers. Administratorsmustgivehighpriorityto providingcoordinatedplanningtimeforspecialeducationandgeneraleducationteacherswho areworkingtogether(Conrad& Whitaker, 1997). Aschool'sinabilitytoprovidethenecessary timeforcollaborationcanbecomeasignificantbarriertothesuccessfulintegrationofstudents withdisabilities(Cole, 1992). This was supported by the findings of the study.

Theteachersinterviewedatthethreeschoolsfeltthattheirprincipalsunderstoodtheir concernsregardingschedulingandplanningtime. Theybelievedtheprincipalswereworking veryhardtoinvolveteachersinfindingwaystocollaborateandtoensureadequatestaffingto meettheneedsofthestudentswithdisabilitiesinthegeneraleducationclassrooms. The principalsandteachersatRobertE. Leeand CollinwoodElementarysharedtheirconcerns regardingspecialprograms. Thesewerethe Spanish Immersion and Englishasa Second Language programs, respectively, that caused them significant problems indeveloping the masterschedule.

Theteachersinterviewedateachschoolengagedincollaborativeplanningonaregular basisbeforeandafterschoolhours. Someteachers also indicated that they used their lunch breakt op lantogether. The masterschedule at each school had been developed to ensure that all grade-level teachers had a common planning time. During the seplanning sessions co-teachers developed their instructional plans, assigned preparation tasks, and reviewed students' class room performance, assessment information, and IEP goals. Special education teachers who were assigned to more than one gradelevel at each school were often notable to attend the planning sessions since they were working with students.

Manyoftheteachersinterviewedindicatedthatthesuccessfulintegrationofstudentswith disabilitieswasstronglydependentondailycommunicationbetweenthegeneralandspecial educationteachers. Alloftheteachersinterviewedfeltthat collaborative effortswork bestwhen there is sufficient time to plan and discuss the accommodations which are needed for special education students. Some teachers indicated that the planning time they were provided with allowed them to share ideas and build thene cessary collegiality and cooperation which is essential in an inclusive school. However, the majority of them felt that they did not have sufficient time to plan for the diverse needs of their students.

<u>Professionaldevelopment.</u> Staffdevelopment, the last theme, was critical to the success of inclusion. In high-quality professional development, schools provide the necessary training and extensive follow up with guided practice for teachers implementing the changes (Kearns et al., 1998). Principals are responsible for planning and supporting ongoing staffdevelopment opportunities by allocating resources, providing release time for teamplanning, and preparation activities, and establishing coaching systems to maintain and reinforce instructional skills (Katsiyannis, Conderman, & Franks, 1996).

Staffdevelopmentthatsupportedmoreinclusivepracticeswasstronglyencouragedbythe threeprincipals. The principalsat Bakersvilleand Collinwood Elementary Schoolshave advanced training in special education. The principal at Robert E. Leereliesheavily on the expertise of an assistant principal, who had previously taught special education students for 25 years. All three principals reported having attended conferences and taking classes to learn more about the needs of students with disabilities and about inclusive practices. All of the teachers appeared to be comfortable seeking information and sharing it with their colleagues. District staff development of ferings, building level activities, and individual training opportunities helped to facilitate successful inclusive practices. However, many of the teachers interviewed indicated that they still did not have sufficient training to feel confident working with students with special education needs; particularly children with emotional disabilities or autism. The faculty at Collinwood Elementary were involved in amentoring relationship with the staff of a school located in another district that has had severally ears experience with inclusion.

## ConclusionsoftheStudy

Theprimarypurpose of this study was to ide ntify how the principal works with staff to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into the general education class room. The findings support the research that the principal plays one of the most important roles in an inclusive school (Van Dyke, Stallings, & Colley, 1995). Based on the data collected, it is apparent that principal smust take an active and positive role in the process. The three principals in this study worked regularly with their teachers to embrace as chool wide philosophy of inclusion and to provide a positive climate for its success. Each principal meton an ongoing basis with their staff to plans trategies for inclusion, to resolve problems, and to discuss the status of the inclusion program.

Itcanalsobeconcludedfromthestudythataprincipal'swordsandactionsmustbeableto communicatetheimportanceofinclusion, sinceaschool's successinproviding integrated services is highly dependent upon the attitude of the staff. Although the majority of teachers who participated in the study at the three schools felt that inclusion was extremely hardwork, they strongly believed that it had a positive impact on their class rooms. They indicated that the self-esteem of the students with disabilities had improved and that the general education students were more accepting of individual differences. However, it was apparent from their comments that they strongly believed that a continuum of alternative placement options must be available to meet the needs of the students as addressed in their individual education program. Noone model of instruction is appropriate for all students. The remust be provisions made for self-contained and resource classes.

Anotherkeyfindingfromthedatawasthattheamountofsupportandinvolvementofthe principalintheinclusionprocesswascloselyrelatedtothecomfortlevelofthestaffinregardto integratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintothegeneraleducationclassrooms. Mostoftheteachers involvedinthestudyindicatedthattheirprincipalhadprovidedthemwithadequate instructionalandpersonnelresourcesintheclassroom. The teachers at Robert E. Lee and Bakersville felt that the principal and the assistant principal had provided ongoing encouragement, understood their problems, and followed throughout heir promises. Many of

theteachersat Collinwood,however,weredisappointedbytheirprincipal'slackofinvolvement intheirdailyclassroominstructionandappeareddisillusionedbyherinabilitytoprovidethe emotionalsupportandleadershiptheywereseeking. Theyindicatedthat, as are sult, they felt less motivated to implement inclusive practices, because the principal was not an active participant in the day-to-day problems they encountered when integrating students with disabilities into the general education classroom.

Anotherconclusion based on the data collected is the importance of the principal in promoting and supporting collaborative relationships among the staff. All three principals provided the time for their teachers to meet, discuss, and plan for effectively using inclusive practices. They also actively encouraged their staff to be involved in making decisions about the inclusion process. Many of the participants spoke of the need to be flexible and willing to participate in on-going problems olving sessions as revisions or adaptation sto individual plans may be needed to successfully integrate students with disabilities into general education class rooms.

Thedataalsosupportstheimportanceofstaffdevelopmentforsuccessfullyimplementing inclusive practices. It is imperative that all principals acquirean in-depth knowledge and understanding of the competencies that are needed to be leaders in inclusive schools. Most of the participants at the three schools felt that the staff development opportunities were essential to the success of integrating students with disabilities into the general education class rooms. The general and special education teachers spokere peatedly about how important it was to fully understand their responsibilities and roles in the inclusion process. Although many of the participants at the three schools indicated they had participated in team building and the use of inclusive strategies that had been offered at the school district level, they indicated an eed for more training in integrating the more severely disabled students into the general education class room.

<u>Recommendationsforpractice</u>. The following recommendations that emerged from the study could be beneficial for educators in helping to ensure the success of an inclusive school.

- 1. S chool districts should develop definitions of what is meant by an inclusive school and should collaboratively develop district philosophies of inclusive practices.
- 2. Schooldistrict-levelplanninghelpstoensurethatessentialresourcesareavailableto schoolsandclassroomstoprovideappropriateservicesforstudentswithspecialneeds. School districtsmustsupportschoolswheretherearespecialprogramssuchaslanguageimmersionor Englishasa Second Languagein order for inclusion to be effective. It is very difficult to integrate students with disabilities into immersion classes when instruction is given in a foreign language for half of the school day.
- 3. Schooldistricts should ensure that all students who are attendings chools other than their neighborhoods chools should be returned to the class room they would be assigned if they did not have a disability whenever possible. It is almost impossible to a chieve natural class

integrationinschoolsthatservelargenumbersofstudentswithaparticulardisabilitysuchas severedisabilities.

- 4.Principalsshouldcultivateaschoolclimatethatnurtureallstudents with the expectation that all students belong at the school and that all teachers will teach all students. Everychild should be encouraged to meet highlevels of education alout comes and high standards of performance that are appropriate to their abilities. It is important that school administrator sunderstand the importance of their modeling and the symbolism of visiting class rooms, spending time with students, and providing teachers with the support they need to work with students with disabilities in the general education class rooms.
- 5. Principals should clearly articulate an inclusive philosophy and empower teachers to collaborate to make decisions and to resolve problems.
- 6.Principalsmustplancarefullywiththeirstafftoassessstudentneed sandavailable resourcesasstudentplacementdecisionsandco-teachingassignmentsaremade.Itisimportant that the staff be used in a manner that will promote maximum coverage and support for teachers who are integrating students with disabilities into their class rooms.
- 7. Extensive professional development opportunities should be provided for the staff before inclusion is implemented at a school. It is crucial that ongoing appropriate staff development activities he provided for all school administrators, teachers, instructional assistants, and every one who works in an inclusive school environment. Teacher training in group process, conflict resolution, communications kills, and effective discipline can be helpful in increasing teachers' confidence and effectiveness in dealing with difficult behavior and promoting more responsible behavior in their class rooms. Team building is a key is sue, because both the general and special education teachers will have to work to gether.
- 8. Schooladministra torsshouldsupporttheprofessionaldevelopmentofschoolstaff membersbyprovidingreleasetimefrominstructionaldutiesandallocatingadditionalresources forprofessionalactivities. Sitevisits to model programs, problem-solving sessions with other co-teachers, and mentoring relationships can help to facilitate implementation efforts.
- 9. Principals should network withouther administrators in their districtors tate who are involved in similar efforts to include students with disabilities.
- 10. Teachers must have time to plantogether with their colleagues and to consult with special education professionals with expertise in instructional strategy, curriculum adaptation techniques, and behavioral management. Collaborative planning is an ecessity for successful inclusion and must be made available on a daily basis.
- 11.Principalsmustensurethatthestaffhasaccesstoinstructionalmaterials, equipment, and technology that will assist students with disabilities to participate successfully in general education.

### RecommendationsforFutureResearch

- 1. This study should be replicated at the middle-school and high-school levels to determine whether the issues of a dministrative support for integrating special education students into the general education class rooms are similar to the findings of this study, which was conducted at the elementary level.
- 2. Astudyshouldbeconductedtodetermineifthereisaconnectionbetween perceivedlevelsofsupportforinclusionbasedonadministrativetrainingininclusivepractices. This would allows chool districts to determine if additional training would result in more inclusion.
- 3. Acasestudyshouldbeconductedthatfocusesononeschoolmovingtoward inclusioninthestateofVirginia. Astrongerconnectionamongclimate, culture, attitudes, perceptions, and administrative involvement in the inclusion process could be determined if the researcher spends alonger period of time at the school.
- 4. Alongitudinal study should be completed with the three schools that participated in the initial research. Two to three years of research should continue to be collected at the three schools. The study should focus on a dministrator involvement in inclusion, planning time for teachers, class room resources, staff development training, communication, and problem-solving strategies.
- 5. Research should be conducted that focus es on administrator training programs. Components of training programs associated within clusion should be the major focus of this research. It would be beneficial to determine specific types of course work or training that administrators considernecessary for integration of special education students into the general education class rooms.
- 6. Astudy should be conducted to be etter understand the relationship between leadership style and restructuring for inclusion. Knowledge in this are a would help formulate recommendations for necessary role changes for principal sin inclusives chools and the critical competencies needed by administrators to fulfill the role.

### Researcher's Reflections

Theresearcher'sopportunitytoconductthisstudyatthreeelementaryschoolsinherdistrict wastruly ainvaluablelearningexperience. Alloftheparticipantsareverydedicated professionalswhogavegenerouslyoftheirtimetoanswerquestionsandassistinfinding informationthatwasneededforthestudy. Manyoftheseindividualswereobservedlongafter schoolhourscollaboratingtomeettheacademicandsocialneedsoftheirstudents. Althoughall ofthegeneralandspecialeducationteachersindicatedthatimplementinginclusive practices wasadifficult process, they openly encouraged as ense of belonging and respect for each one of their students. They believed that setting high expectations for all children was important

regardless of their ability or disability. Teachers were observed openly celebrating their students' diversity.

The process of implementing and maintaining an inclusive school environment is not an easy endeavor. School administrators are responsible for providing the necessary leadership for conceptualizing and monitoring inclusive practices. They are important figures in providing appropriate support and education to their teachers. Principals need to recognize teachers' efforts to include students with disabilities in the general education class rooms.

This study has provided critical insight into how three schools are collaborating to implement inclusive practices. It has also contributed some valuable new knowledge in the area of how principals work with their staff to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into general education class rooms.

Theresearcherhasdiscoveredthroughconductingthisstudytheneedforanewtypeof teacher. Thereshouldnotbeageneralorspecialeducationteacher, butateacherwhohasthe technicalcompetencies and training needed to work with all students. The knowledge, skills, and dispositions that class room teachers need to work effectively with students who experience difficulty inschool are essentially the same as the skills, knowledge, and dispositions of most specialeducators. Universities must restructure their teacher education programs to include training in the areas of collaborative skills, instructional techniques, curriculum, disabilities, and the accommodations needed for diverse learners to better prepareed ucators to work in an inclusive school setting.

This study has also shown that the focus of incorporating effective inclusive practices should be on the dynamics within a class room. It is not only a matter of the school size, the total amount of students within a particular class, or the number of children with diverse needs. It is also important to consider the way in which instruction is organized and the class room dynamics that are occurring which teachers must deal with on a daily basis. Principals must work with their staff to create generic services that merge content, instructional personnel, and instructional settings. It is essential that all teachers have a clear understanding of their responsibilities within an inclusive school environment. The traditional job roles and responsibilities of special education teachers must be altered to allow them to join with and become general educators inteaching the curriculum in integrated class rooms.

Theresearcherhasfoundthatthekeytosuccessfullymeetingtheeducationalneedsofall studentsispromotingcollaborativerelationshipsandprofessionalgrowthamongtheschoolstaff sothatexpertisemaybeshared. Allteachersneedtohaveopportunitiestomeldtheir complementaryskillsthroughtheorganizationofcollaborative planning and teaching teams. Educators must share the teaching responsibilities rather than work in isolation in the class room. It is imperative that principals provide on-going staff training that focuses on the development of critical attitudes, communication, compromise, collaboration, and consensus building.

Finally, there searcher has discovered how important the principal's leadership style is in implementing inclusive practices. School leaders must not only articulate their belief that all

studentsshouldbeeducatedinthegeneraleducationclassroomtothegreatestextentpossible, butmustbeassertiveinensuringthattheactionsofallteachersandsupportstaffarecongruent withthisphilosophy.Principalsmustbeabletoforeseeproblemsandtakeanactiverolein planning,providingresources,andassistingteachersintheclassroomonaregularbasisif inclusionistobesuccessful.

#### References

- Algozzine,B., Ysseldyke,J.E.,&Campbell,P.(1994). Strategiesandtacticsfor effectiveinstruction. TeachingExceptionalChildren,26 (3),34-35.
- Ambrosie, F. (1989). The case for collaborative versus negotiated decision making. NASSPB ulletin, 73 (518), 56-59.
- AppalachiaEducationalLab(1996). <u>Inclusionofspecialneedsstudents:Lessonsfrom experience.</u>WashingtonDC:OfficeofEducationalResearchandImprovement.(ERIC DocumentReproductionServiceDocument No.ED395426)
- Beninghof, A., & Singer, A.T. (1995). <u>Ideasforinclusion: The school administrator's</u> <u>guide.</u> (ERICD ocument Reproduction Service No. ED 386888)
- Berry, R., & Ginsburg, B. (1990). Experiencings chool reform: The view from South Carolina. Phi Delta Kappan, 71 (7), 549-552.
- Berryman, J.D., & Berryman, C.R. (1981). <u>Useoftheattitudetowardmainstreaming scalewithruralGeorgiateachers.</u> (Report No. RC-0120620). Los Angeles, CA: Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 201420)
- Billingsley,B.S.,& Cross,L.(1992).Predictorsofcommitment,jobsatisfaction,and intenttostayinteaching. Acomparisonofgeneralandspecialeducation. <u>The Journal of Special</u> Education, 25, 453-471.
- Blank,R.(1987). Therolesof principal as leader: Analysis of variation in leadership of urbanschools. Journal of Educational Research, 81 (2), 69-79.
- Bogdan,R.,& Biklen,S.(1992). <u>Qualitativeresearchforeducation:Anintroductionto</u> theoryandmethods (2nded.).Boston: Allyn&Bacon.
- Burello, L.C., Schrup, M.G., & Barnett, B.G. (1988). <u>The principal as the special education in structional leader.</u> Washington, DC: Presented at the Annual Convention of the Council for Exceptional Children.

- Byrnes, M. (1990). The regular education initiative debate: A review from the field. Exceptional Children, 56 (4), 71 - 77.
- Campbell, P., & Shaw, S.F. (1993). A process for systematic change: Planning for special education in the 21 stcentury. CASE in Point, 7 (2), 47-52.
- Campbell-Whatley,G.,& Drakeford,R.(1994).Collaborationperks,problems,and promising practices. <u>LDForum,19(4</u>),30-31.
- Center, Y., Ward, J., Paramenter, T., & Nash, R. (1985). Principals' attitudes toward the integration of disabled children into regular schools. The Exceptional Child, 32 (3), 149-161.
- Choate, J. (1993). <u>Successful mainstreaming-Provenway sto detect and correct special needs</u>. Boston: Allyn&Bacon.
- Coates, R.D. (1989). The Regular Education Initiative and opinions of regular class room teachers. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 22, 532-536.
- Cole, C.M. (1992). <u>Collaboration: Research and practice.</u> Council of Administrators of Special Education, Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Department of Special Education. (ERICR eproduction Document Service No. ED 358653)
- Conrad,M.,& Whitaker,T.(1997).Inclusionandthelaw:Aprincipal'sproactive approach. The ClearingHouse,70 (4),207 -210.
- Cook, L., & Friend, M. (1995). <u>Basicstrategies for effective collaboration</u>. Fairfax, VA: Fairfax County Public Schools.
  - CouncilofAdministratorsofSpecialEducation.(1991). CASEinPoint,6 (1).
- D'Alonzo,B.J.,& Giordano,G.(1996). Improving teachers' attitudes throughteacher education toward the inclusion of students with disabilities into their classrooms. The Teacher Educator, 31 (4), 304-312.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Wise, A.E. (1992). Teacherprofessionalism. In M. Alkin (Ed.), <u>Encyclopedia of Educational Research</u>, 1359-1366. New York: Macmillan.
- DepartmentofEducation(1991). <u>Assessmentandstatementsofspecialeducational</u> needs:Procedurewithineducation,health,andsocialservices. DESCircular25.
  - EducationforAllHandicappedChildrenAct.(1975). PublicLaw94-142.
- Eichinger, J., & Woltman, S. (1993). Integration strategies for learners with severe multiple disabilities. Teaching exceptional children, 26 (1), 18-21.

- Elliott,B.,&Riddle,M.(1992). <u>Aneffective interface between regular & special education: Asynopsis of issues and successful practices.</u> CASE Information Dissemination Packet.(ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 358650)
- Evans, J.H., Bird, K.M., Ford, L.A., Green, J.L., & Bischoff, R.A. (1992). Strategies for overcoming the resistance to the integration of students with special needs into neighborhood schools: Acasestudy. <u>Casein Point, 7</u> (1), 1-16.
- Evans, T.J. (1996). <u>Elementary teachers' and principals' perceptions of principal</u> <u>leadershipstyleand schools ocial organization.</u> Western Michigan University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 403637)
- Farley, J.L. (1991). The current attitudes of principals and teachers regarding mainst reaming in Virginiamid dle-level schools. (College of William and Mary, 1991). Dissertation Abstracts International.
- Fimian,M.J.(1987).Teacherstress:Anexpertappraisal. <u>PsychologyintheSchools,</u> 24,5-14.
- Fishkin, Anne S., & Sullivan, Michael (1993). <u>Reforming special education.</u> (ERIC Document No.ED358985)
- Friend,M.,&Cook,L.(1993).Inclusion:Whatittakestomakeitwork,whyit sometimesfails,andhowteachersreallyfeelaboutit. <u>Instructor,103</u> (4),52-56.
- Fritz, M.F. & Miller, M. (1995). Challenges of the inclusive class room: Roles and responsibilities. <u>Contemporary Education</u>, 66 (4), 211-214.
- Fuchs, D., & Fuchs, L.S. (1994). Inclusive schools movement and the radicalization of special education reform. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 60, 294-309.
- Fullan,M.(1991). <u>The newmeaning of educational change.</u> New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gartner, A., & Lipsky, D. (1987). Beyond special education: Toward a quality system for all students. Harvard Educational Review, 25 (4), 413-414.
- Garvar-Pinhas, A., & Schmelkin, L.P. (1989). Administrators' and teachers attitudes toward mainstreaming. <u>Remedial and Special Education</u>, 10, 38-43.
- Goetz, J.P., & LeCompte, M.D. (1994). <u>Ethnographyandqualitativedesignin educational research.</u> Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Gold, V. (1995). <u>Successfulandunsuccessfulcollaborative practices amongrural</u> special and regular educators. Las Vegas, NV: Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

- AmericanCouncilonRuralSpecialEducation(ACRES).(ERICDocumentReproduction Service No.ED381336)
- Goor, M.B., Schwenn, J.O., & Boyer, L. (1997). Preparing principals for leadership in special education. <u>Intervention in School and Clinic, 32</u> (3), 133-141.
- Hall,G.R.,& Hord,M.(1984). Effectsofthreeprincipalstylesonschool environment. <u>EducationalLeadership</u>,5, 22-29.
- Halpin, A.W., & Croft, D.B. (1963). Theorganizational climate of schools. Administrator's Notebook, 11,1-12.
- Ingram, P.D. (1997). Leadershipbehaviors of principals in inclusive settings. <u>Journal</u> of Educational Administration, 35 (5), 441-427.
- Irmsher,K.(1995). <u>Inclusiveeducationinpractice:Thelessonsofpioneeringschool</u> <u>districts.</u>(Report No. ISSN-0095-6694).Eugene,OR:OregonSchoolStudyCouncil.(ERIC DocumentService No.ED380913)
- Jobb, D., Rust, J.O., & Brissie, J. (1995). Teacherattitudestowardinclusion of students with disabilities into regular classrooms. <u>Education, 117</u> (1), 148-152.
- Jones, F.J. (1991). Asurveyofteachers' attitudes toward mainstreaming the handicapped. <u>Dissertation Abstract International</u>. (Texas Southern University, Jan.).
- Katsiyannis, A., Conderman, G., & Franks, D. (1996). Students with disabilities: Inclusionary programming and the school principal. NASSP Bulletin, 80 (578), 81-86.
- Kauffman, J.M. (1989). The regular education initiative as Reagan-Busheducation policy: A trickledown theory of education of the hard to reach.

  Journal of Special Education,

  13(3), 201-223.
- Kauffman,J.M.,Gerber,M.M.,& Semmel,M.I.(1988).Arguableassumptions underlyingtheregulareducationinitiative. JournalofLearningDisabilities,21, 6-11.
- Kearns, J.F., Kleinert, H.L., Clayton, J.B., & Williams, R. (1998). Principal supports for inclusive assessment. <u>Teaching Exceptional Children</u>, 38, 20-24.
- Kirner, M., Vautour, L.A., & Vautour, M.B. (1993, March). <u>Enhancing instructional programs within the schools: Training school principals in special education administration.</u>

  Paper presented at the International Conference of the Learning Disabilities Association, San Francisco.
- Knight, M.F. (1986). Teacher and administrator attitude toward the elementary exceptional child. Behavior Disorders, 6 (2), 92-100.

- Kruger, L.J., Struzziero, J., Watts, J., & Vacca, D. (1995). The relationship between organizational supportands at is faction with teacher assistance teams. Remedial and Special Education, 16 (4), 203-211.
- Lamb-Zodrow,N.(1987). Preparingtheschoolenvironmentforanintegratedmodel. InM. Berres&P. Knoblock(Eds.), <u>Programmodelsfor mainstreaming:Integratingstudents withmoderatetoseveredisabilities</u> (pp.61-82).Rockville,MD:Aspen.
- LearningDisabilitiesAssociation(1993).Positionpaperonfullinclusionofall studentswithlearningdisabilitiesintheregulareducationclassroom. <u>LDA Newsbrief,28</u> (2),1-6.
- Lewis, A. (1994). <u>Theinclusion of differently abled students in the regular class room.</u> (ERICD ocument Reproduction Service No. ED 390575)
- Lewis,R.B.,& Doorlag,D.H.(1991). <u>Teachingspecialstudentsinthemainstream.</u>
  NewYork:Merrill.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Guba, E.G. (1985). <u>Naturalistic inquiry.</u> Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Littrell,P.C., Billingsley,B.S.,& Cross,L.H.(1994). The effects of principal support on special and general educators' stress, jobs at is faction, school commitment, health, and intent to stay inteaching. Remedial and Special Education, 15 (5), 297-310.
- Lombardi, T.P. (1994) <u>Responsible inclusion of students with disabilities: Fastback 373.</u> Bloomington, IN: PhiDelta Kappa Educational Foundation.
- Madden, N.A., & Slavin, R.E. (1983). Mainstreaming with mildhandicaps: Academicand social outcomes. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 53, 519-569.
  - Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. (1989). <u>Designing qualitative research</u>. London: Sage.
- Mercer, C.D., & Lane, H. (1994). Principles of responsible inclusion. <u>LDA</u> <u>Newsbriefs, 29</u> (4), pp. 1, 7.
- Merriam, S.B. (1988). <u>CaseStudyResearchinEducationAQualitativeApproach.</u> SanFrancisco, CA: Jossey-BassPublishers.
- Monteith, D.S. (1994). <u>SpecialEducationTraining:AMustforToday'sSchool Leaders.</u> Paperpresented at the Annual Meeting of the National Conference of Professors of Educational Administration. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 377549)
- Myles, B.S., & Simpson, R.L. (1989). Regulared ucators' modification preferences for mainstreaming mildly handic appeal children. <u>Journal of Special Education</u>, 22 (4), 479-492.

- OfficeofSpecialEducationandRehabilitativeServices(1986).OSERSa nswers questionsaboutLRE. <u>OSERSNewsinPrint,1</u> (2),6-7.
- Patton, M.Q. (1987). <u>Howtous equalitative methods in evaluation.</u> Newsbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Pazey,B.(1993). <u>Themissinglinkfortheadministrationofspecialeducation:The ethicofcare</u>. Houston,TX:ConferenceoftheUniversityCouncilofEducational Administration.(ERICDocumentReproductionServiceNo.372544)
- Pearman, E.L., Barnhart, M.W., Huang, A.M., & Mellblom, C. (1992). Educating all students in school: Attitudes and beliefs about inclusion. <u>Education and Training in Mental</u>
  Retardation, 27, 177-182.
- Prillaman, D. (1983). <u>Attitudes of school principals regarding the mainstreaming of handicapped children.</u> (ERICD ocument Reproduction Service No. ED 250860)
- Reganick, K. (1993). <u>Fullinclusion: Analysis of a controversialissue.</u> (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 366145)
- Reehill,J.(1987). <u>KnowledgeandattitudesofBronxCounty,NewYork,publicschool</u>
  <u>principalswithregardtotheplacementofchildrenintheleastrestrictiveenvironment.</u> Report
  No.143-184.Houston,TX:AnnualInternationalConventionoftheCouncilforExceptional
  Children.(ERICReproductionService No.ED219903)
- Rieck, W.A. (1991). <u>Astudyofthepedagogical preparation in undergraduate preservice programs for secondary education majors in the United States.</u> Lafayette, LA: University of Southwestern Louisiana.
- Roach, V. (1995). Supporting inclusion: Beyond the rhetoric. <u>PhiDelta Kappan, 77</u> (4), 295-299.
- Rogers, J. (Ed.). (1994). <u>Inclusion: Moving beyond our fears</u>. Hot Topics Series. Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa Center for Evaluation, Development, and Research.
- Rogers,H.& Saklofske,D.H.(1985). Selfconcepts,locusofcontrolandperformance expectationsoflearningdisabledchildren. <u>JournalofLearningDisabilities,18</u> (5),273-78.
  - Rosenholtz, S. (1989). <u>Teachers'workplace</u>. New York: Longman.
- Ross,F.C.,&Wax,I.(1993). <u>Inclusionaryprogramforchildrenwithlanguageand/or learningdisabilities:Issuesinteacherreadiness.</u> (ERICDocumentReproductionService No.ED 369251)

- Rubin,H.J.,& Rubin,I.S.(1995). <u>Qualitative interviewing, the art of hearing data.</u>
  NewburyPark,CA:SagePublications.
- Rude, H.A., & Anderson, R. (1992). Administrator effectiveness in support of inclusive schools. <u>CASE in Point, 7</u> (1), 31-35.
- Sage, D.D., & Burello, L. (1994). <u>Leadershipineducationalreform: An administrator's guidetochanges in special education</u>. Baltimore: Paul Brookes.
- Schrag, J., & Burnette, J. (1994). Inclusives chools and gifted students and educational reform. <u>Teaching Exceptional Children, 26</u> (3),64-68.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (1991). <u>The principalship: Areflective</u> <u>practice perspective.</u> 2nded. Boston: Allyn&Bacon.
- $Snell, M.E. (1991). Schools are for all kids: The importance of integration for students with severe disabilities and their peers. In Stake, R.E. \\ \underline{The Art of Case Study Research.}$  Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Stainback, S., & Stainback, W. (Eds.). (1992). <u>Curriculum considerations in inclusive class rooms: Faciliating learning for all students.</u> Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co.
- Stainback, S., Stainback, W., East, & Sapon-Shevin, M. (1994). A commentary on inclusion and the development of a positive self-identity by people with disabilities. <u>Exceptional Children, 60 (6)</u>, 486-490.
- Stake, R.E. (1995). <u>Theartofcasestudyresearch.</u> Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Stoler, R.D. (1992). Perceptions of regular education teachers toward inclusion of all handicapped students in their class rooms. <u>Clearing House, 66</u> (1), 60-62.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). <u>Basicsofqualitativeresearch.</u> Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Thousand, J., & Villa, R. (1992). Collaborative teams: A powerful tool inschool restructuring. In R. Villa, J. Thousand, W. Stainback, & S. Stainback (Eds.), <u>Restructuring for heterogeneity: Anadministrative handbook for creating effectives chools for every one</u> (pp. 73-108). Baltimore: Brookes.
  - Tomey,H.A.(1995).Inclusion:Aresponsibleapproach. <u>TheLink,14</u> (1),1-27.
- Trump,G.C.,& Hange,J.E.(1996). <u>Concernsaboutandeffectivestrategiesfor</u> <u>inclusion:Focusgroup interviewfindingsfromVirginiateachers.</u> Charleston,WV:Appalachia EducationalLab .(EricReproductionServicesNo.ED397577)

- Valesky, T., & Hirth, M. (1992). Surveyofthestates: Specialeducation knowledge requirements for school administrators. <u>Exceptional Children, 58</u> (5), 399-406.
- VanDyke,R., Stallings,M.A.,& Colley,K.(1995).Howtobuildaninclusiveschool community. <u>PhiDelta Kappan,77</u> (4),153-159.
- VanHorn,G.P., Burello,L.C.,& DeClue,L.(1992).Aninstructionalleadership framework:Theprincipal'sleadershipinspecialeducation.

  TheSpecialEducationLeadership

  Review,1 (1),41-54.
- Vaughn,S., Schumm,J.S., Jallad,B., Slusher,J.,& Saumell,L.(1996). Teachers' viewsofinclusion. <u>LearningDisabilitiesResearch&Practices,11</u> (2),96-106.
- Villa,R.,&Thousand,J.(1992).Restructuringpublicschoolsystems:Strategiesfor organizationalchangeandprogress. InR.A.Villa,J.S.Thousand,A.I. Nevin,&C. Malgeri (1996). Instillingcollaborationforinclusiveschoolingasawayofdoingbusinessinpublic schools. RemedialandSpecialEducation,(17 (3),169-81.
- Villa,R.A., Thousand,J.S., Nevin,A.I.,& Malgeri,C.(1996). Instilling collaboration for inclusive schooling as a way of doing business in public schools. Remedial and Special Education, (17 (3), 169-81.
- VirginiaDepartmentofEducation.(1993). <u>Accept:Learningtogether,integrating</u> studentswithdisabilities. Richmond,VA:Author.
- Walter-Thomas, B., & Land, S. (1996). Planning for effective co-teaching. <u>Remedial</u> and Special Education, 17 (4), 255-265.
- West, F.J., & Idol, L. (1990). Collaborative consultation in the education of mildly handicapped and at-risk students. <u>Remedial and Special Education</u>, 11 (1), 22-31.
- Whitaker, C.E. (1996). Managing inclusion: A study of principal leadership in inclusion (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic and State University, 1996).

  Abstracts International.

  Dissertation
  - Whittier, K.S., & Hewitt, J.S. (1993). <u>InterventioninSchoolandClinic, 29</u> (2), 84-88.
- Wiener, R., & Norton, M. (1993). Inclusion: A study of administrative perspectives. Journal of School Administrators Association of New York State. 23 (4),6-10.
- Wood, J. (1993). <u>Mainstreaming: Apractical approach forteachers.</u> New York: Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Yell,M.L.(1995).Leastrestrictiveenvironment,inclusion,andstudentswith disabilities:Alegalanalysis. JournalofSpecialEducation,28 (4),389-404.

Yin,R.K.(1989). <u>Casestudyresearch:Designandmethods.</u> NewburyPark,CA:Sage Publications.

York, J., Doyle, M.B., & Kronberg, R. (1992). Acurriculum development process of inclusive classrooms. Focus on Exceptional Children, 25 (4),1-16.

# AppendixA

# ElementarySchoolPrincipal

# InterviewQuestions

Principal	Date:	
School:		-
	Background/DemographicsQuestions	
Gender:		
Howmanyyearshaveyoubee	enaprincipal?	
Howmanyyearsasanelemen	ntaryprincipalinthisdistrict ?	
Atthisschool?		
	<u>SchoolClimate</u>	
Pleasedescribeyourschoolch generaleducationclassroom	limateasitrelatestointegratingspecialeducationstuder s.( sensoryquestion)	ntsinto
Pleaseexplainhowyourmiss philosophy?( knowledgeque	ionstatementwasdevelopedandwhetheritaddressesth estion)	einclusion
Howdoyouthinkgeneral(spe	ecial)educationteachersviewinclusion?( feeling	gquestion)
Princ	ipal'sSpecialEducationTrainingorExperience	
Haveyouhadanopportunityt (experience/behaviorquestic	toteachstudentswithdisabilities?Ifsoexplain.	
Whateducationaltraininghat generaleducation?( experie	veyouhadregardingintegratingstudentswithdisabilitience/behaviorquestion)	esinto
•	cetrainingregardingintegratingstudentsintoregularelabuldbebeneficialforyouinyourpositionasprincipal?Placestion)	•

#### <u>PrincipalLeadership</u>

Howwouldyoudescribeyourleadershipstyle?( sensoryquestion) Whatspecificskillsdoyoubelieve aprincipalneedsinordertobeaninstructionalleaderfor specialeducationstaff/students/parents?( opinions/valuesquestion) Whatshouldthegoalbeinmeetingtheneedsofspecialeducationstudents? opinions/values question) Howdoyouencouragegeneraleducationteacherstobereceptiveaboutintegratingstudents experience/behaviorquestion) withdisabilities into their classes?( Whatcanprincipalsdotoencouragetheacceptanceofspecialeducationstudentsbytheir nondisabledpeers?( opinions/valuesquestion) Describehowyouseeyourroleasprincipalasitrelatestointegratingspecialeducationstudents intogeneraleducationclassrooms.( sensoryquestion) CentralSpecialEducationAdministrationSupport Wereyouinvolvedintheinitialefforttointegratespecialeducationstudentsatthisschool?If experience/behaviorquestion) so, pleased escribe the process. ( Whattypeofsupporthasbeenmosthelpfulinimplementing inclusionarypractices? (opinions/valuesquestion) ActualInclusionPractices AreyouinvolvedintheChildStudy/LocalScreeningorIEPmeetings?Ifso,pleasedescribe yourrole.( experience/behaviorquestion) Howareyouinvolvedintheplacementprocessofspecialeducationstudents? (experience/behaviorquestion) HowdoyoupreparetoimplementtheIEPteamdecisionsforintegration?( experience/behavior question) Doesyourschoolhaveaschool-basedplanningteam(e.g.siteteam)whichsupportsand monitorsspecialeducationservices? If so, please explain. ( knowledgequestion)

110

Whatkindofschoolactivitiesforpromotingdisabilityawarenessandtheneedforand advantagesofintegratededucationforstudentswithdisabilitiesareofferedatyourschool?

Pleaseexplain.( knowledgequestion)

#### ParentInvolvement

Arethereanyexisting parent support groups? If so, what is the primary purpose? (knowledge question)

#### <u>TeacherSupportandTraining</u>

Whatkindofresources/supportdoyou provideforteacherstohelpthemintegratespecial educationstudentsintothegeneraleducationclassroom?( knowledgequestion)

What kindofstaffdevelopmenttrainingprogramson inclusionarypracticeshavebeenoffered forteachers? Hasthistraining been voluntary? (knowledge question)

Werestaffmembersprovidedwithinclusiontrainingpriortoimplementingamore inclusionary servicemodel?Ifso,whatkindoftrainingwasprovided?( knowledgequestion)

Whatkindoftrainingorsupportdoyoubelieveteachersstillneedinregardtointegrating specialeducationstudentsintoregularclasses?( opinions/valuesquestion)

#### CollaborationandInstruction

Howdoyoudecidewhichgeneraleducationteacherswillworkwithstudentswithdisabilities? (experience/behaviorquestion)

Inyourexperienceasaprincipal, whataccommodations have you found to be most helpful for students who are being integrated into general education classes? (experience/behavior question)

Howisthe"master"scheduledevelopedtohelpensurethesuccessfulintegrationofstudents intogeneraleducationclasses?( knowledgequestion)

Howcancooperationbetweengeneralandspecialeducationteachersbefacilitated? (opinions/valuesquestion)

Inwhatwaysdoyouhelpfacilitatecollaborationbetweengeneralandspecialeducationteachers intheireffortstointegratespecialeducationstudentsintogeneraleducationclasses?( knowledge question)

#### <u>AdvantagesandDisadvantagesofInclusion</u>

Whathavebeenthemajorproblemsinintegratingspecialeducationstudentsintogeneral educationclasses?( experience/behaviorquestion)

Havetheseproblemsbeenresolved?Ifso,how?( experience/behaviorquestion)

Whathavebeenthebeneficialoutcomesofintegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneral education classrooms? (opinions/valuesquestion)

#### AdditionalComments

Is the reanything you would like to add in regard to your staff's involvement in an integrated program?

### AppendixB

### InterviewQuestions

#### **Teachers**

	Date:Teacher:	
	TeachingPosition:M/F	
	Background/DemographicQuestions	
	Howlonghaveyoubeenateacher?	
	Howlonghaveyoutaughtinthisdistrict?	
	Howlonghaveyouworkedwiththecurrentprincipal?	
	Howlonghaveyouworkedwithspecialeducationstudents?	
	SchoolClimate	
	Howwouldyoudescribeyourschool"climate"regardingintegratingspecialeducationtogeneraleducationclasses?( sensoryquestion)	ionstudent
Pleas	sedescribeyourroleasitrelatestointegratingspecialeducationstudentsintogeneral educationclassesatyourschool?( sensoryquestion)	
	Howwouldyoudescribeyourprincipal'sleadershipstylewithintheschool?(	sensoryquestion)
	ExperienceWithandImplementationof IntegrationServiceDeliveryModel	
	Whatisyourexperiencewithintegratingspecialeducationstudentsintogeneral classes(experience/behaviorquestion)	education
	Wereyouinvolvedintheinitialstagesofyourschool'sintegrationefforts?Ifso,how? (experience/behaviorquestion)	
Wha	atroledidyourprincipalplayduringtheinitialstagesofintegratingstudentswith disabilities?(knowledgequestion)	

113

How has your role changed as a result of the integration of students with disabilities into general

educationclassesatyourschool?( experience/behaviorquestion)

#### Instruction

Whywereyouselectedtobeinvolvedinyourschool'sintegrationefforts?( regular educ. teachersonly)( opinion/valuesquestion) Whatkindofintegrationmodelshaveyoubeeninvolvedwith?( experience/behaviorquestion) Whatexperiencehaveyouhadinregardtomakingacademicandbehavioralaccommodation forspecialeducationstudents? Please gives ome examples. ( experience/behaviorquestion) Whattypesof inclusionarypracticesdoyoufeelmostcomfortablewith?( experience/behavior question) Whatkindof inclusionarypracticeshaveyoufoundtobesuccessfulinintegratingspecial educationstudentsintogeneraleducationclasses?( opinions/valuesquestion) CollaborationCollaboration Whatdoescollaborationmeantoyouinregardtointegratingstudentswithdisabilitiesinto generaleducationclassrooms?( opinions/valuesquestion) Haveyoubeengivenreleasedtimetoplanintegrationactivities? If so, pleased escribe when youmeet, who attends and what is accomplished? knowledgequestion) Teacher AttitudeTeacherAttitude Doyoubelievethatallspecialeducationstudentsregardlessoftheirdisabilitiesshouldbe integrated into general education classes for a portion of their school day? Please explain why orwhynot?( opinions/valuesquestion) LD Doyoubelievethattheschool's "master" schedule facilitates or hinders the integration of opinions/valuesquestion) studentsintogeneraleducationclassrooms?Pleaseexplain.( PrincipalAttitudeandSupport Whatdoyoubelieveistheprincipal's expectation for integration / inclusion and the extent to whichstudentswillbeparticipatinginallenvironments(e.g.cafeteria, auditorium, playground, hallways, gym, restrooms, library, computerroom) and activities (e.g. lunch, assemblies, recess, nonacademic subjects, etc.)?( opinions/valuesquestion)

Whatroledoesyourprincipalplayinfacilitatingtheintegrationofstudentswithdisabilities

intogeneraleducationclassrooms?( knowledgequestion)

Whatkindofsupportfromtheprincipalhasbeenmosthelpfulinintegratingstudentswith disabilities?(opinions/valuesquestion)

#### InserviceTraining

What kindofstaffdevelopmenttrainingor inservices regarding inclusionary practices have been provided forteachers at this school? (knowledge question)

Ifyouhavehadsome inservicetrainingon inclusionarypractices, whatdoyoubelievehasbeen themosthelpfultoyouasyouworkwithspecialeducationstudents in the general education classroom? (opinions/valuesquestion)

Ifyouweremakingrecommendationsforteacherpreparationininclusionforregularand specialeducationteachers, what would you most strongly recommend? (opinions/values question)

Haveyouattendedanytrainingsessionsoninclusionthatthecountyhasoffered?Ifso,what? (experience/behaviorquestion)

#### Concerns

Whatkindofproblemshaveyouencounteredastheyrelatetointegratingstudents with disabilitiesintogeneraleducationclasses?( experience/behaviorquestion)

Haveanyoftheseproblemsbeenresolved?Ifso,how?Ifnot,whatishappeningtotryandsolve theproblems?( knowledgequestion)

#### AllTeachers

Whatdidn't Iaskyouthatyou feel might be advantageous to discuss?

# AppendixC

# ResearchQuestions

ResearchQuestions	MethodsUsed	MethodsUsedtoAnswertheQuestions	ions
	Observation	Interview	Documen
			ts
1.Whateducationaltrainingorexperiencehaveprincipalshadwith inclusionarymodels orpracticesthatsupporttheintegrationofdisabledstudentsinthegeneraleducation classroom?			
2. Whataretheinclusiveeducational practices used by principal stohel pensure that students with disabilities are successfully integrated with nondisable dpeers?			
3. Whatleadershipstyleorbehaviorsoftheprincipalinfluenceteachereffortsto integratestudentswithdisabilitiesintogeneraleducationclassrooms?			
4. In what ways does the principal collaborate with teachers to facilitate the integration of students with disabilities into general education class rooms?			
5. Whatexperienceortraininghas the principal provided for general education teachers in regard to making a cademic and behavioral accommodations for special education students?			
Students			

AppendixD

# **PlanningSessions**

	PlanningSessions	ns	
	A	В	C
InclusionaryPractices			
GeneralEducationTeacherResponsibilities			
SpecialEducationTeacherResponsibilities			
Instructional Activities			
EvidenceofCollaboration			

#### **VITA**

#### BarbaraJ.Twohig 8609ChaseGlenCircle FairfaxStation,VA22039 Home:(703)643-0344

#### RelevantExperience:

August1998topresent:LearningDisabilitiesTeacherandCo -ChairpersonofLocal Screening/ChildStudyatKeeneElementary,FairfaxCountyPublicSchools,Fairfax,Virginia

August1990toJune1997:LearningDisabilitiesTeacherandChairpersonofLocal Screening/ChildStudyat CentrevilleElementary,FairfaxCountyPublicSchools,Fairfax, Virginia

September 1988 to August 1990: Educational leave from Fairfax County School stopursue doctoral degree in Educational Administration and certification courses for elementary school counseling at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

August1987toJune1988:LanguageDelayed-LearningDisabilities Self-ContainedTeacherat CliftonElementaryinFairfaxCounty

#### <u>PublicSchools</u>

January 1981 to June 1987: Learning Disabilities Resource Teacher, Fairfax County Public Schools

August 1983 to June 1987: Newington Forest Elementary School

February 1982 to June 1983: Barden Elementary School

August1981toFebruary1982: WaynewoodElementaryand BardenElementarySchools (scheduledividedequallybetweeneachschool)

January 1981 to June 1981: Waynewood Elementary and Hayfield Elementary-schedule divided equally between each school)

#### **JobResponsibilities**

Elementary Learning Disabilities Teacher

Provides direct instruction to assigned learning disabled students; plans and implements Individual Education Plan (IEP) for each student.

Evaluation and assessment of students referred for testing to the Local Screening Committee to determine if they are eligible for any special education program.

Serves as an instructional consultant by recommending materials and techniques or coteaching with regular education teachers.

Provides workshops for parents on learning disabilities, Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) and study skills.

Conferswithparents.

Developsandservesas chairpersonforLocalScreening/ChildStudyCommittee.

Provides inserviceforlocalschoolstaff.

August1975toJune1980:ElementarySchoolClassroomTeacher, KilbyElementary School,PrinceWilliamCountyPublicSchools, Woodbridge,Virginia.

Experienceinsecond, third and fourth grades.

July1974toJuly1975:FlightAttendant,U.S.Air.

#### **SpecialAccomplishments**

1982-1983	Teamleaderforspecialeducationteach	ersat BardenElementarySchool
1984-1986	Servedas Administrative Designeeons were out of building	everaloccasionswhenadministrators
Spring 1985I	nterviewedforandwasthesubjectofanart intheMarch1985editionof <u>Castle</u> ,	icleonlearningdisabilitiespublished Fort Belvoirnewspaper
Fall1985	Speakeratcounty-widetrainingprogram specialeducationteachers	nfornewelementaryandmiddleschool
1985-1986Te	amleaderforsupportstaffat New	ingtonForestElementary

Winter1985 CompletedAdministrativeOrientationProgramsponsoredbyFairfaxCounty PublicSchools

1986-1987ServedasCountySuperintendent'srepresentativefrom NewingtonForest

Elementary

1990-1995ServedasSpecialEducationContactfrom CentrevilleElementary

June 1992ReceivedHumanRelationsawardat CentrevilleElementary

August1992 Servedasamemberof CentrevilleSteeringCommittee

toJune1997

August 1998 Servedasamember of Language Arts and Human Relations Committee to Present

<u>ProfessionalOrganizationsandEducation</u>

Present VirginiaPolytechnicInstituteandStateUniversity- Completing doctoral

degreeineducationaladministration

 $June\ 1995 Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University-Received CAGS in$ 

educationaladministration-AchievedaGPAof3.8

June 1991 VirginiaPolytechnicInstituteandStateUniversity-Obtainedcertificationin

elementaryschoolcounseling

January 1981 George Mason University-Received Masters of Education with a concentration

inspecialeducation(LearningDisabilities). Achieveda GPA of 3.6

June 1974GeorgeMasonUniversity-Receivedabaccalaureatedegreeinelementary

education. Graduatedwithhonors.

#### <u>Memberships</u>

NationalEducationAss ociation(NEA)

VirginiaEducationAssociation(VEA)

FairfaxEducationAssociation(FEA)

CouncilforExceptionalChildren(CEC)